Leveraging Capability-driven Agile within your PMO
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Bridging the Gap between Strategy through Execution
Key Objectives

• Understanding how capability driven agile methodology became an important dimension in the evolution of the Project, Program, and Portfolio Management landscape and how that’s reflected in a modern PMO

• Equipping learners with a strategy for doings things differently focused on the concept of *strategy through execution*: leveraging capability driven strategy, capability planning, and agile capability delivery

• Deeper understanding of which key functions of a program office may need to evolve to accommodate a more agile mentality
CASE FOR CHANGE
It is time to take a different approach to delivering effective programs that help organizations cope with the rapidly changing world.

**Portfolio and Program Management 2014 Global Survey**
- Input from 3,025 people from 110 countries
- Providing a truly global view of Portfolio and Program Management

**Key Insights**
- Keeping up with the rapid pace of change is one of a CEO’s most demanding challenges
- That some familiar issues have not changed in over 10 years
- That there is often a disconnect between the executive team and program managers.
According to PwC’s Global PPM survey, five themes emerge that can make a real difference to the success of programs in terms of ‘doing things differently’

- **Optimize your portfolio to maximize returns**
- **Be flexible, change faster**
- **Enable your people to deliver success**
- **Connect Executive Teams to delivery teams to get the change you want**
- **Measure and address the harsh facts to maintain direction**
According to PwC’s Global PPM survey, there has been little progress in addressing four key reasons for project failure since 2004:

1. **Bad Estimates/Missed Deadlines**
   - **2004 - 2007**: Key project deadlines often are missed due to poor time estimates.

2. **Scope Changes**
   - **2004 - 2007**: Change is inadequately addressed, leading to unmanageable project scope.

3. **Poor Planning Phase Estimates**
   - **2012 - 2014**: Budget, resource, and time estimates are often misjudged in the planning phase.

4. **Insufficient Resources**
   - **2007 & 2014**: Resources are consistently allocated poorly, leading to insufficient project staffing.

There is clearly a case for ‘Doing things differently’ that underpin our findings.
What do we hear?
Challenges that we are hearing from our clients and seeing in the industry

- Misalignment of business priorities and conflicting objectives across the enterprise/business units
- No measureable objectives that are linked to delivery and benefits realization
- Industries change so rapidly that by the time all requirements are defined, they are already obsolete and require change to account for the competitive landscape
- Communication and alignment between stakeholders doesn’t stay engaged throughout delivery resulting in inaccurate requirements or the system not being appropriately built for what is needed
- The capability that will differentiate the most is the hardest to bring about
What are your experiences?

Has anyone seen Agile impact your program office?

How has Agile worked well in your program office?

Have you faced challenges evolving towards an Agile way of thinking?

A different mindset is needed to find new ways of working in a rapidly changing landscape
BRIDGING THE STRATEGY THROUGH EXECUTION GAP
To mitigate the challenges we’ve seen, we have developed the Capability Driven Strategy through Execution framework using an Agile methodology approach, scaled to the enterprise, to enable the continuous and rapid delivery of capabilities.
Capability Driven Strategy

A powerful capabilities system is essential for unlocking good growth.....

Core 1
Capture overlooked “head room” for growth in your current business.

Expansion 2
Expand boundaries of your business.

Disruption 3
Disrupt and respond to change with new business models.
Effective enterprise capability planning is required to bridge the gap between strategy definition and program execution to ensure capability delivery.

Skipping Capability Planning and ‘Jumping’ into execution results in a fragmented capability delivery structure with no clear traceability.

- Capabilities end up being delivered across multiple requirements, projects, and releases resulting in value erosion and delivery failure.
- Interim capability enablement is often overlooked resulting in disjointed business and siloed system processes.
- Wasteful spend results from misalignment of capability alignment to organizational, process, and technology impact.

- Planning aligns high level capabilities to strategies and provides a vision for transformation.
- Blueprinting specifies the target state people, business process, technology architectures.
- Roadmaps define how the future state will be achieved in incremental steps.
To deliver capabilities, shifting to an agile delivery model helps organizations to incrementally realize and measure value.

**Business Process Architecture (BPA)**

Aligns application development to business processes.

**Capability Scoping Framework**

The Scoping framework slicing Capabilities into manageable Epics, Features, and Stories for incremental software delivery.

**Agile Delivery Model**

The scaling and standardization of Agile practices across the delivery model.

**Benefits**

- Delivery aligned to capability enablement (IT & Business)
- Visibility and traceability of each levels within BPA
- Collaborative decision-making
Key benefits of using Agile over the iterative models include greater ROI with incremental return, faster time to market with greater adaptability, greater visibility, and higher quality.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traditional</th>
<th>Agile</th>
<th>Agile Advantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Greater ROI</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Value realized incrementally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Value higher as requirements continuously re-evaluated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Greater Adaptability</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Greater adaptability and quality via incremental SW delivery and early defect find and fix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Greater Visibility</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Short release cycles, frequent demos, and continuous business involvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Lower Cost</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Early test and defect detection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Just-in-time investment decision making</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Agile approaches bring change to traditional PMO practices and evolve the function in support of capabilities delivery.

Illustrative areas of impact:

- Critical Path management
- Deliverables Management
- Budgeting & Planning
- Status/Metrics Reporting
Recap

**Case for Change**

*PwC’s Global PPM Survey Findings: What works, what doesn’t, what we see, and your experiences*

**Strategy Through Execution**

- Capability Driven Strategy
- Capability Planning
- Agile Capability Delivery

**Evolution of the PMO**

- Deliverables Management
- Critical Path Management
- Portfolio Analysis Budgeting & Planning
- Status/Metrics Reporting
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