20 years inside the mind of the CEO...

What’s next?

1,379 CEOs interviewed in 79 countries

44% of CEOs say globalisation has not helped to close the gap between rich and poor

69% of CEOs say it's harder for businesses to sustain trust in the digital age
Demographic shifts, rapid urbanisation, a realignment of global economic and business activity, and a scarcity of resources, are among the megatrends affecting the world that we have been studying for the past 20 years. These are the shifts that have affected the CEO’s mindset. Since we began our survey, globalisation and technology have jointly enabled a massive increase in trade and financial flows and global online traffic. This level of interconnectivity has raised engagement with stakeholders and forced society to think about how information is accessed and consumed. Increased transparency demands a new way of communicating, a higher level of accountability, an elevated approach to leadership, and indeed, a deeper focus on trust, purpose and the inherent human connection that has brought us closer together.

But great convergence has come with the potential for great divergence. In last year’s survey, most CEOs foresaw a world divided by multiple beliefs and frameworks. 2016 brought into sharp focus the tangible ways in which these differences play out. Surprising voting results put pressure on established blocs, and today, the global systems which support trade are creaking at the seams. Recent events have also revealed the extent of public discontent over the gap in skills, jobs and income inequality, among a host of local issues that have stemmed from globalisation and technology. Despite greater interconnectivity, and often as a result of it, sections of the populace feel unheard and under-represented in the decision-making process. There is a growing disconnect from leadership, leading to mistrust and cynicism of traditional bodies, both in public and private sectors.

But there is still much to be optimistic about. The pattern of growth in the world is shifting. Indeed, optimism in CEOs remains high relative to the environment. They are focused on growth, leading to greater innovation. Demand remains strong in many emerging markets – and is likely to stay that way for some time to come. This is especially true in Asia, where populations are growing, disposable incomes are rising and urbanisation will likely continue.

Our 20th CEO survey explores what executives in 2017 think about three imperatives: managing man and machine to create a workforce that’s fit for the digital age; preserving organisational trust in a world of increasingly virtual interactions; and making globalisation work for everyone by ensuring the benefits are distributed more fairly.
The challenge common to all three imperatives is leadership. In a time of heightened anxieties juxtaposed with the highest levels of transparency we have experienced, how leaders engage with employees and stakeholders (both public and private) has never been more important. Strategy can no longer be an approach of simply numbers and bottom lines; strategy must be built upon a long-term vision of growth, access, equality, innovation, and the human endeavour. The last of these is arguably the most important because linked to it is the critical concept of trust.

Since the inception of our survey, the definition of trust has changed – specifically, expanded. The days where the CEO of a company was rarely accessible to the end customer or was able to get sanitised feedback are gone, as are the days where the consumer had little sight into how a product was produced and a supply chain crafted. Today, executive teams need to fully grasp the ethical and moral implications of their decisions, and communicate their actions with integrity.

Trust must also be paramount between supervisors and employees. The contemporary worker is keenly aware of the importance of purpose – and is demanding clarity on not just the “how” of the company, but the “why.” Enduring winners will be leaders who develop a two-way relationship – whether with customers, employees, or society at large – based on reliability and ethical behaviour.

The ascendancy of corporations around the globe has boosted prosperity: it’s created jobs, raised living standards and delivered pioneering products and services that have improved people’s lives. Now, however, we are at an inflection point. A new reality is setting in and each of us must rethink how we act. Specifically for CEOs, it is time to raise the role of business in society and engage more broadly to help government and the public. It is time to step forward with their own solutions and collaborate with multiple players in society to boost trust and build the world we need for the future – because if executed properly, business is a force for good.

I’d like to thank the almost 1,400 CEOs from approximately 80 countries who have generously given us their insights. We’re particularly grateful to the 20 CEOs who engaged in deeper and more detailed conversations with us. You’ll see their comments throughout this report.

We hope you’ll find plenty of food for thought – and action – in the following pages, and in all our work on ceosurvey.pwc.

