



## The Barriers that Bind Us – Why African climate capital isn’t flowing and how to fix it

The opportunities in African climate fintech are massive, but so are the frictions. Building a new financial asset class on a continent where macroeconomic ground is constantly shifting requires confronting structural barriers that cannot be wished away. Understanding these challenges is essential for any serious conversation about scaling climate finance—and for the policymakers, investors, and entrepreneurs convening at IFF 2026 in Kigali.

The first barrier is the **hard cost of hardware**. Classic fintechs are “asset-light”, moving bits and bytes with minimal physical infrastructure. African climate fintechs are “asset-heavy”, dealing with solar panels, electric motorcycles, irrigation pumps, and similar equipment. While a payments startup can scale to one million users with little more than server costs, a climate fintech must pre-finance substantial inventory before acquiring a single customer. This creates a “cash flow trough” where companies appear unprofitable for years—not because unit economics are poor, but because they are deploying capital into physical assets faster than they can collect micro-payments.

The second barrier is the foreign **exchange pit**—the single biggest killer of African climate startups. Most climate fintechs raise capital in dollars or euros to purchase hardware from global markets, but collect revenue in local currencies like francs, naira, shilling, or cedi over longer durations. A devaluation event—such as the Nigerian naira losing approximately 40% of its value in 2024—can effectively double a startup’s debt burden overnight. A growing business with 99% repayment rates can still face insolvency simply because the currency crashed faster than customers could pay.



The third barrier is the data **black box**. Risk cannot be priced if it cannot be seen. Traditional African banks sit on liquidity but refuse to lend to green projects because they lack credit scoring models for nature-based assets. A traditional bank knows how to underwrite a mortgage based on 50 years of property data and repayment history, but does not know how to underwrite a Pay-As-You-Go solar irrigation pump with no historical data on crop yields, soil moisture, or rainfall reliability. This forces climate fintechs to lend off their own balance sheets—expensive and risky—rather than accessing cheaper bank leverage.

The fourth barrier is **regulatory lag**. Innovation is moving faster than regulation. Central banks in Rwanda, Uganda, Kenya, Ghana, Nigeria, and other African countries have learned to act swiftly on mobile money, but remain slower on climate-related projects, particularly those focused on adaptation. Who regulates a carbon credit? Is it a securities regulator, a commodity market policymaker, or an environmental ministry? This lack of clarity prevents banks from holding carbon credits as collateral. Meanwhile, decentralized energy trading—where households sell excess solar power to neighbors—remains illegal or unregulated in most African markets, effectively blocking the peer-to-peer energy revolution.

Beyond operational challenges, there is a specific **capital stack failure**. Early-stage startups can access venture capital, and mature companies can access bank debt, but there is almost no venture debt for growth-stage climate fintechs. A company needing \$5 million to buy inventory cannot get a loan without hard collateral and often must sell equity simply to build inventory. Standard VC funds operate on seven-to-ten-year cycles, but climate resilience is a ten-to-twenty-year endeavor requiring patient capital willing to wait for slower, compounding returns.

Perhaps most critically, there are almost no hedging instruments for African currencies beyond one year. Foreign investors cannot protect themselves against devaluation, forcing them to price loans in dollars and push FX risk entirely onto African startups.



The **adaptation penalty** compounds these challenges. For every \$10 invested in climate finance globally, roughly \$9 goes to mitigation and only \$1 to adaptation. Yet Africa is an “adaptation-first” continent, responsible for less than 4% of emissions but suffering the worst climate impacts. Adaptation projects face a specific gap of at least \$40 billion per year.

The **cost of capital itself** is a barrier. The average cost of capital for a clean energy project in Africa is roughly 15%, compared to 5 to 7% in developed economies. This 8-to-10% spread is purely risk pricing due to data gaps, FX risk, and the challenges enumerated above. Fintechs that address these challenges can compress this spread and unlock billions in viability.

African nations currently spend approximately **\$84 billion annually** servicing external debt—double the amount of climate finance they receive. Effectively, the continent pays out more to global creditors than it receives to address the climate crisis.

These are not insurmountable barriers. Rwanda and Ghana have already initiated a Fintech License Passporting framework to reduce licensing barriers and accelerate cross-border innovation. The IFF 2026 forums will bring together 290 government institutions and 20 central banks to address precisely these regulatory harmonisation challenges. The conversation is shifting from **whether to act** to **how to act at scale**.

**Our next article:** Identifying barriers is only half the battle—the other half is devising solutions. In tomorrow’s article (and our final article), we present the blueprint for Africa’s climate-native financial operating system. From regulatory **sandboxes** that eliminate friction to the shift from “**unicorn**” hunting to “**camel**” funding, from embedded climate finance to platforms that securitise the sun and collateralise the soil—we explore how governments, investors, and founders must fundamentally reimagine their roles. This is the roadmap from Kigali to a climate-resilient financial future. **The conversation ends. The construction begins.**



## Contacts



**Anish Chandra**

Director, Sustainability Services |  
Financial Services Consulting  
[anish.c.chandra@pwc.com](mailto:anish.c.chandra@pwc.com)

[in](#)



**Paul Frobisher Mugambwa**

Tax and Legal Business Solutions Leader,  
Clients and Markets Leader, PwC Rwanda  
[frobisher.mugambwa@pwc.com](mailto:frobisher.mugambwa@pwc.com)

[in](#)

At PwC, we help clients build trust and reinvent so they can turn complexity into competitive advantage. We're a tech-forward, people-empowered network with more than 364,000 people in 136 countries and 137 territories. Across audit and assurance, tax and legal, deals and consulting, we help clients build, accelerate, and sustain momentum. Find out more at [www.pwc.com](http://www.pwc.com). PwC refers to the PwC network and/or one or more of its member firms, each of which is a separate legal entity. Please see [www.pwc.com/structure](http://www.pwc.com/structure) for further details.

© 2026 PwC. All rights reserved (2026-486-61)