
IFRS 17: Redefining insurance accounting

June 2017



Introduction

In May 2017, the IASB finished its long-standing project to develop an accounting standard on insurance contracts and published IFRS 17, 'Insurance Contracts'. IFRS 17 replaces IFRS 4, which currently permits a wide variety of practices. IFRS 17 will fundamentally change the accounting by all entities that issue insurance contracts and investment contracts with discretionary participation features.

The standard applies to annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2021, with earlier application permitted if IFRS 15, 'Revenue from Contracts with Customers', and IFRS 9, 'Financial Instruments', are also applied.

Scope

IFRS 17 applies to insurance contracts issued, to all reinsurance contracts and to investment contracts with discretionary participating features if an entity also issues insurance contracts. For fixed-fee service contracts whose primary purpose is the provision of services, entities have an accounting policy choice to account for them in accordance with either IFRS 17 or IFRS 15. Similar to the position under IFRS 4, financial guarantee contracts are allowed to be within the scope of IFRS 17 if the entity previously asserted explicitly that it regarded them as insurance contracts. Insurance contracts (other than reinsurance) where the entity is a policyholder are not within the scope of IFRS 17.

Embedded derivatives and distinct investment and service components should be 'unbundled' and accounted for separately in accordance with the related IFRSs. Voluntary unbundling of other components is prohibited.

The measurement model

IFRS 17 requires a current measurement model, where estimates are remeasured in each reporting period. The measurement is based on the building blocks of discounted, probability-weighted cash flows, a risk adjustment and a contractual service margin ('CSM') representing the unearned profit of the contract.

Figure 1: IFRS 17 liability measurement model



Contractual service margin

Unearned profits recognized systematically over the coverage period.



Risk adjustment

Reflects the compensation that the entity requires for uncertainty. Quantifies the value difference between a certain and an uncertain liability.



Discounting

Discounting future cash flows using a 'top-down' or 'bottom-up' approach to obtain discount rates that reflect the characteristics of the liability.



Estimate of future cash flows

Explicit, unbiased and probability weighted estimate of future cash flows.

For presentation and measurement, entities are required at initial recognition to disaggregate a portfolio (that is, contracts that are subject to similar risks and managed together as a single pool) into three groups of contracts: onerous; no significant risk of becoming onerous; and remaining contracts. Contracts that are issued more than one year apart should not be in the same group.

Changes in cash flows related to future services should be recognised against the CSM. The CSM cannot be negative, so changes in future cash flows that are greater than the remaining CSM are recognised in profit or loss. Interest is accreted on the CSM at rates locked in at initial recognition of a contract. To reflect the service provided, the CSM is released to profit or loss in each period on the basis of passage of time.

Under IFRS 17, entities have an accounting policy choice to recognise the impact of changes in discount rates and other assumptions that relate to financial risks either in profit or loss or in other comprehensive income ('OCI'). The OCI option for insurance liabilities reduces some volatility in profit or loss for insurers where financial assets are measured at amortised cost or fair value through OCI under IFRS 9.

A simplified premium allocation approach is permitted for the liability for remaining coverage if it provides a measurement that is not materially different from the general model or if the coverage period is one year or less. However, claims incurred will need to be measured based on the building blocks of discounted, risk-adjusted, probability-weighted cash flows.

The variable-fee approach is required for insurance contracts that specify a link between payments to the policyholder and the returns on underlying items, such as some 'participating', 'with profits' and 'unit linked' contracts. The interest on the CSM for such contracts is accreted implicitly through adjusting the CSM for the change in the variable fee. The variable fee represents the entity's share of the fair value of the underlying items less amounts payable to policyholders that do not vary based on the underlying items. The CSM is also adjusted for the time value of money and the effect of changes in financial risks not arising from underlying items such as options and guarantees.

Figure 2. Ma	ain feat	ures of	IFRS	17
--------------	----------	---------	------	----

	Why is it needed?	Key features	Applicable contracts
General model	To be used as default model for all insurance contracts	 Discounted cash flow model with an allowance for risk Market-consistent valuation of options and guarantees Discount rates reflect characteristics of the insurance contracts No day one profits – recognized as a contractual service margin (CSM) and amortized in profit and loss (P&L) over the contract term New income statement presentation and definition of revenue Other comprehensive income (OCI) option¹ for changes in discount rates to reduce P&L volatility Transition approach allows some simplifications and judgement 	Long-term and whole life insurance, protection business Life contingent annuities Universal life Reinsurance contracts Certain general insurance contracts
PAA	To simplify for short-term contracts	Optional simplified model is allowed for short duration contracts (e.g., coverage period up to one year) Applied to measure the pre-claims liability – akin to unearned premium accounting The General model is applied for measuring incurred claims	Short-term general insurance Short-term group contracts Short-term reinsurance contracts
Variable fee approach	To deal with participating business where the liability is tied to underlying items	Reflects the link to underlying returns for contracts that participate in a clearly identified pool of underlying items, where policyholders are paid a substantial share of the returns and a substantial proportion of the cash flows vary with the underlying items. As per General model with additional features, notably: Changes in insurers' share of assets recognized in CSM Accretion of interest on CSM at current rates Profit or loss movement in liabilities mirrors treatment on underlying assets	Segregated funds Certain participating contracts Certain unit linked contracts Separate accounts