Bob E. Moritz
Global Chairman, PwC
20 years inside the mind of the CEO
Competing in an age of divergence

Over the past 20 years CEOs have witnessed tremendous upheavals as a result of globalisation and technological change. Both were core to our enquiries when we conducted our first Annual Global CEO Survey back in 1997. Since then, trade flows have quadrupled and global internet traffic has risen by a factor of 17.5 million.\textsuperscript{1} The twin forces of globalisation and technological progress have helped to boost living standards and lessen inequality between countries.\textsuperscript{2} And, in what’s perhaps the most remarkable achievement of all, they’ve lifted a billion people out of extreme poverty.\textsuperscript{3}

But greater convergence has come with greater divergence, as CEOs have long predicted. In 2009, when we first asked CEOs about the risks associated with various global trends, 46% thought governments would become more protectionist; 73% expected other countries to challenge the G8’s dominance; and 76% anticipated a rise in political and religious tensions. And by the time we published our last survey in January 2016, most CEOs foresaw a world in which multiple beliefs, value systems, laws and liberties, banking systems and trading blocs would prevail (see Figure 1).
Little did we know just how much world events would prove them right. The UK referendum on EU membership in June 2016 and the US presidential election in November 2016 exposed deep divisions among voters. They also revealed the extent of public discontent over job losses in some industrial sectors and rising income inequality, to which globalisation and technology have contributed, as well as profound mistrust of ‘the establishment’, however defined. In fact, an analysis by economist Branko Milanovic, whom we interviewed as part of our research for this year’s study, shows how unevenly the benefits of globalisation have been distributed. Milanovic found that the biggest gains have gone to a small, increasingly rich elite in the industrialised nations and to Asia’s rising middle class, while the main losers have been lower-income people in developed countries.

A number of emerging countries are also experiencing greater income inequality, while depressed commodities prices (relative to mid-2014 peaks) are raising questions about over-dependence on global trade. These factors, together with high unemployment, resource shortages and other challenges, have triggered conflict in some parts of the world.

But what we’re seeing isn’t a one-way street. Some forces are linking the world more closely, even as others are causing rifts. Digital connectivity is one example of the former (although it has also contributed to the rise in outsourcing and job losses). Certain countries will always reach out globally because they can’t produce everything they need. And many will continue to collaborate on borderless issues like security and the environment. Simply put, the world has become more complex.

Globalisation and technological advances demand a new style of leadership to manage heightened anxieties. The enduring winners will be those who can successfully navigate technology and preserve the human touch. Competitive advantage will go to those with the greatest capacity to build relationships built on trust, which comes from sharing deep, sustainable values and purpose.

So many things have changed in the last 20 years that it is not easy to identify only one that is much more important than the others. But if I had to choose, I would probably say the Internet. It has changed our lives in so many dimensions, namely in the way companies are run and in different factors that affect businesses and society.

Ángelo Paupério
CEO of Sonae SGPS, Portugal

I think that businesses over the last 10 or 20 years have ridden the wave of globalisation and certainly with recent events that trend is either being challenged or reversing.

Brian Conroy
President of Fidelity International, UK

I think that businesses over the last 10 or 20 years have ridden the wave of globalisation and certainly with recent events that trend is either being challenged or reversing.
This connection with the emotional quotient can only come from having a clear understanding of how we think of trust today. Transparency has become a key consideration of how business leaders engage with stakeholders. In an increasingly transparent world, executive teams need to get better at fully grasping the ethical implications of their decisions and actions, and developing the moral muscle to make the right decisions and stand behind them. It’s time for leaders to step forward and be counted, and to collaborate effectively with governments around the world.

**Globalisation disrupted?**

Between 1980 and 2007, global trade grew much faster than global GDP; since then it’s been lagging for the first time in many years (see Figure 2). Globalisation is no longer driving growth to the degree it once did. Why not? The economic axis has shifted, making international co-operation more intricate; China’s rebalancing has hit demand for commodities; and regulatory measures introduced in the wake of the financial crisis have dented cross-border capital flows. But it’s arguably the views of the public – and their potential impact on national policies – which could slow the pace of globalisation most of all.

