www.pwc.com/it

IDD - EIOPA Technical Advice on possible delegated acts

pwc

IDD - EIOPA Technical Advice on possible delegated acts

Inducements

Highlights

- *Specific IBIPs regime.*
- *Best interests of customers (instead of a benefit concept).*
- *Inducements scheme.*
- *Global asssessment methodology.*

Context

Recital 57 IDD:
"in order to ensure that any fee or commission or any non-monetary benefit in connection with the distribution of an insurance-based investment product paid to or paid by any party, except the customer or a person on behalf of the customer, does not have a detrimental impact on the quality of the relevant service to the customer, the insurance distributor should put in place appropriate and proportionate arrangements in order to avoid such detrimental impact. To that end, the insurance distributor should develop, adopt and regularly review policies and procedures relating to conflicts of interest with the aim of avoiding any detrimental impact on the quality of the relevant service to the customer and of ensuring that the customer is adequately informed about fees, commissions or benefits."

Recital 29.2 IDD: *"without prejudice to points (d) and (e) of Article 19(1), Article 19(3) and Article 22(3), Member States shall ensure that insurance intermediaries or insurance undertakings are regarded as fulfilling their obligations under Article 17(1), Article 27 or Article 28 where they pay or are paid any fee or commission, or provide or are provided with any non-monetary benefit in connection with the distribution of an insurance-based investment product or an ancillary service, to or by any party except the customer or a person on behalf of the customer only where the payment or benefit:*

(a) Does not have a detrimental impact on the quality of the relevant service to the customer; and
(b) Does not impair compliance with the insurance intermediary's or insurance undertaking's duty to act honestly, fairly and professionally in accordance with the best interests of its customers

Article 29.4 IDD: *"the Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 38 to specify:*

(a) The criteria for assessing whether inducements paid or received by an insurance intermediary or an insurance undertaking have a detrimental impact on the quality of the relevant service to the customer;

(b) The criteria for assessing compliance of insurance intermediaries and insurance undertakings paying or receiving inducements with the obligation to act honestly, fairly and professionally in accordance with the best interests of the customer".

1 Scope

Scope	Inducements paid or received by insurance undertakings and insurance intermediaries related to the distribution of an **Insurance-Based Investment Products (IBIPs)**
Inducement / Inducement scheme	• **Inducement**: any fee, commission, or any other monetary or non-monetary benefit which is paid or provided in connection with the distribution of an IBIP or an ancillary service. • **Inducement scheme**: set of rules that govern the payments of inducements and which generally include the criteria for accrual and payments of such inducements.
Exception	Fees paid by clients and internal payments of insurers and insurance intermediaries to their employees should be excluded from the scope.

2 Inducements: key issues

2.1 Objective sought

Insurance undertakings and insurance intermediaries are required to consider whether an inducement or inducement scheme may have a detrimental impact on the quality of the relevant service to clients in the context of the distribution of an IBIP.

In this regard, EIOPA establishes a "high-level principle" by which a ***detrimental impact on the quality of the relevant service to the customer will exist when the inducement is of such a nature and scale that it provides an incentive to carry out insurance distribution activities in a way which is not in accordance with the best interests of the customer***.

To this point, a two-step approach is proposed:

- Identification of all inducements which are paid to third parties by insurers and insurance intermediaries;

- Establishment of the necessary procedures to assess whether the inducements have a detrimental impact and of specific organisational arrangements aiming to address the risks of customer detrimental impact caused by the payment of inducements.

2.2 Methodology and criteria for assessing the detrimental impact

- A ***"global assessment"*** methodology is proposed, based upon an overall analysis that takes into consideration all relevant factors which may increase or decrease the risk of detrimental impact to clients, as well as all organisational measures taken by the insurance undertaking or insurance intermediary aiming to ensure that the inducements do not provide any incentive to carry out the insurance distribution activities in a way which is not in accordance with the best interests of the customer.

- EIOPA proposes in its Technical Advice ***some (non-exhaustive) examples***, as described on the table below, as guidance to market participants in assessing whether an inducement or inducement

scheme has a detrimental impact on the quality of the relevant service to the customer. As stated by EIOPA, this list is not supposed to introduce a legal assumption of detrimental impact, and the concurrence of a single accelerating factor will not automatically entail the consideration of a detrimental effect, requiring a case-by-case analysis.



2.3 Organisational requirements

- Insurance undertakings and insurance intermediaries ***shall establish, implement and maintain appropriate organisational arrangements and procedures in order to assess on an ongoing basis and ensure that the generic inducement paid for a particular type of contract and the structure of inducement schemes which they pay to or receive do not lead to a detrimental impact*** on the quality of the service provided to customers nor prevent the insurance intermediary or insurance undertaking from complying with their obligation to act honestly, fairly and professionally and in accordance with the best interests of their customers.

- In addition, it should be ensured that inducement schemes (including a policy of gifts and benefits) ***are approved by the insurance undertaking or insurance intermediary's senior management.***

3 Gap Analysis with MiFID II and IMD

	Gap analysis rispetto a MiFID II*	Gap analysis rispetto a IMD**
Nature of inducements	By express mandate of the Commission, the regulation on inducements foreseen in the IDD is consistent with MiFID II. However there is a relevant difference that should be highlighted: while MiFID II requires that inducements shall be designed to improve the quality of customer service, IDD only establish that the inducement shall not have a detrimental impact on the quality of customer service.	IMD, with reference to insurance broker's remuneration, only states that it is prohibited for these intermediaries to receive any remuneration other than the commission (defined as a percentage over the premium amount accrued at the time of sale of the insurance product).

* Directive 2014/65/EU on markets in financial instruments.

** Directive 2002/92/EC of 9 December on insurance mediation.

4 Main impacts of the requirements included within the Technical Advice on this topic

Requirement	Impact on the organisation (procedures, policies, contracts)	Impact on IT	Impact on business
Establishment of measures and procedures that assess and ensure the quality of the inducement			
Identification of risk factors (positive and negative)			
Approval of the inducement scheme by the entity's senior management			

For additional information, please contact with:

Avv. Fabrizio Cascinelli

Director | Tax and Legal Services | Legal & Regulatory
+39 345 6981767
+39 02 91605293
fabrizio.cascinelli@it.pwc.com

Gianpaolo Giovanardi

Senior Manager | Advisory | FS MI
+39 335 7350638

gianpaolo.giovanardi@it.pwc.com

Cinthia Giordano

Senior Manager | Actuarial Services | FS MI
+39 393 9449911
+39 02 89074319
cinthia.giordano@it.pwc.com

© 2017 PricewaterhouseCoopers Advisory SpA. All rights reserved. PwC refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers Advisory SpA, PricewaterhouseCoopers SpA and may sometimes refer to the PwC network. Each member firm is a separate legal entity. Please see **www.pwc.com/structure** for further details. This content is for general information purposes only, and should not be used as a substitute for consultation with professional advisors