



The Fearless Future: Global AI Jobs Barometer 2025

AI makes people more valuable



We analysed job
ads from data
provider Lightcast

Country Name	Number of observations
United States	406,046,340
United Kingdom	114,033,805
Germany	74,453,370
France	50,085,742
Canada	21,708,544
Mexico	18,358,437
Brazil	16,824,532
Italy	15,323,940
Netherlands	15,122,502
Singapore	14,188,461
Belgium	14,031,387
Australia	13,785,544
Poland	13,183,592
Spain	12,389,469
Sweden	10,280,912
Switzerland	7,258,722
Malaysia	5,909,311

Country Name	Number of observations
Denmark	4,598,335
Ireland	3,860,132
South Africa	3,406,455
New Zealand	3,367,391
Hong Kong, SAR	3,029,620
United Arab Emirates	2,095,148
Norway	1,825,464

Total observations
841,389,319

Lightcast's updated data methodology

Changes stem from improved deduplication, sectoral mapping, AI taxonomy, and data standardisation

1

Noise Reduction & Improved Skill Detection

The deduplication model has been enhanced, leading to a reduction in data duplication.

2

More Accurate Deduplication Model

Previously, deduplication used ISCO (436 occupations); now, it relies on Lightcast Occupations (1,900 levels) and Job Titles (>70,000).

3

Standardisation of Non-English-Speaking Countries' Data

The format for non-English-speaking countries' data shifted, improving sectoral allocations through more accurate mapping mechanisms.

4

Refined AI Skills Taxonomy

The AI skills taxonomy has been expanded, leading to a rise in the number of jobs classified as 'AI jobs'. Additionally, AI skill libraries have been fine-tuned, incorporating additional tools and skills. This results in a larger AI taxonomy compared to last year.

We use the IMF’s classification for augmentable vs automatable jobs

We introduce the IMF’s complementarity variable

AI Exposure Index: The augment and automate analysis includes only the occupations for which the AI exposure is greater than 0.5 on a scale of 0 to 1 (the top half of all observations).

IMF Complementarity Index: Extends Felten’s work to assess AI’s potential to automate or augment key tasks for occupations.

We re-base the complementarity variable so that all occupations are between 0 and 1.

Augmented jobs: high AI exposure (greater than 0.5) and high complementarity (greater than 0.5) are poised for augmentation with AI enhancing productivity and wages (e.g., surgeons, judges).

Automated jobs: high AI exposure (greater than 0.5) and low complementarity (less than 0.5) are poised for automation as AI replaces tasks, reducing demand (e.g., clerical workers, telemarketers).

Levels of analysis we hope to include



Metrics we include

- Relative growth in Augment and Automate job postings, 2012 to 2024
- Relative growth in Augment and Automate job postings, 2018 to 2024
- Change in job demand for augmented and automated occupations, by country, 2019 to 2024
- Net skill change for augmented and automated occupations, by country, 2019 to 2024

Detailed methodology for key metrics

Metric	Data	Methodology	Levels
Relative growth in AI and all job postings, 2012/18 to 2024, globally	Lightcast: Share of AI jobs and All jobs	We take global Lightcast data for all job postings (global, including all nations listed on the previous slide) and compare the number of all jobs with the number of ‘AI jobs’. We take a ratio for the relative number of all and AI jobs compared to 2012/18 levels.	Globally Occupation level
Proportion of total job posts requiring AI related skills, 2012 to 2024	Lightcast: Share of AI jobs	We take economy level Lightcast data for the number of job postings with an ‘AI skill(s)’ listed. We compare core countries over time to show the relative growth in the number of jobs listed with ‘AI skills’.	Globally and by country Occupation level
Proportion of total job postings requiring AI related skills by sector, 2012 to 2024	Lightcast: Share of AI jobs	We take global Lightcast data for the number of job postings with an ‘AI skill(s)’ listed. We compare across different sectors over time to show the relative growth in the number of jobs listed with ‘AI skills’.	Globally Occupation and sector level
Change in demand for all skills in all jobs against exposure to AI, 2019 to 2024	Lightcast: Change in demand for skills	We take global Lightcast data for demand for skills for each year by skill category on a global level. The demand (%) is the number of times a given skill category is mentioned (in a given year) over all skills.	Globally Occupation level
Number of job postings relative to 2012/18 by AI exposure	Lightcast: Change in demand for all jobs	We take Lightcast data on the difference in demand for jobs (grouped by occupation using ISCO codes). We split the demand for jobs into quartiles based on AIOE, with the top quartile being the most exposed to AI. For each quartile we take a ratio of growth from 2012 and compare the quartiles overtime.	Globally Occupation level
Average wage premium for jobs if listed with ‘AI skills’, 2024	Lightcast: Wage premium for AI jobs compared to all jobs	We take economy level Lightcast data for the difference in wages for a given occupation. We compare, for the same occupation, the difference in wage if the job is or is not listed with ‘AI skills’. Thus we estimate the wage premium of ‘AI jobs’.	By country Occupation level
The top 5 fastest and top 5 slowest growing skills by category, 2019 to 2024	Lightcast: Demand for skills Felten’s AIOE	We take global Lightcast data for demand for skills for each year by skill category on a global level. The demand (%) is the number of times a given skill category is mentioned (in a given year) over all skills.	Globally Skill level

