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Introduction

At PwC, our purpose statement is to build trust in society and solve important problems. To us, these are not just words. Rather, our purpose statement serves as our guiding principle to align the focus of our people and direct the decisions we make and actions we take. It is reflected in our governance structure and embraced throughout our firm (and the PwC Global Network). It drives the clients and work our firm accepts and the quality we deliver across our Advisory, Assurance, and Tax lines of service.

We believe that auditing is a noble profession, underpinned by the need for trust in the capital markets and in the quality of our audits. We approach our profession as “One Firm,” enabling us to use the resources and technologies from our non-audit disciplines to benefit audit quality. Our Advisory and Tax lines support our audits in areas such as information systems, cybersecurity threats, valuations, and complex tax matters. As “One Firm,” we bring our full capabilities and insights to our audits when and where they are needed and appropriate. Please see PwC’s Our focus on audit quality report for additional details on our approach and practices related to audit quality.

This Transparency Report is published in accordance with the requirement set forth in Article 45 (5)(e) of the European Union’s Directive on Statutory Audit 2006/43/EC for our fiscal year ended June 30, 2018. Its contents are also generally consistent with the information that the Center for Audit Quality recommends be included in an audit firm’s transparency report.

Throughout this report, the terms “PwC,” “firm,” “we,” and “our” refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the US member firm of PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited (PwCIL), an English private company limited by guarantee.
**Firm structure**

The firm is a limited liability partnership established under the laws of the State of Delaware. All interests in the firm are held by its partners and principals.

**The PwC network**

PwC is the brand under which the member firms of PwCIL operate and provide professional services. Together, these firms form the PwC network. ‘PwC’ is often used to refer either to individual firms within the PwC network or to several or all of them collectively.

We’re a network of firms in 158 countries with more than 236,000 people who are committed to delivering quality in assurance, advisory and tax services.

In many parts of the world, accounting firms are required by law to be locally owned and independent. Although regulatory attitudes on this issue are changing, PwC member firms do not and cannot currently operate as a corporate multinational. The PwC network is not a global partnership, a single firm, or a multinational corporation.

For these reasons, the PwC network consists of firms which are separate legal entities.

**PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited**

The firms that make up the network are committed to working together to provide quality service offerings for clients throughout the world. Firms in the PwC network are members in, or have other connections to, PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited (PwCIL). PwCIL does not practice accountancy or provide services to clients. Rather its purpose is to act as a coordinating entity for member firms in the PwC network. Focusing on key areas such as strategy, brand, and risk and quality, the Network Leadership Team and Board of PwCIL develop and implement policies and initiatives to achieve a common and coordinated approach amongst individual member firms where appropriate. Member firms of PwCIL can use the PwC name and draw on the resources of other member firms and/or secure the provision of professional services by other member firms and/or other entities. In return, member firms are bound to abide by certain common policies and to maintain the standards of the PwC network as put forward by PwCIL.

The PwC network is not one international partnership and PwC member firms are not otherwise legal partners with each other. Many of the member firms have legally registered names which contain “PricewaterhouseCoopers”, however there is no ownership by PwCIL. A member firm cannot act as agent of PwCIL or any other member firm, cannot obligate PwCIL or any other member firm, and is liable only for its own acts or omissions and not those of PwCIL or any other member firm. Similarly, PwCIL cannot act as an agent of any member firm, cannot obligate any member firm, and is liable only for its own acts or omissions. PwCIL has no right or ability to control member firm’s exercise of professional judgement.
The governance bodies of PwCIL are:

Global Board

The Global Board is responsible for the governance of PwCIL, the oversight of the Network Leadership Team and the approval of network standards. The Board does not have an external role. Board members are elected by partners from all PwC firms around the world every four years.

Network Leadership Team

The Network Leadership Team is responsible for setting the overall strategy for the PwC network and the standards to which the PwC firms agree to adhere.

Strategy Council

The Strategy Council is made up of the leaders of the largest PwC firms and regions of the network, agrees on the strategic direction of the network and facilitates alignment for the execution of strategy.

Global Leadership Team

The Global Leadership Team is appointed by and reports to the Network Leadership Team and the Chairman of the PwC network. Its members are responsible for leading teams drawn from network firms to coordinate activities across all areas of our business.

Quality across the network

Quality audits across the PwC network are vital to the US firm’s brand. We continue to assist PwC network member firms in enhancing their quality-focused infrastructure and processes, which includes providing materials for annual update trainings in certain territories on US accounting and auditing standards. Our US firm leadership also meets periodically with leaders from other member firms to share learnings and best practices on quality.

Each member firm is responsible for monitoring its own quality control system, including reviewing the quality of its management-level controls and the audit work it has performed. A PwC network-led team inspects member firms’ reviews of their quality control systems. When areas needing improvement are identified in their reviews, the member firm prepares a remediation plan and the PwC network monitors its implementation.

Each PwC network member firm is responsible for completing inspections to assess whether engagements selected for review were performed in compliance with applicable professional standards and policies. The results of these inspections inform the actions taken by the member firm to continue to enhance audit quality. Individual member firms’ quality results are considered by US firm partners in planning their audits, where applicable. We continually refine how we use the work of non-US PwC network firms so that all components of our audits meet US standards (when applicable) and satisfy our own quality expectations.

