

PwC Indonesia Legal Alert

November 2025 / No. 50



The New Indonesian Penal Code (KUHP *Baru*) (Vol. 2): Redefinition of sanctions for business offences

The New Indonesian Penal Code (KUHP *Baru*) (Vol. 2): Redefinition of sanctions for business offences

I. Introduction

The New Indonesian Penal Code (*Kitab Undang-Undang Hukum Pidana Baru* or KUHP *Baru*), enacted through Law No. 1 of 2023 and effective from 2 January 2026, marks a significant shift in the country's criminal justice framework. A key area of reform is the treatment of corporate criminal liability, reflecting the Government's increased focus on holding corporations accountable for unlawful conduct.

KUHP *Baru* moves away from the colonial-era Wetboek van Strafrecht (WvS), embedding Indonesian values and introducing a modernised, multifaceted sanction regime. It notably expands the scope and severity of sanctions applicable to corporations, signalling a stronger regulatory environment and greater risks for businesses operating in Indonesia.

With the introduction of KUHP *Baru* as an authentic legal product of Indonesia, several legal principles within it are rooted in the nation's traditional values. One such principle is the adoption of restorative justice as a core objective of sentencing. Restorative justice is presented as a response to the challenges posed by the retributive justice model long embedded in WvS. A major issue arising from this retributive approach is prison overcrowding. To address this, KUHP Baru introduces several new forms of sanctions. These alternatives aim to reduce reliance on imprisonment and encourage the use of other sentencing options.



II. Types of sanctions in KUHP Baru

Under WvS (the former Indonesian Criminal Code), offences were divided into two categories: crimes (misdirjven) and violations (overtredingen). That taxonomy carried concrete legal consequences, for example on whether attempt and aiding/abetting were punishable. KUHP Baru, however, eliminates this distinction. Instead, all unlawful acts are now uniformly classified as "offences," with the severity of each act assessed based on the specific statutory penalties assigned, rather than through separate crime and violation labels.

There is also a shift in the types of sanctions available. WvS distinguished between principal and additional sanctions. Principal sanctions included the death penalty, imprisonment, confinement, fines and "tutupan" (a form of custodial detention). Additional sanctions include the revocation of certain rights, forfeiture of specific property and publication of court judgment.

KUHP Baru retains the structure of principal and additional sanctions but revises their content and use. A notable reform is the treatment of the death penalty. It is designated as a special, exceptional sanction and must always be prescribed in the statute only as an alternative to life imprisonment or a fixed term of 20 years. KUHP Baru also introduces a ten-year probationary period for the death penalty: if the convicted person demonstrates commendable conduct during that period, the sentence may be converted to life imprisonment by presidential decision, following consideration by the Supreme Court.

The detailed changes in the types of sanctions under KUHP Baru can be elaborated further in the subsequent section, where the hierarchy of penalties determines their severity.

1. Principal sanctions

These are the core forms of sanctions intended to fulfill the objectives of criminal sentencing. The following are the principal types of sanctions outlined in KUHP Baru:

a. Imprisonment

Imprisonment may be imposed either for life or for a fixed term. Fixedterm imprisonment can range from a minimum of one day to a maximum of 15 years. If aggravating circumstances apply to a crime punishable by 15 years of imprisonment, the sentence may be extended up to a maximum of 20 years.1

In line with its goal of reducing reliance on retributive justice, KUHP Baru introduces provisions identifying circumstances in which imprisonment should, as far as possible, not be imposed. Examples include cases where the perpetrator is a first-time offender, has compensated the victim or committed the offense due to negligence. However, these alternatives do not apply to all crimes, particularly those punishable by five years or more, or offences that cause financial harm to the state or its economy.2



b. Confinement (Pidana tutupan)

KUHP Baru stipulates that confinement sentences remain governed by Law No. 20 of 1946 concerning confinement penalties, along with its implementing regulation, Government Regulation No. 8 of 1948 on Confinement Facilities.3 Confinement is typically imposed on perpetrators who committed a crime driven by motives deemed worthy of respect.4

Throughout the history of legal practice in Indonesia, there has been only one recorded case in which a judge imposed a confinement sentence. That case was the 3 July 1946 Incident, tried by the Supreme Military Court of the Republic of Indonesia on 27 May 1948.