Detailed methodology for key metrics


Metric	Data	Methodology	Levels
Relative growth in Augment and Automate job postings, 2012/18 to 2024	Lightcast: Total postings (with Occ. Code) Felten's AIOE IMF: Complementarity	We take global Lightcast data for all job postings and categorise each posting as either one of Augmented, Automated, or Neither based off their occupation code. We only include occupations for which the AIOE is greater than 0.5 (ie. we include the upper half of observations). We then use the IMF complementarity variable, where the upper half of occupations are considered augmented jobs, and the bottom half are considered automated jobs. We then take a ratio for the relative number of Augment and Automate jobs compared to 2012/18 levels.	Globally Augment and Automate
Change in demand by nation, 2019-2024, all jobs, augmented and automated	Lightcast: Change in demand for all jobs Felten's AIOE IMF: Complementarity	We take Lightcast data on the difference in demand for AI jobs (grouped by occupation using ISCO codes). We use AIOE to compare change in demand relative to AI exposure. We split this data further by augment and automate, using the complementarity variable. We observe the average positive and negative change in demand for skills for augmented and automated jobs.	Globally and by country Occupation level Augment and Automate
Average positive skill change and average negative skill change, for augmented and automated jobs, 2019-2024, globally	Lightcast: Positive and negative change in skills Felten's AIOE IMF: Complementarity	We take global Lightcast data for demand for skills for each year by skill category on a global level. We use both positive and negative change in demand data (2019 to 2024). We split this data further by augment and automate, using the complementarity variable. We observe the average positive and negative change in demand for skills for augmented and automated jobs.	Globally and by country Augment and Automate
The top 5 fastest and top 5 slowest growing skills by category, Augment and Automate Jobs, 2019 to 2024	Lightcast: Demand for skills Felten's AIOE IMF: Complementarity	We take global Lightcast data for demand for skills for each year by skill category on a global level. The demand (%) is the number of times a given skill category is mentioned (in a given year) over all skills. We only include occupations for which the AIOE is greater than 0.5 (ie. we include the upper half of observations). We then use the IMF complementarity variable, where the upper half of occupations are considered augmented jobs, and the bottom half are considered automated jobs.	Globally Skill level Augment and Automate

In analysing the impact of AI Exposure on productivity levels, we adopt a methodology that focuses on three core metrics


Levels of analysis we provide



Global




Country level




Firm level



Sector level



Total of all firms/sectors



By firm size

Metrics we include

For each level of analysis, we assess the following metrics:

- **Change in productivity** between 2018-2023 – turnover per employee (TPE) is calculated by dividing operational revenue by headcount
- **Change in headcount** between 2018-2023 – given as EMPL in the ORBIS dataset
- **Change in wage per employee** between 2018-2023 – wage per employee is calculated by dividing total staff costs by headcount

All three metrics are examined against **AI Industry Exposure** values sourced from Felten (2021). This ultimately allows us to assess the impact of AI exposure on productivity, headcount, and wages at both firm and sector level.

High level methodology

- We start by cleaning the raw Orbis dataset by removing outliers and checking for data completeness.
- We map AI Industry Exposure values sourced from Felten to the NAICS2017 sector codes tagged to the ORBIS data.
- We calculate turnover per employee (TPE) by dividing operational revenue by headcount.
- We calculate wage per employee by dividing total staff costs by headcount.
- We calculate the delta/percentage change for each of the three metrics using the 2018 and 2023 values as our inputs.
- We perform firm level analysis to determine correlation, regression and top quartile to bottom quartile ratios.
- We aggregate our results by 4-digit NAICS sector.
- We calculate weighted percentage changes for TPE, number of employees per company (EMPL) and WAGE using the 2018 and 2023 values as our inputs.
- We perform sector level analysis to determine correlation, regression and top quartile to bottom quartile ratios.
- We split firms into two groupings ('Large' and 'Super Large') taking an operating revenue in 2023 of \$1bn as the threshold.
- We perform the same firm and sector level analysis on the two different groupings of firms.
- We export our data tables into Excel to produce the final charts.