A list of audit firms and statutory auditors within the PwC network from EU or EEA member states can be found on page 23.
**Strategy and leadership**

We expect our partners and staff to exhibit the core values underlying our purpose: act with integrity, make a difference, care, work together, and reimagine the possible. Key messages are communicated to our firm by our Senior Partner and our leadership team and are reinforced by engagement partners. These communications focus on what we do well and actions we can take to make enhancements. We track whether our people believe that our leaders’ messaging conveys the importance of quality to the success of our firm. Based on this tracking, we are confident our people understand our audit quality objectives.

---

**Leadership and accountability**

**Tone at the top**

Our purpose is to build trust in society and solve important problems. We build trust by delivering on our commitment to quality. This means that performing quality audits is job #1 for our Assurance practice. Our focus on quality drives our actions with clients, colleagues, and other stakeholders and guides our decision-making.

**Partner accountability and compensation**

Partners receive a share of the firm’s profits based on their level of responsibility, the firm’s performance, and the partner’s performance. Our audit partners are not evaluated or compensated for selling non-audit services to their audit clients. Partners are evaluated based on their contributions to people, quality, and profitable growth. Partner accomplishments are measured based on the partner’s relative performance against established goals.

**Non-partner professionals**

Non-partner professionals are assessed against the dimensions of the PwC Professional framework, the firm’s career progression model. They participate in a performance bonus plan based, in part, on the achievement of quality goals and objectives.

In cases that require it, partners and managers are required to implement a responsive action plan to address quality issues. Implementation of the plan is monitored by leaders.

---

1 A partner is a certified public accountant (CPA) while a principal is not. Only CPAs may sign an audit opinion or perform any other function reserved by law or by the firm solely to CPAs. Partners and principals are alike in most other aspects of the partnership.
*Ethical requirements*

Ethical behavior is the foundation for building trust. We have a code of conduct and supporting policies that describe expected behaviors. We also provide multiple ways for our people to ask questions and obtain policy guidance or voice concerns about possible policy violations, including an anonymous ethics helpline.

At PwC, we adhere to the fundamental principles of the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants (IESBA) Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants, which are:

- **Integrity**  
  To be straightforward and honest in all professional and business relationships.

- **Objectivity**  
  To not allow bias, conflict of interest or undue influence of others to override professional or business judgements.

- **Professional Competence and Due Care**  
  To maintain professional knowledge and skill at the level required to ensure that a client or employer receives competent professional service based on current developments in practice, legislation and techniques and act diligently and in accordance with applicable technical and professional standards.

- **Confidentiality**  
  To respect the confidentiality of information acquired as a result of professional and business relationships and, therefore, not disclose any such information to third parties without proper and specific authority, unless there is a legal or professional right or duty to disclose, nor use the information for the personal advantage of the professional accountant or third parties.

- **Professional Behavior**  
  To comply with relevant laws and regulations and avoid any action that discredits the profession.

In addition, our PwC network standards applicable to all PwC network firms cover a variety of areas including ethics and business conduct, independence, anti-money laundering, anti-trust/anti-competition, anti-corruption, information protection, firm’s and partner’s taxes, sanctions laws, internal audit and insider trading. We take compliance with these ethical requirements seriously and strive to embrace the spirit and not just the letter of those requirements.

PwC has adopted the PwC network standards which include a Code of Conduct, and related policies that clearly describe the behaviors expected of our partners and other professionals—behaviors that will enable us to earn the trust that we seek. Because of the wide variety of situations that our professionals may face, our standards provide guidance under a broad range of circumstances, but all with a common goal— to do the right thing.

Upon hiring or admittance, all staff and partners of PwC are provided with a copy of the PwC Global Code of Conduct. They are expected to live by the values expressed in the code in the course of their professional careers.

**Independence**

As auditors, we are required to be independent from our audit clients. Independence, in fact and appearance, sets the foundation for us to exercise professional skepticism and make objective conclusions without being affected by influences that could compromise our professional judgment.

Our independence policy is based on the Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants of the IESBA and is supplemented to comply with the requirements of US standard setters and regulators. We support our people in maintaining independence by providing systems and processes that:
• identify the entities requiring independence;
• enable assessments and documentation of approval for providing permissible non-audit services;
• facilitate the assessment and monitoring of joint business relationships;
• support consultation with our experts;
• evaluate, pre-approve, and monitor securities and other financial arrangements held by partners, managers, and others to whom independence rules apply;
• allow many brokerage firms to record security transactions on behalf of our people;
• assess and document our professionals’ annual confirmation of compliance with our independence policies and other compliance topics; and
• send engagement independence confirmations and generate the documentation necessary to evidence the confirmation process.

A team of dedicated professionals (15 partners and 239 staff); maintains our independence policies, processes, and systems; develops our independence training; and serves as a resource for our people when questions arise. In FY18, this team engaged in approximately 37,000 independence-related consultations.

We have disciplinary procedures in place to promote compliance with our independence policies. Independence policies require that we report independence rule exceptions to those charged with governance at our clients so that they can be addressed promptly.