^{1.} OCI - comprises items of income and expenditure that are not recognised in P&L

Presentation and Disclosure

Requirements in IFRS 17 align the presentation of revenue with other industries. Revenue is allocated to periods in proportion to the value of expected coverage and other services that the insurer provides in the period, and claims are presented when incurred. Investment components (that is, amounts repaid to policyholders even if the insured event does not occur) are excluded from revenue and claims.



Insurers are required to disclose information about amounts, judgements and risks arising from insurance contracts. The disclosure requirements are more detailed than currently required under IFRS 4.

Transition

On transition to IFRS 17, an entity applies IFRS 17 retrospectively to groups of insurance contracts, unless it is impracticable. In this case, the entity is permitted to choose between a modified retrospective approach and the fair value approach. In applying a modified retrospective approach, the entity achieves the closest outcome to retrospective application using reasonable and supportable information and choosing from a list of available simplifications. Alternatively, the CSM at transition can be based on fair value at transition. In practice, using different approaches to transition could result in significantly different outcomes that will drive profit recognised in future periods for contracts in force on transition.

Impact and insights

IFRS 17 will impact businesses well beyond the finance, actuarial and systems development areas (for example, product design and distribution, development of revised incentive and wider remuneration policies and reconfigured budgeting and forecasting methodologies feeding into business planning). There could also be an impact on the cash tax position and dividends, both on transition and going forward.

IFRS 17 might require more than three years to implement. Gap analysis and impact assessments to develop an implementation roadmap will enable entities to begin the detailed implementation project. A fundamental shift might be required in the way in which data is collected, stored and analysed, changing the emphasis from a prospective to a retrospective basis of analysis and introducing a more granular level of measurement and additional disclosures. Before the effective date, insurers will need to carefully consider their 'IFRS 17 story' for investors and analysts, as well as the key metrics that they will apply in the new world.

Comparison of IFRS 17, Insurance Contracts, to 2013 IASB Exposure Draft (ED)

Many large insurance groups have carried out financial and operational impact assessments based on the IASB's 2013 Exposure Draft (ED). The following chart outlines key differences between the 2013 IASB ED and the final version of the standard.

Topic IFRS 17 2013 IASB ED

Key changes

Insurance contracts with direct participation features

- Contracts where at inception policyholder participates in a share of clearly identified pool of underlying items, expects to pay to the policyholder a substantial share of fair value returns on those items and expects a substantial proportion of any change in amounts paid to policyholder to vary with fair value changes of underlying items
- Contract viewed as substantially an investment-related service contract, where obligation is the net of:
 - (a) Obligation to pay policyholder the fair value of underlying items and
 - (b) Variable fee based on entity's share of value of underlying items less other fulfillment cash outflows that do not vary based on returns of underlying item (e.g. death benefits and interest rate guarantees)
- Changes in entity's share of fair value of underlying items and financial guarantees adjust contractual service margin (CSM) unless entity uses derivatives to mitigate its risk and chooses to record these changes in statement of profit or loss to offset the derivative changes
- Changes in other fulfillment cash flows treated similar to other cash flows in general model
- If entity holds underlying items, amount in profit or loss for finance income or expense matches the finance income or expense on underlying items
- Entity not required to hold underlying items

- Separate accounting for contracts where contract specifies a link between payments to policyholder and returns on underlying items
- Measurement was split between:
 - (a) Cash flows expected to vary directly with underlying items – apply 'mirroring approach'
 - (b) Other fulfillment cash flows – apply general building block model
- Entity needed to hold underlying items

Topic	IFRS 17	2013 IASB ED
Level of aggregation for presentation and measurement	 At initial recognition, disaggregate a portfolio (i.e. insurance contracts subject to similar risks and managed together) into up to 3 groups of contracts: onerous, profitable with no significant possibility of becoming onerous, other profitable contracts Contracts issued more than one year apart may not be in same group Release CSM to profit or loss in each period to reflect services provided for each group using coverage units Coverage units for a group considers for each contract in the group quantity of benefits provided and expected coverage duration;re- estimated prospectively each period Narrow exemption from normal grouping for the effects of law or regulatory constraints 	 Implied measurement at contract level Portfolio definition also required contracts to be 'priced similarly'
Transition	 Apply standard retrospectively to groups of insurance contracts, unless impracticable If impracticable, choice between modified retrospective approach and fair value approach on a group by group basis. Simplifications for classification, grouping, discount rates, and determination of risk adjustment and CSM Transition date: beginning of annual reporting period immediately preceding date of initial application and only one restated comparative period required If previously applied IFRS 9, on adoption of IFRS 17 entity can reassess the business model for eligible financial assets based on circumstances at that date 	 Fully retrospective, with a modified retrospective approach, but with less available simplifications Transition date: beginning of earliest period presented