Our productivity analysis includes a range of metrics and our methodology for each metric is outlined below

Metric	Data	Methodology	Level
AI exposure vs growth rate in productivity by sector	Felten: AIIE Orbis: Growth rate in TPE	TPE is calculated by dividing operational revenue by headcount. At sector level we calculate a weighted TPE by dividing the sum of operational revenue across all firms in a sector by the sum of employment across all firms in a sector. The percentage change across the 2018 and 2023 values is then taken as the growth rate.	Globally and by country By sector By firm
AI exposure vs growth rate in headcount by sector	Felten: AIIE Orbis: Growth rate in headcount	Headcount is directly provided in the Orbis data as 'EMPL'. At sector level we calculate a weighted headcount by taking the sum of employment across all firms in a sector. The percentage change across the 2018 and 2023 values is then taken as the growth rate.	Globally and by country By sector By firm
AI exposure vs growth rate in wage per employee by sector	Felten: AIIE Orbis: growth rate in wage per employee	Wage per employee is calculated by dividing total staff costs by headcount. At sector level we calculate a weighted wage per employee by dividing the sum of staff costs across all firms in a sector by the sum of employment across all firms in a sector. The percentage change across the 2018 and 2023 values is then taken as the growth rate.	Globally and by country By sector By firm
AI exposure vs growth rate in productivity by sector, by Large and Super Large firm size	Felten: AIIE Orbis: Growth rate in TPE	Follows methodology from (1) but firms with revenue greater than \$1bn are considered 'Super Large' while firms with revenue between \$50mn and \$1bn are considered 'Large'. The analysis is essentially run twice; once for 'Super Large' firms and once for 'Large' firms.	Globally and by country By sector By firm size By firm
AI exposure vs growth rate in headcount by sector, by Large and Super Large firm size	Felten: AIIE Orbis: Growth rate in headcount	Follows methodology from (2) but firms with revenue greater than \$1bn are considered 'Super Large' while firms with revenue between \$50mn and \$1bn are considered 'Large'. The analysis is essentially run twice; once for 'Super Large' firms and once for 'Large' firms.	Globally and by country By sector By firm size By firm
AI exposure vs growth rate in wage per employee by sector, by Large and Super Large firm size	Felten: AIIE Orbis: Growth rate in wage per employee	Follows methodology from (3) but firms with revenue greater than \$1bn are considered 'Super Large' while firms with revenue between \$50mn and \$1bn are considered 'Large'. The analysis is essentially run twice; once for 'Super Large' firms and once for 'Large' firms.	Globally and by country By sector By firm size By firm

In arriving at our final datasets, we apply a series of assumptions and filters that directly impact the overall firm count

Filter	Rationale	Number of firms affected	Number of surviving firms
Orbis Raw Data (pre-filtered for firms with \$50mn+ OPRE)	This is the starting dataset	N/A	280,955
Removal of fewer than 4-digit NAICS/empty cells/missing values for either EMPL19/23 or OPRE19/23	We clean the Orbis dataset and remove any entries that are empty/NA as well as NAICS codes that are not 4 digits	221,740	59,215
Removal of firms from the Orbis dataset that have a 4-digit NAICS code with no direct match in the Felten AIE paper	We are unable to tag these firms to a corresponding sector-specific AI exposure value	19,492	39,723
Removal of firms that do not have 10 or more employees in 2018 and 2023	We deem 10 employees to be a reasonable threshold for a firm to be considered 'normal'	1,210	38,513
Removal of firms that have 0 operational revenue in either 2018 or 2023	Operational revenue of 0 is unrealistic in the context of our analysis and we treat these entries as anomalies in the data	25	38,488
Removal of firms that are identified as outliers by the Rosner Outlier Test (where k = 100)	Rosner's Test is used to detect 'k' outliers in a dataset by iteratively removing the most extreme values. In our analysis we set the maximum number of outliers as 100, determined through an eye test of the distribution of the TPE growth data	100	38,388 (TPE/Headcount Table)
Removal of firms that have STAFF costs of 0/NA in either 2018 or 2023	Staff costs of 0 are unrealistic and would cause a calculation error when computing 'wage per employee'	8770	29,618
Removal of firms that have a 'wage per employee' value that falls outside of \$1000 - \$10,000,000	We filter out the possibility of negative wages and leave the upper bound relatively open, considering filter 4 is already in place	142	29,476 (Wage Table)