**Independence training and confirmations**

PwC provides all partners and staff with annual or on-going training in independence matters. Training typically focuses on milestone training relevant to a change in position or role, changes in policy or external regulation and, as relevant, provision of services. Partners and staff receive computer-based training on PwC’s independence policy and related topics. Additionally, face-to-face training is delivered to members of the practice on an as-needed basis.

All partners and practice staff are required to complete an annual compliance confirmation, whereby they confirm their compliance with all aspects of U.S. member firm’s independence policy, including their own personal independence. In addition, all partners confirm that all non-audit services and business relationships for which they are responsible comply with policy and that the required processes have been followed in accepting these engagements and relationships. These annual confirmations are supplemented by periodic and ad-hoc engagement level confirmations.

**Partner rotation**

SEC rules require public company lead audit partners and quality review partners to rotate off engagements every five years. We also have rotation policies for auxiliary partners and partners on audit engagements not subject to the SEC rotation requirements. Partner rotation strikes a balance between bringing “fresh eyes” to the audit and maintaining a deep understanding of the client’s operations, in part, through continuity of other team members. Our practice leaders use systems and processes to manage current and successor partners’ portfolios, including understanding their skills and capacity to maintain consistent audit quality.
**Human Resources**

**Our people**

Our people strategy is to be a world class developer of talent. We hire candidates who have diverse backgrounds and appropriate skills; have a questioning mindset and intellectual curiosity; and demonstrate courage and integrity.

Our reputation depends on our people. Our hiring standards include assessing academic records and conducting background checks. In FY18, we hired more than 2,100 entry-level audit professionals and 1,800 audit interns.

The decrease in our number of audit professionals in the US from FY17 to FY18 is driven by our planned reduction in hiring and higher voluntary turnover. The reduction in our US hiring is a result of our strategy to use offshore resources at Service Delivery Centers (SDCs) and Centers of Excellence. Voluntary turnover can fluctuate based on a variety of factors, including market demand for talent.

Our One Firm “Your Tomorrow” people strategy is how we are investing to create the PwC of the future; we are responding to the expectations of the market to deliver a different, more digital experience to our clients, and to develop our people to reach their potential in a world that isn’t slowing down. There are three elements to this strategy:

1. **Be well, work well** - given the pace and complexity of change we are all experiencing, the firm is putting the power of its resources toward supporting our people’s journey to greater well-being.
2. **Digital Fitness** - certain of our staff are enhancing their innovation and technology skills through our Digital Accelerator program and all our professionals have access to our digital upskilling curriculum available on an app, which creates a tailored learning plan based on their personal digital fitness score.
3. **Skills for society** - a powerful way to develop our people while making a meaningful impact in our communities. It enables our people to use their skills in an impactful way through participation in Access Your Potential® or volunteering at nonprofit organizations.

As noted above, The PwC Professional is our global leadership development framework, which provides a single set of expectations across our lines of service, geographies, and roles. It provides transparency on the skills our staff need to stay relevant and deliver quality to our clients.

The framework includes assurance quality dimensions to guide our staff in building critical skills and behaviors related to delivering audit quality, such as professional skepticism, review and supervision, auditing skills, issues management, and technical knowledge.

**Professional development**

We are committed to putting the right people in the right place at the right time. One way we do this is by using TalentLink, our talent sourcing platform. This tool gives us visibility into our people’s experiences and interests so that we can efficiently and appropriately staff client engagements.

Throughout our people’s careers, they are presented with career development opportunities, classroom and on-demand learning, and on-the-job real time coaching/development. Our on-demand learning portfolio facilitates personalized learning with access to CPE and non-CPE educational materials, including webcasts, podcasts, articles, videos, and courses.

Becoming a CPA is an important part of our audit professionals’ career progression and is a prerequisite for promotion to audit senior associate. In 2018, 12 of the 58 individuals recognized by the AICPA for achieving exceptional results on the CPA exam were from our firm, the highest percentage among all public accounting firms.
Retention

Turnover rates in the public accounting profession are generally high because as accounting standards and regulations change, accountants are in demand and the training and experience we provide make our staff highly sought after.

Our voluntary turnover rate fluctuates based on many factors, including the overall market demand for talent. Retaining our professionals is important to us. To that end, we are dedicated to listening to our people and enhancing their experience.

Providing our people with an ability to meet their professional and personal obligations is also an important component of our retention strategy. In addition to our formal flexibility options (e.g., reduced schedules, remote working arrangements), we encourage teams to have informal discussions about what flexibility means for each team member, particularly during peak periods of the audit. This flexibility energizes and rewards our people, which can enhance our retention rates and in turn promote enhanced audit quality.

Our firm-wide effort, Be well, work well, empowers our people to manage their well-being through the four dimensions of energy: physical, emotional, mental, and spiritual. Be well, work well is more than hitting the gym and healthy eating. We encourage our people to prioritize their well-being and provide them with the tools that can help them do this.

We encourage our partners and staff to participate in Access Your Potential, our commitment to equipping young people from disadvantaged communities with the financial, technology, and career-selection skills they need to change the trajectory of their lives. This includes offering our partners and staff time to volunteer in support of causes that are important to them, such as teaching our financial literacy and technology skills curriculum to students in their community.