Trade agreements could be most seriously affected. The Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP), signed in February 2016 but yet to be ratified, and the draft Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP) have been widely opposed in many of the countries involved. Indeed, President-elect Donald Trump has vowed to withdraw the US from the TPP. Even limited opposition can derail talks, as was nearly the case with the Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (CETA) between the EU and Canada.

---

**Figure 2: World trade is now growing more slowly than world GDP**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>World GDP</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World trade</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: IMF World Economic Outlook, October 2016; PwC analysis
CEOs are well aware of what’s shaping public sentiment. They’ve long acknowledged the growing gap between rich and poor: in our 2009 survey, 70% thought inequality would rise. Now, 44% say globalisation has made no difference at all in levelling the playing field. And many worry that increasing hostility to globalisation will cause governments to look inwards; indeed, 58% believe it’s already becoming harder to compete on the world stage as a result of more closed national policies.

**Changing markets**

So, if global trade is slowing down, where are CEOs currently looking for opportunities to expand? Twenty years ago, it was relatively easy to determine where to look for global growth. CEOs saw emerging markets as a sure ticket to success; in fact, they were the only markets our earliest survey focused on. More recently, however, CEOs have turned to a broader mix of countries (see Figure 3).8

---

**Figure 3: CEOs are looking at a mix of countries for growth**

Q: Which three countries, excluding the one in which you are based, do you consider most important for your organisation’s overall growth prospects over the next 12 months?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: PwC, 14th Annual Global CEO Survey and 20th CEO Survey. Base: All respondents (2017=1,379; 2011=1,201)

---

**Despite these regressions caused by anti-globalisation, counter-market forces or planned economies, the impetus for strong market forces is irreversible, and it continues to march forward. As time goes by, whatever happens, China will continue moving towards a fully free market and this cannot be stopped.**

Dr. Charles Zhang
Chairman of the Board & CEO of Sohu.com Inc., China

---

**...I believe that businesses in general are going through a time of greater volatility and uncertainty. All you have to do is look at recent events...**

Jorge Mario Velásquez Jaramillo
CEO of Grupo Argos S.A., Colombia
There’s a solid rationale for this approach: the opportunity-risk profiles of different nations are becoming both more distinctive and more changeable, dictating the need for more considered growth strategies. The US surged ahead as CEOs’ top choice three years ago and is doing well economically. Yet it faces challenges as it redefines its role on the world stage. China remains a priority but, for all its reforms and growing regional influence, is dealing with a worrying debt bubble. And the UK is even more popular than it was last year, although it will have to cope with considerable uncertainty as it negotiates its exit from the EU. Over time CEOs have become less enthusiastic about India, perhaps because structural reforms have been slow to come (and there have been recent short-term difficulties with its rupee conversion programme). Nevertheless, it still stands out for its robust growth and monetary and fiscal reforms. Brazil has also taken a tumble in the rankings and is grappling with a deep recession, but is starting to turn things around. Meanwhile, Russia has fallen out of the top ten entirely and is reeling from depressed oil prices, although it’s slowly making headway.

Volatility – yes, unpredictability – yes, but, that is, in my opinion, what is now part and parcel of our daily practice. That is, there is a need to adapt businesses to continue operating even under conditions of the highest uncertainty. To make ten-year plans would currently be a utopian endeavour.

Alexey Marey
Member of the Board of Directors and CEO of Alfa-Bank, Russia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Top ten threats</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uncertain economic growth</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over-regulation</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability of key skills</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geopolitical uncertainty</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed of technological change</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchange rate volatility</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing tax burden</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social instability</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changing consumer behaviour</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyber threats</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Top four risers since 2015</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speed of technological change</td>
<td>58-61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social instability</td>
<td>60-65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changing consumer behaviours</td>
<td>60-65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of trust in business (Industry 2012)</td>
<td>53-55-58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respondents who answered somewhat or extremely concerned
Base: All respondents (2017=1,379; 2016=1,409; 2015=1,322)
Realists – or naïve optimists?
No wonder CEOs are so concerned about economic and geopolitical uncertainties. Over-regulation and skills shortages are also high on their worry lists, and apprehensions about the speed of technological change have mounted most over the past few years (see Figure 4). Indeed, one key feature of the current environment is just how hard it is to read; a single event could trigger a need for wholesale strategic changes.