In that case, at least seven people were sentenced to confinement. The perpetrators were tried under Article 107 of the Criminal Code on crimes against state security. However, the judge found that their actions were motivated by honourable intentions, making a confinement sentence more appropriate.

After that case, there were virtually no further court decisions imposing confinement. This shows that this type of punishment is indeed very rare and not commonly applied within Indonesia's criminal justice system.

c. Surveillance

Surveillance is a form of rehabilitation carried out outside of prison or institutional settings. When issuing a supervision sentence, the judge must include a general condition that the convicted person will not commit another crime.⁵ Additionally, specific conditions may apply, such as requiring the individual to compensate all or part of the damage caused by the offense within a shorter period than the supervision term, and/or requiring them to perform or refrain from certain actions. A supervision sentence (probation) may be imposed on a perpetrator who commits an offense punishable by imprisonment of up to five years. The term of supervision may not exceed the maximum imprisonment prescribed for the offense and, in any event, shall not be more than three years.⁶

d. Fines

A fine is an amount of money the convicted person is required to pay pursuant to a court judgment. The regulation of fines under KUHP Baru differs significantly from the previous system under WvS. In KUHP Baru, fines are divided into eight categories, each with a designated maximum amount:7

³ Article 619 of KUHP Baru

^{4.} Article 74 of KUHP Baru.

^{5.} Article 76 paragraph (2) of KUHP Baru. 6. Article 75 of KUHP Baru.

^{7.} Article 79 of KUHP Baru.



| Category | Maximum fine value |
|---------------|---|
| Category I | IDR1,000,000.00 (one million rupiah) |
| Category II | IDR10,000,000.00 (ten million rupiah) |
| Category III | IDR50,000,000.00 (fifty million rupiah) |
| Category IV | IDR200,000,000.00 (two hundred million rupiah) |
| Category V | IDR500,000,000.00 (five hundred million rupiah) |
| Category VI | IDR2,000,000,000.00 (two billion rupiahs) |
| Category VII | IDR5,000,000,000.00 (five billion rupiahs) |
| Category VIII | IDR50,000,000,000.00 (fifty billion rupiah) |

When imposing a fine, judges are required to consider the convicted person's actual income and expenses.8 Furthermore, the court may allow the fine to be paid in instalments.9 If the convicted person is unable to pay the fine, their assets or income may be seized and auctioned to cover the amount owed.¹⁰ If the auction proceeds still do not cover the full fine, the remaining balance may be substituted with imprisonment, supervision or community service—provided the fine does not exceed Category III.11

e. Community service

Community service may be imposed on individuals convicted of crimes punishable by less than five years of imprisonment, where the judge issues a sentence of no more than six months in prison or a fine not exceeding Category II.12 Community service can be carried out in hospitals, orphanages, elder care facilities, schools or other social institutions, and should be aligned as closely as possible with the convict's profession.¹³ The duration of community service ranges from a minimum of eight hours to a maximum of 240 hours.14 It may also be completed in instalments over a period of up to six months, taking into account the convict's employment or other personal obligations.¹⁵

Article 80 of KUHP Baru

Article 81 paragraph (2) of KUHP Baru.
 Article 81 paragraph (3) of KUHP Baru.
 Article 82 paragraph (1) of KUHP Baru.

^{12.} Article 85 paragraph (1) of KUHP Baru.

^{13.} Explanation of Article 85 paragraph (1) of KUHP Baru. 14. Article 85 paragraph (4) of KUHP Baru. 15. Article 85 paragraph (5) of KUHP Baru.



2. Additional sanctions

Additional sanctions apply in cases where imposing the principal sanction is not sufficient to achieve the purpose of the sanction.¹⁶ KUHP Baru regulates six additional criminal forms as follows:

a. Revocation of certain rights

This sanction involves the removal of specific rights from the convicted individual. Examples include:17

- the right to hold public office in general or certain positions
- the right to vote or be elected in official elections as regulated by law ii.
- the right to exercise a certain profession
- iv. the right to obtain parole.