These efforts positively impact local communities and support retention of our purpose-driven staff. For example, the turnover rate for our core Assurance staff who participate in corporate responsibility-related activities is seven percentage points lower than for those who do not participate.

We periodically measure the pride, advocacy, commitment, and overall satisfaction of our people. We call this measurement our Engagement Index.

Many internal and external factors have the potential to impact our Engagement Index. The compensation and benefits programs we offer compared to other employers and market demand for talent are two examples that impact the commitment and overall satisfaction of our people. Our Engagement Index serves one tool we use to determine our people initiatives.
Learning and education

The composition of our audit teams provides our less experienced professionals the opportunity to work with more seasoned professionals, which promotes meaningful on-the-job training. Judgment is honed by witnessing how seasoned auditors approach issue identification, management, and resolution.

Learning that occurs through on-the-job supervision, review, and mentoring is supplemented through participation in classroom and on-demand training programs. Our National Assurance Learning Team is dedicated to developing course content and updating our training curriculum based on feedback obtained from our:

- internal inspections process;
- observations from PCAOB and peer reviews; and
- surveys, focus groups, and post-course learning assessments.

Our National Assurance Learning Team collaborates closely with firm leadership so that training is responsive to changes in the Assurance practice. We require our audit professionals to attend training courses that integrate auditing and accounting concepts and we use simulation-based elements for a more effective learning experience. Our managers and partners also receive industry-specific training, including related to new accounting standards, when applicable. All mandated auditing and accounting training courses include a learning assessment, which requires the participant to earn a passing score to be granted credit for course completion. In addition, we offer our professionals non-technical training on topics such as project management, issues management, and business communications.

Attendance at mandated training courses is a component of an individual’s performance. Completion of mandated auditing, accounting, and new-hire and annual independence, ethics, and compliance trainings are included in this individual performance component. Failure to complete mandated training or to achieve the minimum number of auditing, accounting, and ethics training hours for licensure can impact an audit professional’s performance evaluation and compensation.

The number of hours of auditing and accounting training mandated annually can increase or decrease from year to year based on a variety of factors, including the issuance of new accounting and auditing standards, the frequency and timing of leadership conferences, and the impact of our ongoing course redesign, which includes the use of digital tools to deliver training more efficiently.
Acceptance and continuance

Considerations in undertaking an audit engagement

We have approval processes for the acceptance of new audit clients and annual continuance of existing audit clients. For the acceptance of new audit clients, our process requires approvals by risk management partners and market leadership. For existing client continuance assessments, the extent and level of approvals depend on the nature of the client and results of a required risk assessment.

Key to making these approval decisions is whether we have people with the right skills, experience, and capacity to perform a quality audit. We also consider if the audit fees are commensurate with the level of effort needed to perform a quality audit. We only accept and continue to perform audit engagements when we believe our audit procedures can satisfactorily address the risk of material financial statement misstatement. Our client acceptance and continuance procedures consider whether:

- the engagement is allowable under professional and regulatory standards and is within our professional competence and capabilities;
- key management, board members, and significant shareholders are people of integrity and good repute;
- the entity’s operations are governed by acceptable standards of behavior;
- there are any unresolved issues involving independence, conflicts of interest, or relationships with other entities that may have a bearing on whether, and on what conditions, we undertake an audit engagement; and
- there are any unreasonable timing or resource constraints that would affect our ability to comply with applicable standards.

Engagement performance

Our approach

At PwC, we believe that performing audits in accordance with professional standards is an important way that we fulfill our purpose to build trust in society and solve important problems. Each year we issue audit reports regarding thousands of public and private companies. Before PwC issues its opinion, we conduct an audit following applicable auditing standards in order to obtain reasonable assurance regarding whether the financial statements are presented fairly, in all material respects. Although reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, it is not a guarantee. An audit involves examining the underlying audit evidence, including information and reports provided by the company, on a test basis. We also test, rely and, for many public registrants, opine on a company’s internal control over financial reporting, which due to its inherent limitations may not prevent or detect misstatements. We are proud of the important role that audit opinions play in the capital markets, and how they help us to fulfill our broader purpose as a firm.
Audit methodology and processes

We innovate our audit process by standardizing, simplifying, and automating our work to promote doing the right work at the right time. This supports consistency in the execution of our procedures, promotes adherence to professional auditing standards, and improves the experience for our clients through earlier identification and resolution of potential issues.

Foundational to our methodology is the assessment of risk. Our audit risk assessment begins in the planning phase of the audit and continues through the issuance of our report. After we gain an understanding of the client's business processes and consider the impact of changing conditions, we identify and evaluate the risks within the processes and develop audit procedures responsive to the client’s circumstances. We reinforce the importance of appropriate planning and phasing of audit work by setting realistic audit planning deadlines. Further, the timely engagement of audit partners and managers during planning facilitates the appropriate consideration of audit risk and the planned response.