Yet despite these concerns, CEOs have become surprisingly optimistic. In late 1997, when we completed our first survey, only a third of the participants were very confident about their company’s three-year revenue outlook, even though they were riding the wave of an extraordinary bull market. This year, by contrast, 51% of CEOs are extremely positive about the longer-term prospects for revenue growth, and 38% are very upbeat about the immediate outlook, up from 35% last year (see Figure 5).

Figure 5: CEOs’ confidence has grown over time
Q: How confident are you about your company’s prospects for revenue growth over the next 12 months?
Q: How confident are you about your company’s prospects for revenue growth over the next 3 years?
Q: Do you believe global economic growth will improve, stay the same or decline over the next 12 months?

Base: All respondents (2016=1,409; 2015=1,322; 2014=1,344; 2013=1,330; 2012=1,258; 2011=1,201; 2010=1,198; 2009=1,124; 2008=1,150; 2007=1,084; 2006 (not asked); 2005=1,324; 2004=1,386; 2000=1,020; 1999=1,379 and 1998=377)
Please note: From 2012-2014 respondents were asked ‘Do you believe the global economy will improve, stay the same or decline over the next 12 months?’
Organic growth and cost reduction are the top two activities CEOs are planning to drive corporate growth or profitability.

Q: Which of the following activities, if any, are you planning in the coming 12 months in order to drive corporate growth or profitability?

79% Organic growth
62% Cost reduction

Why such optimism? CEOs have undoubtedly had to cope with very stormy conditions, so perhaps natural selection has played a part; anyone who reaches the top has already adapted to uncertainty. Alternatively, perhaps, CEOs have learned to look for the upside and seize on the opportunities uncertainty brings. One thing is clear; they’re not waiting things out. CEOs recognise that it’s not enough to focus on organic growth and cost reductions alone, important though these are (see Figure 6). So they are – rightly – prioritising investment in innovation and digital capabilities (see Figure 7).

...our strategy is that we have to drive costs down. The only way I can see with a major impact, is that we build on new technologies and that we should go into digitisation, which is also a standardisation process, especially as we are a very capital-intensive industry.

Rainer Seele
CEO of OMV AG, Austria
**Standing at the crossroads**

The forces of technology and globalisation will continue to transform the world. But in which direction? Are we entering an age of de-globalisation – or can we usher in a new era of inclusive global growth? There’s much at stake. Global trade wars could disrupt supply chains and drive up prices, hurting both companies and consumers. Economic and political uncertainties could deter investment and dampen innovation, and perhaps even bring about another worldwide downturn. However, public discontent isn’t just a danger to growth; social well-being and equality are vital in driving long-term economic performance.

CEOs are operating in a radically new environment. So how can they address the risks of globalisation and technology, and realise the benefits for everyone? We explore their views on three key areas:

1. **Managing man and machine**
   Many individuals worry that globalisation and technology will eliminate their jobs. In reality, CEOs desperately need talent but can’t find people with the right skills. How are they creating the more agile, well-rounded and diverse workforce that’s needed for the digital age?

2. **Gaining from connectivity without losing trust**
   As our interactions become ever more automated, data-driven and virtual, the human factor is receding. CEOs fear that technology will exacerbate public mistrust in organisations. How are they addressing the challenge?

3. **Making globalisation work for all**
   In an era of people power, CEOs are prioritising purposeful growth. They know this entails working with others to help drive much wider changes and ensure a fairer distribution of the benefits to be gained from globalisation. How do CEOs think business can help?
Tough questions about competing in an age of divergence:

1. How will you find fresh organic growth in the new divergent and low-growth global economy?

2. As the pattern of world trade alters and protectionism threatens, how are you preparing to compete while continuing to optimise your cost base?

3. In a more uncertain world, where will your increase your investment and where will you 'hunker down'? How will you measure the relative success of your ventures?