Revocation of rights is primarily applied in cases involving crimes related to official positions, professional roles or offenses committed through abuse of authority, opportunity or resources granted by one's position or profession.¹⁸ The duration of this sanction depends on the length of the principal sentence—such as imprisonment, confinement, or supervision or the amount of the fine imposed.19

b. Confiscation of specific property and/or claims

Property and/or financial claims may be confiscated if they meet any of the following criteria:20

- Used to commit or prepare for a criminal offence.
- ii. Specifically created or intended for committing a criminal offence.
- Directly connected to the commission of a criminal offence.
- Owned by the convicted person or another party and obtained through the criminal offence.
- Represent economic gains derived directly or indirectly from criminal offence.
- Used to obstruct investigation, prosecution or court proceedings.

c. Public announcement of court decision

KUHP Baru allows the court to order the convicted person to publicly announce the verdict, with all associated costs borne by the convict.21

d. Compensation payment

KUHP Baru permits the court to require the convicted person to pay compensation to the victim or the victim's heirs.²²

^{16.} Article 66 paragraph (2) of KUHP Baru

^{17.} Article 86 of KUHP Baru. 18. Article 87 of KUHP Baru.

^{19.} Article 90 of KUHP Baru.

^{20.} Article 91 of KUHP Baru. 21. Article 93 of KUHP Baru.

^{22.} Article 94 of KUHP Baru



e. Revocation of specific permits

The additional sanction may include the revocation of licences held by the perpetrator or accomplice if the offence is directly related to the licence in question. This revocation takes effect once the court's decision becomes legally binding. The duration of the licence revocation depends on the length of the principal sentence, as outlined below:23

| Principal sanction | Permit revocation period |
|--|--|
| Imprisonment, confinement, or surveillance | Minimum: Two years Maximum: Five years longer than the principal sanction imposed |

f. Fulfillment of local customary obligations

This form of sanction is prioritised when the offence committed falls under customary law—referred to in KUHP Baru as "living law" within society. Such obligations must align with national values, including Pancasila and human rights, and are not regulated by statutory law.²⁴

In addition to principal and supplementary sanctions, KUHP Baru also recognises special sanctions for specific criminal offences as defined by law.25 One such sanction is the death penalty, which will not be discussed further in this document.

3. Measures that may be imposed

In addition to the types of sanctions mentioned above, certain measures may be ordered alongside the principal sentence, including:26

- counselling
- b. rehabilitation
- c. vocational training
- d. treatment in an institution
- reparation or remedial measures for the harm caused by the offence.

III. Forms of criminal sanctions against corporations

Under KUHP Baru, corporations, whether legally incorporated or not, are recognised as entities capable of committing criminal offences and bearing legal responsibility.²⁷ In addition to the corporation itself, criminal liability may also extend to its executives or management.28

KUHP Baru outlines three categories of sanctions applicable to corporations:

^{23.} Article 95 of KUHP Baru.24. Article 96 of KUHP Baru.25. Article 67 of KUHP Baru.

^{26.} Article 103 of KUHP Baru.

^{27.} Explanation of First Book of KUHP Baru.

^{28.} Explanation of First Book of KUHP Baru.



1. Principal Sanction

Although KUHP Baru recognises five types of principal sanctions, only fines may be imposed on corporations. The minimum applicable fine falls under Category IV.29 KUHP Baru also provides equivalent guidelines between imprisonment terms and fine categories:30

| Imprisonment term | Maximum fine category |
|--|-----------------------|
| Less than seven years | Category VI |
| 7 – 15 years | Category VII |
| Up to 20 years, life imprisonment or death penalty | Category VIII |

2. Additional sanctions

KUHP Baru regulates 12 additional sanctions that apply to corporations, as follows:31

- Payment of compensation.
- Remediation of harm caused by the criminal acts.
- Fulfillment of neglected obligations.
- Compliance with customary obligations. d.
- Funding of job training. e.
- Confiscation of goods or profits obtained from criminal acts.
- Announcement of court decision.
- Revocation of certain permits (maximum two years).
- Permanent prohibition of certain acts.
- The closure of all or part of the corporation's business premises and/or activities (a maximum of two years).
- Freezing all or part of the corporation's business activities (maximum two years).
- Dissolution of the corporation.