In the interest of continuous improvement, over the past year we have:

- transformed our public and nonpublic audit policy and methodology guides to make them easier and more effective for engagement teams to use;
- introduced customizable cloud-based disclosure checklists;
- expanded our use of data auditing tools;
- enhanced our methodology, training, and audit performance and documentation tools in response to new accounting standards (e.g., revenue, leases, credit loss) and other events that may impact our clients’ financial statements (e.g., cybersecurity, tax reform);
- responded to the PCAOB’s adoption of a new auditor reporting model with guidance, templates, and consultation support, and are working with our clients to implement the new requirements related to Critical Audit Matters; and
- increased leverage of our SDCs and additional resource pools and introduced Centers of Excellence in certain audit areas to leverage our tech-enabled audit solutions.
**Audit committee communications**

The audit committee’s oversight of auditors is another key element of audit quality. Through timely, meaningful exchanges, we obtain the audit committee’s perspectives and fulfill our professional responsibilities to communicate certain items to them. Required communications include discussions about our independence, our role, and the roles of management and the audit committee, among others. For public company audits, our communications occur at least quarterly. Examples of other topics we may discuss include perspectives on:

- management’s plans to adopt new accounting standards and respond to new laws and regulations, such as tax reform;
- new auditing standards;
- financial, business, and operational risks to the company;
- the quality of management, the company’s culture, and the tone at the top;
- areas of significant estimates and judgments;
- company resources to be allocated to the audit;
- firm resources allocated to the audit;
- the appropriateness of audit fees relative to the work to be performed;
- the potential effects of proposed non-audit services on independence; and
- firm PCAOB inspection results.

These are just some of the topics we cover. We also encourage audit committees to ask us candid questions and engage in an open dialogue with us to help foster an environment of accountability.

We have also made enhancements to the content, templates, and technology our people use to report to audit committees. These updates drive consistency across engagement teams and are focused on highlighting meaningful insights, such as emerging risks and trending topics related to governance and the client’s industry.

**Resource management**

We continue to enhance the quality and efficiency of our audits by leveraging our SDCs to perform standardized audit and administrative procedures. Utilization of these centers allows engagement teams to focus on the more judgmental aspects of the audit, thereby enhancing audit quality and improving project management. SDC staff receive relevant training and are subject to independence policies. Supervision and reviews of SDC staff work are comparable to supervision and reviews of our practice staff work.

We’re also looking at how we can continue to innovate the end-to-end audit process beyond the use of SDCs. Specifically, we’re further streamlining, standardizing, automating, and centralizing pieces of the audit in Centers of Excellence. Our goal: to continue to enhance quality through consistency and improve the experience of our clients and teams by tech-enabling the audit. This past year, we piloted these concepts on specific financial statement line items and saw the benefits of standardization and consistency in approach. Looking ahead, we plan to continue to expand the rollout of this initiative across the Assurance practice. This initiative includes the creation of dedicated tech applications that will maximize how we leverage emerging technology over time, such as robotic and intelligent process automation, natural language processing, optical character recognition, and machine learning.

**Consultation process and use of specialists**

As part of our collaborative culture, engagement teams have access to specialists from our multiple lines of service and the firm’s quality support network. This network includes our National Office, Assurance Quality Management, Chief Auditor Network, and Quality Review Partners.

Our engagement teams utilize firm specialists (e.g., valuation, tax, information technology) to support various accounting and auditing areas depending on the circumstances of the engagement.
National office

Our National Office is comprised of technical accounting, auditing, and financial reporting specialists. These specialists play a vital role in keeping our policies and guidance in these areas current. Our policies identify matters that require National Office consultation. Additionally, partners and staff can voluntarily consult on any matter and are encouraged to do so when engagement-specific facts and circumstances warrant a consultation. In the event an audit partner initially has a different viewpoint than our National Office, a resolution process provides guidance for elevating the discussion until agreement can be reached.

Our ratio of partners serving in technical support roles to the total number of audit partners fluctuates based on our periodic evaluation of our technical support resource needs and leverage model, which includes the use of managing directors, to ensure sufficient, high-quality technical resources are available for our audit teams. For example, the ratio may change based on the resources needed to prepare guidance, policies, and publications as a result of new accounting and auditing standards.

Through presentations, client interactions, publications, podcasts, videos, and webcasts, the National Office also keeps our audit teams, clients, and other stakeholders informed of standard-setting activity and regulatory matters. Please see cfodirect.com for our publicly-available National Office content.

Assurance Quality Management

Our Assurance Quality Management network includes experienced audit partners who serve in national, regional, and local roles. Quality Management professionals are responsible for the design, development, and implementation of our assurance quality management policies.

Quality Management partners support audit teams in assessing risks (such as whether to undertake or continue an audit engagement) and applying the firm’s quality management policies. Audit teams are required to consult with a Quality Management partner on specific issues (e.g., principal auditor considerations, going concern matters, and misstatement evaluations). In addition to required consultations, audit teams are encouraged to consult with Quality Management whenever they believe they could benefit from their insights.

Chief Auditor Network

Our Chief Auditor Network comprises partners and professionals who help audit teams design effective and efficient audit approaches and reinforce key learning points from audit training and guidance. Our chief auditors contribute to market and industry group meetings focused on audit quality topics and serve as instructors for many of our audit-related training courses. Chief auditors also provide advice on auditing matters through review of certain aspects of selected audit engagements before those audits are completed. Through these activities, the Chief Auditor Network is able to provide leaders with insights on overall audit quality trends.