IFRS 17 Topic 2013 IASB ED Other changes - Subsequent measurement Onerous contracts Favorable changes in estimates relating to Favorable changes after loss future coverage or other services that arise recognition recorded as after previously recognised losses are positive adjustments to CSM recognised immediately in profit or loss to extent they reverse those prior losses Risk adjustment CSM is adjusted for changes in risk All changes in risk adjustment adjustment relating to future coverage and recorded in profit or other services loss immediately Entities have choice to disaggregate changes in risk adjustment into insurance service and insurance finance components CSM ordering CSM should be amortised last, after all other No explicit guidance adjustments to CSM have been made and after revised number of remaining coverage units has been determined Other changes - Discount rate Impact of changes in Policy choice to recognise impact of changes Recognise impact of changes discount rates in discount rate in profit or loss or in other in discount rates in OCI comprehensive income (OCI) by portfolio **Participating** If choice made to disaggregate finance Limited guidance contracts not meeting income or expense between profit or loss the direct and OCI, amount included in profit or loss participating contract in each period is determined by a definition or where 'systematic allocation' underlying items not For contracts with variable/discretionary held (e.g. some crediting rates, 'systematic allocation' can be Universal-life determined using a constant allocation rate, or contracts) an allocation based on amounts credited in the period and expected to be credited in future periods Premium allocation If choice made to disaggregate finance Discount rate at date of initial approach (PAA) income or expense between profit or loss and recognition of contract OCI, amount included in profit or loss in each period is determined using discount rate at date of incurred claim

Topic IFRS 17 2013 IASB ED

Other changes - Premium allocation approach (PAA)

Eligibility

- Eligibility for PAA is based on a comparison of expected balance of liability for remaining coverage under PAA versus expected balance of liability for remaining coverage under general model; threshold is whether the two balances are reasonably expected to not materially differ
- Unclear which balances under PAA needed to be compared to general model

Allocation of revenue

- Allocate revenue to each period of coverage based on passage of time unless expected pattern of release of risk during coverage period differs significantly from passage of time; in which case, use expected timing of incurred insurance service expenses as basis
- 'Expected premium receipts' to be allocated to revenue exclude any investment component and are adjusted to reflect discounting, if applicable
- Allocated in a 'systematic way' that best reflects the transfer of services that are provided'
- Revenue in each period was 'the amount of the expected premium receipts allocated for the period'

Other changes - Reinsurance

Recognition – reinsurance contracts held

- If a group of reinsurance contracts held provides proportionate coverage, cedant should recognise the reinsurance contracts at the beginning of the coverage period of the group of reinsurance contracts held or at the initial recognition of any underlying contract, whichever is later
- In all other cases, cedant should recognise them from the beginning of the coverage period of the group of reinsurance contracts held
- Recognised at beginning of coverage period for 'aggregate covers'
- In all other cases, cedant would have recognised as each underlying contract was recognised

Changes in fulfillment • cash flows

- If changes in fulfilment cash flows on underlying insurance contracts relating to future service are taken immediately to profit or loss (i.e. recording or reversing onerous contract entries), the corresponding change in the ceded reinsurance cash flows will also be recorded in profit or loss rather than as an adjustment to CSM
- All changes in cash flows relating to future service were recorded as adjustment to CSM

For more information, please contact:

Chen Voon Hoe

Accounting Advisory Leader +65 6236 7488 voon.hoe.chen@sg.pwc.com **Ang Sock Sun**

Insurance Accounting and Regulatory Advisory Leader +65 6236 3638 sock.sun.ang@sg.pwc.com

This publication has been prepared for general guidance on matters of interest only, and does not constitute professional advice. You should not act upon the information contained in this publication without obtaining specific professional advice. No representation or warranty (express or implied) is given as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in this publication, and, to the extent permitted by law, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, its members, employees and agents do not accept or assume any liability, responsibility or duty of care for any consequences of you or anyone else acting, or refraining to act, in reliance on the information contained in this publication or for any decision based on it.

© 2017 PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. All rights reserved. In this document, "PwC" refers to the UK member firm, and may sometimes refer to the PwC network. Each member firm is a separate legal entity. Please see www.pwc.com/structure for further details.