If a corporation fails to fulfill its obligations to pay fines or any of the additional penalties listed in items (a) through (e), its assets or income may be seized and auctioned by the prosecutor to satisfy the outstanding penalties.32

3. Measures

In addition to principal and additional sanctions, corporations can also be subject to measures. The forms of measures that can be imposed include:33

- a. corporate takeover
- b. placement under supervision
- placement of corporations under supervision.

Article 121 paragraph (1) of KUHP Baru. Article 121 paragraph (2) of KUHP Baru. Article 120 paragraph (1) and (2) of KUHP Baru. Article 120 paragraph (3) of KUHP Baru.

Article 123 of KUHP Baru.



IV. Conclusion

KUHP *Baru*, set to take effect on 2 January 2026, introduces a range of sentencing provisions that significantly depart from the colonial-era WvS. One of the most notable shifts is the expansion of sanction types—such as supervision and community service—as principal sanctions, along with a structured categorisation of fines. KUHP *Baru* also establishes clearer and more assertive rules for corporate criminal liability, including a minimum fine threshold, explicitly defined supplementary sanctions and corrective measures that may be imposed on corporations. This marks a pivotal moment for businesses. Companies must now take proactive steps to ensure both the organisation and its leadership operate within the bounds of the law. The threat of firm sanctions, including corporate dissolution, underscores the seriousness of these reforms and signals that criminal accountability now extends decisively to the corporate sphere.



PwC Indonesia contacts

Please feel free to contact our Legal Specialists.

Indra Allen

Partner

PwC Legal Indonesia indra.allen@pwc.com

Danar Sunartoputra

Partner

PwC Legal Indonesia danar.sunartoputra@pwc.com

Puji Atma

Junior Partner PwC Legal Indonesia <u>puji.atma@pwc.com</u>

Dimas Bimo

Junior Partner PwC Legal Indonesia dimas.bimo@pwc.com

Narindra Krisnamurti

Senior Manager PwC Legal Indonesia narindra.krisnamurti@pwc.com

Adi Pratikto

Partner

PwC Legal Indonesia adi.pratikto@pwc.com

Fifiek Mulyana

Junior Partner PwC Legal Indonesia fifiek.mulyana@pwc.com

Indra Natakusuma

Junior Partner PwC Legal Indonesia indra.natakusuma@pwc.com

Agnes Wardhana

Junior Partner PwC Legal Indonesia agnes.wardhana@pwc.com

www.pwc.com/id



PwC Indonesia



@PwC_Indonesia

If you would like to be removed from this mailing list, please reply and write UNSUBSCRIBE in the subject line, or send an email to id_contactus@pwc.com

DISCLAIMER: This publication has been prepared for general guidance on matters of interest only, and does not constitute professional advice. You should not act upon the information contained in this publication without obtaining specific professional advice. No representation or warranty (express or implied) is given as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in this publication, and, to the extent permitted by law, PwC Legal Indonesia, its members, employees and agents do not accept or assume any liability, responsibility or duty of care for any consequences of you or anyone else acting, or refraining to act, in reliance on the information contained in this publication or for any decision based on it.

The documents, or information obtained from PwC, must not be made available or copied, in whole or in part, to any other persons/parties without our prior written permission which we may, at our discretion, grant, withhold or grant subject to conditions (including conditions as to legal responsibility or absence thereof).

© 2025 PwC Legal Indonesia. All rights reserved. PwC refers to the Indonesia member firm, and may sometimes refer to the PwC network. Each member firm is a separate legal entity. Please see www.pwc.com/structure for further details.