Quality Review Partner

Quality Review Partners (QRPs) and QRP assistants are a component of our quality control system. Individuals serving in these roles must have the requisite technical knowledge, training, experience, and time to perform the role effectively. All QRPs and QRP assistants are required to take training before assuming their role.

QRPs and QRP assistants are involved in the most important aspects of the audit, including reviewing the audit plan, considering the firm’s independence, discussing the significant risks of material misstatement in the financial statements and our responses to those risks, and reviewing certain accounting, auditing, and financial reporting and disclosure matters.
Monitoring of Assurance quality

Continuous improvement cycle

One driver of quality is our ability to identify opportunities for enhancement and quickly respond. The graphic below illustrates our continuous improvement process. We use the results of pre-issuance reviews and internal and external inspections to identify opportunities to enhance quality.

Pre-issuance reviews

One way we monitor quality is to review audit work on certain audit engagements prior to the issuance of their respective audit report. Each year we reassess the scope and areas of focus of these reviews, taking into consideration recent inspection results and knowledge gained by our Chief Auditors in supporting engagement teams.

Our pre-issuance reviews provide engagement teams with timely feedback, which can be incorporated into their audit prior to the completion of fieldwork. These reviews primarily focus on the implementation of new standards and policies, audit methodology enhancements, and matters noted during inspections cycles.

In FY18, our Inspections Group and Chief Auditor Network combined performed over 100 pre-issuance reviews.

Summary of the Firm’s Quality Management Systems and Risk Processes Standard

The PwC network has issued Quality Management Systems and Risk Processes Standards applicable to all PwC member firms. The objective of the Quality Management Systems and Risk Processes Standards is for all member firms to establish business processes that promote and facilitate the delivery of quality services.

This is done through their Line of Service (LOS) quality and risk management functions and enable the firm and its personnel to meet applicable professional standards, regulations and legal requirements, as well as PwC network standards and policies. Supporting this key element, the Assurance-specific requirement states that Assurance leadership must have implemented and maintained a quality control system as required by the International Standard on Quality Control 1 (ISQC1).

ISQC1

ISQC1 sets forth the elements of a system of quality control. It states that a system of quality control consists of policies designed to provide a firm with reasonable assurance that the following objectives are met:

- The firm and its personnel comply with professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements and
- Reports issued by the firm or engagement partners are appropriate in the circumstances.
- Policies and procedures shall be established to address the following elements:
  - Leadership
  - Ethical requirements (including independence)
  - Acceptance and continuance
  - Human resources (including learning and education)
  - Engagement performance (including Quality Review Partner)
  - Monitoring
ISQC 1 requires us to establish policies and procedures requiring appropriate documentation to provide evidence of the operation of each element within its system of quality control. In addition, we are responsible for the creation of procedures necessary to implement and monitor compliance with those policies.

**Internal inspections**

Our internal inspections program assesses audit engagements’ compliance with firm policies, procedures, and applicable professional and regulatory standards.

Under the firm’s internal inspections program, audit engagement partners are generally selected for inspection at least once every four years. Overall, the engagement selection process results in a sample of audit engagements that is representative of our audit practice (e.g., sector, geography, size) and meets or exceeds the requirements set forth in the applicable quality control professional standards.

Our Inspections Group oversees all aspects of the internal inspections program, including its design and execution. The group monitors audit quality, drives consistency in our inspections process, and delivers insights into areas for continued focus. This group, along with support from Assurance personnel with relevant industry or technical expertise (e.g., tax, valuation, actuarial), executes the annual inspections. Approximately 800 partners and professional staff will participate as reviewers in the 2018 internal inspections of 2017 audit engagements.

The Inspections Group communicates inspections observations and results to the Assurance practice. Further, the Inspections Group works with other groups in the National Office, Learning and Development, and firm leadership to identify actions we could take to continue to enhance quality. For example, additional guidance or training, audit methodology modifications, or targeted messaging from leadership are ways we can sustain and improve audit quality.

Based on our 2017 inspection results, we continue to focus on specific aspects of:

- substantive and control testing over revenue;
- the evaluation of the design and operating effectiveness of controls;
- the auditing of estimates;
- the testing of journal entries; and
- complying with independence pre-approval and documentation processes and report issuance policies.

A detailed analysis of our 2018 inspections is currently in progress and is expected to be completed in September.

The Inspections Group annually evaluates the firm’s system of quality control over our audit practice. Our quality control system includes: (1) leadership’s responsibility related to quality; (2) relevant ethical requirements; (3) considerations in undertaking an audit engagement; (4) human capital needs; (5) engagement performance; and (6) our process for monitoring the effectiveness of our quality control policies and procedures. Our system of quality control is also subject to annual review by professionals from the PwC network. Our most recent annual evaluation confirmed that our system of quality control over our audit practice is designed appropriately and functioning effectively.
External inspections

PCAOB inspections of our public company audit practice provide a data point for audit quality and represent an important check on our internal monitoring and assessment processes.