Other Filters (Sector Level and Size Level Analysis)

- For sector-based analysis we require a minimum of 5 firms to be included. For size-based sector analysis we reduce this requirement to 3 firms to maintain a sufficient sample size.
- For sector-based analysis we exclude any datapoints where the calculated growth in 'wage per employee' across 2018-2023 ('Wage_Delta') exceeds 100%. We consider these sectors to be 'abnormal' and treat them as outliers relative to the rest of the dataset.

Notes: We apply the wage filters (6 and 7) last, as applying the wage filter before the productivity and headcount analysis results in a substantially smaller dataset for the productivity and headcount analysis. As such, we duplicate a parallel dataset to that used in the productivity and headcount analysis and apply the wage filter there. We use this parallel dataset for our wage analysis.

Our analysis considers workforce breakdowns, by national economy and gender

We leverage data from Felten, IMF, and ILOSTAT to produce analysis that breaks down country-specific workforces into quartile AI exposures, assess automation and augmentation potential and analyse gender-specific trends.



Felten's AI Occupational Exposure Index (AIOE): Evaluates the potential for AI to perform key job function, producing an AI exposure score by occupation.

IMF Complementarity Index: Extends Felten's work to assess AI's potential to automate or augment key tasks for occupations.

ILOSTAT Workforce Data: Comprehensive data which breaks down country-specific workforces by occupation and gender.

List of 376 AI Skills used to identify ‘jobs that require AI skills’

AI/ML Inference	Weka	Dask (Software)	Machine Learning Methods
AIOps (Artificial Intelligence For IT Operations)	Advanced Driver Assistance Systems	Data Classification	Machine Learning Model Monitoring And Evaluation
Applications Of Artificial Intelligence	Autonomous Cruise Control Systems	Dbscan	Machine Learning Model Training
Artificial General Intelligence	Autonomous System	Decision Models	Markov Chain
Artificial Intelligence	Autonomous Vehicles	Decision Tree Learning	Matrix Factorization
Artificial Intelligence Development	Guidance Navigation And Control Systems	Dimensionality Reduction	Meta Learning
Artificial Intelligence Markup Language (AIML)	Light Detection And Ranging (LiDAR)	Dlib (C++ Library)	Microsoft Cognitive Toolkit (CNTK)
Artificial Intelligence Systems	OpenCV	Embedded Intelligence	MLflow
Azure Cognitive Services	Path Analysis	Ensemble Methods	MLOps (Machine Learning Operations)
Baidu	Path Finding	Evolutionary Programming	mlpack (C++ Library)
Cognitive Automation	Remote Sensing	Expectation Maximization Algorithm	ModelOps
Cognitive Computing	Unmanned Aerial Systems (UAS)	Fast.ai	Naive Bayes Classifier
Computational Intelligence	AdaBoost (Adaptive Boosting)	Feature Engineering	Objective Function
Cortana	Adversarial Machine Learning	Feature Extraction	Oracle Autonomous Database
Ethical AI	Apache MADlib	Feature Learning	Perceptron
Expert Systems	Apache Mahout	Feature Selection	Predictionio
Explainable AI (XAI)	Apache SINGA	Game Ai	Programmatic Media Buying
Intelligent Control	Apache Spark	Gaussian Process	Pydata
Intelligent Systems	Association Rule Learning	Genetic Algorithm	PyTorch (Machine Learning Library)
Interactive Kiosk	Attention Mechanisms	Google AutoML	Random Forest Algorithm
IPSoft Amelia	Automated Machine Learning	Google Cloud ML Engine	Recommender Systems
Knowledge Engineering	Autonomic Computing	Gradient Boosting	Reinforcement Learning
Knowledge-Based Configuration	AWS SageMaker	H2O.ai	Scikit-Learn (Python Package)
Knowledge-Based Systems	Azure Machine Learning	Hidden Markov Model	Semi-Supervised Learning
Multi-Agent Systems	Boltzmann Machine	Hyperparameter Optimization	Sorting Algorithm
Open Neural Network Exchange (ONNX)	Boosting	Inference Engine	Supervised Learning
OpenAI Gym	Bot Framework	K-Means Clustering	Support Vector Machine
Operationalizing AI	CHi-Squared Automatic Interaction Detection (CHAID)	Kernel Methods	Test Datasets
Reasoning Systems	Classification And Regression Tree (CART)	Kubeflow	Torch (Machine Learning)
Soft Computing	Cluster Analysis	LIBSVM	Training Datasets
Swarm Intelligence	Collaborative Filtering	Loss Functions	Transfer Learning
Watson Conversation	Confusion Matrix	Machine Learning	Unsupervised Learning
Watson Studio	Cyber-Physical Systems	Machine Learning Algorithms	Variational Autoencoders