4. If innovation is key to your success, how much more do you need to invest in R&D and new product development to ensure a proportion of future winning brand offerings?

5. In an increasingly risky business environment, how can you factor both agility and resilience into your growth strategy?
Managing man and machine

I believe one of the biggest challenges for society as a whole is the philosophical problem involving people’s interaction with robots. I believe that during the lifetimes of our children we will certainly be faced with androids and the question of what laws we should enact to govern how robots are integrated into society.

Alexey Marey
Member of the Board of Directors and CEO, Alfa-Bank, Russia

Some worry that globalisation will take away their jobs and they’re even more nervous about the impact of technology. Twenty years ago, there were fewer than 700,000 industrial robots worldwide; today, there are 1.8 million, and the number could soar to 2.6 million by 2019. Manufacturing output has simultaneously risen, but employment in the sector has fallen in various advanced economies. Technology has been one – although by no means the only – cause of these changes.

Robots are now entering the services arena; 3-D printing can be used to make cars and aircraft; biotechnology will change the way we grow crops, produce food and manufacture medicines; and nanotechnology and artificial intelligence (AI) will affect numerous industries. All this could happen much more quickly than we expect. Just look at the advent of self-driving trucks to make deliveries, or Amazon’s new Go store, which uses technology to track what customers put in their shopping carts and bill them automatically when they walk out, eliminating the need for human cashiers.

Figure 8: The most confident CEOs plan the largest headcount increases

Q: Do you expect headcount at your company to increase, decrease or stay the same over the next 12 months?
Q: How confident are you about your company’s prospects for revenue growth over the next 12 months?

67% of very confident CEOs plan to increase headcount

46% of cautious CEOs plan to decrease headcount

19% of cautious CEOs plan to increase headcount

11% of very confident CEOs plan to decrease headcount
Given these advances, it’s hardly surprising that people are apprehensive. This year, we surveyed more than 5,000 members of the public in 22 countries to identify what they think about many of the same topics we raised with CEOs. Our findings show that 79% believe technology will cause job losses over the next five years. And a number of experts believe that technology could replace humans in every sector, although when – or whether – that day will come is hotly contested. Forecasts of just how many jobs are at risk vary wildly, from 9% to 57% in OECD countries, for example. And the figure could be far higher in some emerging economies with large unskilled populations that have embraced automation to spur economic growth. Whatever the numbers may be, one thing is certain: technology will have a disruptive impact on the workforce, and it will do so right across the skills spectrum.

**Wanted: More technology and more people**

Yet CEOs still need people. Only 16% plan to cut their company’s headcount over the next 12 months – and only a quarter of them say it’s primarily because of technology. Conversely, 52% plan to hire more employees, although there are significant differences depending on how confident CEOs feel about their company’s growth prospects (see Figure 8). Clearly, CEOs see the value of marrying technology with uniquely human capabilities. The skills they consider most important are those that can’t be replicated by machines (see Figure 9). In fact, they’re precisely the qualities required to stimulate innovation – the area CEOs most want to strengthen to capitalise on new opportunities.

---

**As technology in the workplace increases, it will have a big impact on both people and culture. It’ll change the type of people you employ. It’ll change the culture of delivery within the organisation. It’ll drive us away from applying human thoughts to things which can be automated in a very logical way.**

Peter Harrison  
Group Chief Executive of Schroders plc., UK

---

**Figure 9: The hardest skills to find are those that can’t be performed by machines**

Q: How difficult, if at all, is it for your organisation to recruit people with these skills or characteristics?  
Q: In addition to technical business expertise, how important are the following skills to your organisation?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Difficulty in recruiting people with skill</th>
<th>Importance of skill</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Creativity and Innovation</td>
<td>Respondents who answered somewhat difficult or very difficult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership</td>
<td>Respondents who answered somewhat important or very important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional intelligence</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptability</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creativity and Innovation</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional intelligence</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptability</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problem solving</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There are several reasons why organisations continue to need people. One is simply how long it takes to adopt new technologies, whether that’s because older technologies are still profitable, because there are other priorities or because the effort and resources required are too great. In our first CEO survey, for example, 20% of CEOs thought e-commerce would completely reshape competition in their industries. This may sound like a surprisingly small percentage, but they weren’t far off: 27% of the CEOs in our latest survey say technology has transformed the competitive environment in the last 20 years (see Figure 10).