The PCAOB reviews a relatively small percentage of our issuer audit clients annually, using a largely risk-based approach. This risk-based approach is designed to target particularly complex audit areas, industries, and clients. There are inherent differences in the method used by the PCAOB to select audits for inspection compared to that used for our internal inspections.

The most recent inspection report on our audit practice is dated December 19, 2017 (the “2016 Inspection Report”), and describes the results of the PCAOB’s 2016 inspection of 56 (or approximately 3%) of our 2015 year-end public company audits.

Part I of the PCAOB

Part I, which is the public portion of the PCAOB inspection report, contains an overview of the inspections procedures and observations on the engagements inspected. Partly in response to that report, we continue to focus on the following areas.

- Auditing internal control over financial reporting, specifically the design and operating effectiveness testing of controls involving management review of financial information or accounting analyses
- Sufficiency of evaluation and corroboration of management’s key assumptions, including information that may contradict those assumptions or related management judgments, and testing of key data inputs used in impairment assessments and business combination valuations.

Part II of the PCAOB

Part II of the inspection report reflects observations identified during the PCAOB’s review of certain practices, policies, and processes related to our system of quality control, including observations developed from the engagement-specific findings reported in Part I. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act mandates that Part II not be made public if a firm addresses the quality control observations to the PCAOB’s satisfaction within 12 months of the date of the inspection report. In July 2018, the PCAOB informed us that it is satisfied with how we addressed observations contained in Part II of our 2015 inspection report (which covered our 2014 year-end audits). The 12-month period for us to address the comments made in Part II of our 2016 Inspection Report expires on December 18, 2018.

Analyses of quality drivers

We perform analyses of audits with and without deficiencies identified through internal and external inspections. A team of reviewers that is independent from the engagement team identifies and considers factors relevant to technical knowledge, supervision and review, professional skepticism, engagement resources, and training, among others. These potential causal factors are identified by evaluating engagement information, performing interviews, and reviewing audit working papers.

We compare and contrast the data for audits with and without deficiencies to identify whether certain factors appear to correlate to audit quality. Examples of this data include the hours incurred on the audit, whether key engagement team members are in the same geography as the client, the number of years that key engagement team members have been on the engagement, the number of other audits the engagement partners are involved in, whether the engagement was subject to a pre-issuance review, and the timing of when the audit work was planned and performed.

Our goal is to understand how quality audits may differ from those with deficiencies, and to use those observations to identify enhancements that may be useful to implement across the practice. We believe these analyses contribute to our quality controls.
Governance and structure

The firm’s Senior Partner serves as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and manages the firm pursuant to the powers delegated to him by the firm’s partners. To assist in discharging his responsibilities, the Senior Partner has appointed a Leadership Team to work with him to manage the firm. The responsibilities of the Senior Partner and the Leadership Team include establishing and determining the effectiveness of the firm’s system of internal control, including controls relating to the quality of the firm’s audit services. Changes to the Leadership Team are determined by the Senior Partner. The Senior Partner is elected by a partner vote for a four-year term. The Senior Partner may be re-elected for a second and a third term, unless limited by age according to the partnership agreement and, with respect to any third term, subject to the Board of Partners and Principals approving the individual’s nomination.

Members of the Leadership Team as of June 30, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tim Ryan</td>
<td>US Chairman and Senior Partner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe Atkinson</td>
<td>Chief Digital Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roz Brooks</td>
<td>Public Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caroline Cheng</td>
<td>General Counsel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martyn Curragh</td>
<td>Chief Financial Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Dillon</td>
<td>Chief Diversity &amp; Inclusion Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suneet Dua</td>
<td>Network Leader</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vicki Huff Eckert</td>
<td>New Business &amp; Innovation Leader</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miles Everson</td>
<td>Global and Asia Pacific Americas Advisory Leader</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Fenlon</td>
<td>Chief People Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Flanagan</td>
<td>Vice Chairman and US Managing Partner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohamed Kande</td>
<td>Advisory Leader</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reggie Walker</td>
<td>Chief Commercial Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roy Weathers</td>
<td>Tax Leader</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amity Millhiser</td>
<td>Chief Clients Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maria Castañón Moats</td>
<td>Assurance Leader</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Price</td>
<td>Partner Affairs Leader and Chief Administrative Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Mendola</td>
<td>Vice Chairman and US Managing Partner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christine Lattanzio</td>
<td>Communications and Change Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Mendola</td>
<td>Vice Chairman and US Managing Partner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauricio Hurtado</td>
<td>Mexico Country Senior Partner</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Board of Partners and Principals

Authority

The Board is responsible for overseeing the overall strategic direction of the firm. It oversees long-range strategies and business plans, and approves major transactions that could significantly affect the firm’s business. Its authority also includes the approval of the firm’s capital policies, the manner in which partners participate in firm profits, and the admission of new partners.

It approves the compensation of the Senior Partner and members of the Leadership Team as a group, after review and recommendation by a committee of the Board. All candidates proposed by the Senior Partner Nominating Committee to stand for election as Senior Partner must also be approved by the Board.

Composition

The Board consists of partners and principals of the firm who have been elected for staggered terms of approximately four years, as well as two external directors, each of whom also has a term of approximately four years. Our external directors meet our rigorous independence requirements to protect our reputation, objectivity, and integrity. They bring additional insights, expertise, and objective perspectives into our governance process as we consider the firm’s strategy, growth, and service offerings.