The Fearless Future: Global AI Jobs Barometer 2025
Methodology Appendix

Vowpal Wabbit	Speech Recognition	Cognitive Robotics	Object Tracking
Xgboost	Speech Recognition Software	Motion Planning	Adobe Sensei
Amazon Alexa	Speech Synthesis	Nvidia Jetson	Embedded AI
Amazon Textract	Statistical Language Acquisition	Robot Framework	Deep Reinforcement Learning (DRL)
ANTLR	Text Mining	Robot Operating Systems	Vespa
Apache OpenNLP	Text-To-Speech	Robotic Automation Software	CrewAI
BERT (NLP Model)	Theano (Software)	Robotic Liquid Handling Systems	Neuro-Symbolic AI
Chatbot	Tokenization	Robotic Programming	Incremental Learning
Computational Linguistics	Voice Assistant Technology	Robotic Systems	t-SNE (t-distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding)
DeepSpeech	Voice Interaction	Servomotor	Language Models
Dialog Systems	Voice User Interface	SLAM Algorithms (Simultaneous Localization And Mapping)	Neural Ordinary Differential Equations
fastText	Word Embedding	3D Reconstruction	Image Super-Resolution
Fuzzy Logic	Word2Vec Models	Activity Recognition	Sequence-to-Sequence Models (Seq2Seq)
Handwriting Recognition	Apache MXNet	Computer Vision	Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs)
Hugging Face (NLP Framework)	Artificial Neural Networks	Contextual Image Classification	Bagging Techniques
Intelligent Agent	Autoencoders	Deck.gl	Data Version Control (DVC)
Intelligent Virtual Assistant	Caffe (Framework)	Digital Image Processing	Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN)
Kaldi	Caffe2	Eye Tracking	Topological Data Analysis (TDA)
Language Model	Chainer (Deep Learning Framework)	Face Detection	Residual Networks (ResNet)
Latent Dirichlet Allocation	Convolutional Neural Networks	Facial Recognition	Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback (RLHF)
Lexalytics	Cudnn	General-Purpose Computing On Graphics Processing Units	Variational Autoencoders (VAEs)
Machine Translation	Deep Learning	Gesture Recognition	Scene Understanding
Microsoft LUIS	Deep Learning Methods	Image Analysis	Meta-Reinforcement Learning
Natural Language Generation	Deeplearning4j	Image Matching	Reinforcement Learning (RL)
Natural Language Processing (NLP)	Evolutionary Acquisition Of Neural Topologies	Image Recognition	Concept Drift Detection
Natural Language Programming	Generative Artificial Intelligence	Image Segmentation	Text to Speech (TTS)
Natural Language Toolkits	ChatGPT	Image Sensor	Thermal Imaging Analysis
Natural Language Understanding	AI Skill (201-250)	Imagenet	Image Captioning
Natural Language User Interface	Hugging Face Transformers	Machine Vision	Meta-Learning
Nearest Neighbour Algorithm	Large Language Modelling	Mnist	Image Inpainting
Nuance Mix	Transformer (Machine Learning Model)	Motion Analysis	Digital Twin Technology
Optical Character Recognition (OCR)	Generative Adversarial Networks	Object Recognition	Semantic Kernel
Prompt Engineering	Keras (Neural Network Library)	OmniPage	Text Summarization
Screen Reader	Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM)	Pose Estimation	Natural Language Understanding (NLU)
Semantic Analysis	OpenVINO	Realsense	Natural Language Generation (NLG)
Semantic Interpretation For Speech Recognition	PaddlePaddle	AI Copywriting	Retrieval Augmented Generation
Semantic Parsing	Pybrain	Conversational AI	Dynamic Routing
Semantic Search	PyTorch Lightning	Predictive Modeling	Multimodal Learning
Sentiment Analysis	Recurrent Neural Network (RNN)	Synthetic Data Generation	Qdrant
Seq2Seq	TensorFlow	OpenAI Gym Environments	Sentence Transformers
Shogun	Advanced Robotics	Text Retrieval Systems	Weaviate