The Board is chaired by a Lead Director, who is elected by the members of the Board other than the Senior Partner. As of June 2018, there are 20 members of the Board in addition to the firm’s Senior Partner:

Members of the Board of Partners and Principals as of June 30, 2018

Tim Ryan  
US Chairman and Senior Partner

Alan Page  
Lead Director

Thomas Archer

Mark Borden

Len Combs

Brian Cullinan

Brendan Dougher

Carrie Duarte

John Farina

Scott Gehsmann

Carlos Gutierrez  
External Director

Dave Hoffman

Paul Kepple

James Kolar

Paula Loop

Brian Meighan

Carol Pottenger  
External Director

Michael Quinlan

Lisa Sawicki

Deidre Schiela

Jose Ignacio Toussaint
Committees

The Board is assisted by various committees that help to carry out its role. The Risk and Quality (R&Q) Committee provides oversight and monitors the appropriate policies, processes, and procedures for managing and minimizing risks of the firm. The R&Q Committee also comprises the Accounting and Auditing Practice Committee, which provides oversight of the accounting and audit practice of the firm.

As requested by the Board, the R&Q Committee reviews regulatory matters that affect the firm and, as appropriate, other parts of the PwC network. Such matters may include accounting licensing and professional standards issues, internal and external quality inspection results, and global regulatory trends.

The Technology & People Committee provides oversight and makes recommendations to the Board concerning the firm’s technology and innovation strategies and initiatives and their interaction with human capital strategies and initiatives.

Board member selection process

The partner vote for selecting Board members who are partners of the firm is on a headcount basis. Partners vote by ranking the candidates for the Board. The candidates with the most votes are elected. Board elections are supervised by an independent election teller.

External Directors are elected to the Board by the affirmative vote of two-thirds of the members of the Board voting thereon.
**List of public interest entities**

Below is a current list of our relevant audit clients that have issued transferable securities on a regulated market within the EU. The aggregate audit fee earned as of June 30, 2018 for these clients totaled $275,367,570.

- Bank of America Corporation
- Carnival Corporation
- Caterpillar, Inc
- Ford Motor Company
- International Business Machines Corporation
- International Flavors & Fragrances, Inc.
- JPMorgan Chase & Co
- Merck & Co., Inc.
- Mondelez International, Inc.
- Schlumberger Limited
- SPDR Dow Jones Industrial Average ETF Trust
- The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.
- Toyota Motor Credit Corporation
- TechnipFMC plc
- Utrecht - America Holdings, Inc
- VW Credit, Inc.
- VW Credit Canada, Inc.

**Financial information**

PwC’s gross revenue for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2018 is shown below:

**US Firm Gross Revenue**

($mil) – 16,847

**US Gross Revenue Mix – By Practice Area:**

- Assurance 36%
- Tax 28%
- Advisory 36%
The table below is a list of audit firms and statutory auditors within the network i.e. from EU or EEA Member States as of June 30, 2018.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country and Name of firm/statutory auditor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Austria</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PwC Entrepreneurs CAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liechtenstein</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PwC Entrepreneurs CAC France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lithuania</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PwC Entrepreneurs Commissariat aux Comptes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Malta</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PwC Wirtschaftsprüfung GmbH, Wien</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PricewaterhouseCoopers GmbH, Vaduz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Netherlands</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PwC Entrepreneurs France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PricewaterhouseCoopers Wirtschaftsprüfungsgesellschaft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Norway</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Didier Falconnet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PricewaterhouseCoopers Polska sp. z o.o.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Portugal</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Pierre Pégaz-Florinete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PricewaterhouseCoopers &amp; Associados-Sociedade de Revisores Oficiais do Contas Lda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Romania</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Didier de Rocquigny</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Slovak Republic</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PricewaterhouseCoopers Slovensko, s.r.o.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Slovenia</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Hubert de Rocquigny</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spain</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PwC Steiermark Wirtschaftsprüfung und Steuerberatung GmbH, Graz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PricewaterhouseCoopers Société coopérative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sweden</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Jean-Louis Bouchet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Switzerland</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Jean-François Bourrin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PricewaterhouseCoopers Wirtschaftsprüfungs GmbH, Dornbirn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UK</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Philippe Aerts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PricewaterhouseCoopers Accountants N.V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ukraine</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Didier Falconnet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PricewaterhouseCoopers Polska sp. z o.o.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Uzbekistan</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Didier de Rocquigny</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PricewaterhouseCoopers Polska sp. z o.o.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vietnam</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Didier de Rocquigny</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PricewaterhouseCoopers Polska sp. z o.o.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Zambia</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Didier de Rocquigny</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PricewaterhouseCoopers Polska sp. z o.o.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Registration ceased subsequent to 30 June 2018

The total revenue from the statutory audits of annual and consolidated financial statements completed by EU/EEA firms that are part of the PwC network was, to the best extent calculable, approximately $3 billion Euros. This represents the revenue from each entity's most recent financial year converted to Euros at the exchange rate prevailing at that financial year end date.