The Fearless Future: Global AI Jobs Barometer 2025
Methodology Appendix

Data Sovereignty	Named Entity Recognition
Microsoft Copilot	NLTK (NLP Analysis)
Automated Data Cleaning	Part-of-Speech Tagging
Neural Architecture Compression	Question Answering
Langgraph	Relationship Extraction
Instance Segmentation	Sirikit
Distributed Machine Learning	Speech Enhancement
Summarization Methods	Speech Processing
Bayesian Belief Networks	Speech Technology
Small Language Model	Sphinx Speech Recognition
AutoGen	Text Classification
Neural Architecture Search (NAS)	Voice Technology
Graph Neural Networks (GNNs)	Word-Sense Disambiguation
PineCone	K-Nearest Neighbors Algorithm
Spiking Neural Networks	Artificial Consciousness
Multimodal Models	LightGBM
Gradient Boosting Machines (GBM)	Intelligent Automation
AI Personalization	Nuance Nina Virtual Assistant
Knowledge Representation	Azure OpenAI
Edge Intelligence	Azure AI Studio
Knowledge Distillation	AWS Bedrock
Support Vector Machines (SVM)	Google Assistant
Federated Learning	Automated Planning And Scheduling
AI Security	LangChain
Artificial Intelligence Risk	GitHub Copilot
Agentic Systems	Human AI Interaction
AI Testing	Few Shot Learning
Generative AI Agents	AI Research
DALL-E Image Generator	AI Innovation
Google Bard	AI Agents
Stable Diffusion	Agentic AI
Amazon Comprehend	Zero Shot Learning
Amazon Lex	AI Safety
Amazon Polly	AI Alignment
Dialogflow (Google Service)	Graph Algorithms
Disambiguation	Time Series
GPT-3 (NLP Model)	Text Processing
Information Extraction	PySpark
Language Identification	Databricks
Lemmatization	Neural Machine Translation (NMT)
N Gram	



How we calculate net skill change

The net skill change is a measure of the change in the frequency of skills required by employers for a particular occupation. This metric and its associated methodology to be calculated was developed by Harvard economists, David Deming and Kadeem Noray (2020).

Below we present the formula and walk through an example.

In short, the net skill change takes the absolute value of each skill change for an occupation and sums them. As it measures the absolute value the value is always positive. It is capturing skill changes be they positive or negative and adding them. The more changes in skills demanded by an employer be they demanded more or less (positive or negative), the higher this net skill change value.

Example:

If skill A is mentioned 50 times in 2019 and then 65 times in 2023 (and we assume job postings remained constant in both time periods at 100 for example). The skill change would be $65/100 - 50/100 = 15/100 = +0.15$.

If skill B is mentioned 30 times in 2019 and then 25 in 2023 (in 100 postings in both periods), the skill change would be $25/100 - 30/100 = -5/100 = -0.05$.

The net skill change the sum of the absolute values:

Net skill change for job X = $0.15 + 0.05 = 0.20$.

Formula:

$$Net\ Skill\ Change_{o,t2,t1} = \sum_{s=1}^S Abs\left[\left(\frac{Skills_{o,t2}^s}{JobAds_{o,t2}}\right) - \left(\frac{Skills_{o,t1}^s}{JobAds_{o,t1}}\right)\right]$$



2025 Global AI Jobs Barometer

[pwc.com/aijobsbarometer](https://www.pwc.com/aijobsbarometer)

This publication has been prepared for general guidance on matters of interest only, and does not constitute professional advice. You should not act upon the information contained in this publication without obtaining specific professional advice. No representation or warranty (express or implied) is given as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in this publication, and, to the extent permitted by law, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, its members, employees, and agents do not accept or assume any liability, responsibility, or duty of care for any consequences of you or anyone else acting, or refraining to act, in reliance on the information contained in this publication or for any decision based on it.

© 2025 PwC. All rights reserved. PwC refers to the PwC network and/or one or more of its member firms, each of which is a separate legal entity. Please see www.pwc.com/structure for further details.