The regulatory environment can be a big factor, too. Some of the world’s largest manufacturing exporters, like Brazil and France, have been slow to adopt robotics, partly because of stringent labour regulations. Moreover, technology is currently nowhere near able to replicate every job or every aspect of a particular role. It’s routine, repetitive, standardised jobs and tasks that will be at risk – as well as non-routine activities, where there’s enough data for a machine to learn to spot anomalies. But, by and large, more variable activities will be much harder to replace. And even where jobs can be fully automated, some will remain in human hands simply because companies need people to understand what consumers want, including how they prefer to interact with technology and the products and services they desire.

Source: PwC, 1st Annual Global CEO Survey and 20th CEO Survey
Base: All respondents (1998=377; 2017=1,379)
Technology also creates new jobs: jobs for people who can design, monitor, maintain and fix technology; jobs for people in sectors that benefit indirectly from technology (such as the leisure sector, where new opportunities are emerging, as people’s time is freed up); and even new versions of ‘old-world’ jobs. Technology has, for instance, facilitated the rise of on-demand companies that match customers with independent contractors selling everything from taxi services to accommodation.

Ultimately, however, it’s the ability to acquire new skills that’s kept people employed through past disruptions like the industrial revolution. Some jobs will vanish. Others will remain, but their nature will change. Computers far outstrip humans when it comes to analysing vast quantities of raw data, for example. But they lack the intuition, empathy and creativity required to make sense of that data. The intersection between man and machine can generate more value for business than either alone. It can also make many jobs more interesting and more purposeful.

**Creativity can’t be coded**

The challenge is getting to that point: 77% of CEOs are concerned that key skills shortages could impair their company’s growth. And they say it’s the soft skills they value most that are hardest to find (see Figure 9). Creative, innovative leaders with emotional intelligence are in very short supply. If anything, indeed, they’re even thinner on the ground than they were in 2008, when we asked a similar question, whereas people with technological skills are more plentiful than before.

---

I’m a firm believer that our people and our culture are our only sustainable competitive advantages.

Edward H. Bastian
CEO of Delta Air Lines Inc., US

...while Artificial Intelligence will help with some things like sorting data and insights, the quality of thinking in decision making, in team-based interaction that creates value for people and corporations, is still going to be a key part of how we do business.

Anthony Healy
CEO of Bank of New Zealand, New Zealand
So how are CEOs addressing the skills crunch? They’re mainly trawling in wider waters. A significant number promote diversity and inclusiveness; seek the best people, no matter who or where they are; and move employees where they’re needed. Just over three quarters of CEOs have also changed their talent strategies to reflect the skills and employment structures their companies will require in the future (see Figure 11).

The percentage of CEOs who agree that their company uses technology to hire, train and retain people, or who are exploring the future impact of technology on their people or on the HR function itself, is considerably smaller. But new strategies to find people and develop them will no longer be enough. The whole system within which people work will have to be considered. This includes reinforcing mechanisms like pay and reward and performance-enhancing mechanisms like coaching and feedback. But it will also be essential to help people manage the impact of turbulent environments, appoint executive teams that reflect the diversity of the employee pool and create a purpose and culture that inspire people.

Organisations will also have to collaborate with government, educational and vocational institutes and employees to redesign the workforce. Retaining the human element in a more virtual world will be a prerequisite for future success.

Figure 11: CEOs are looking more widely to find the skills they need

I used to say that up to the 19th century the most important people were those who had liquid resources, money. In the 20th century world, it was essentially the engineers, but in the 21st century, it is the ones who are able to manage talent. So talent is going to be the driver for the 21st century.

Ignacio S. Galán
Chairman of Iberdrola, Spain

Q: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about your organisation’s talent activities?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We promote talent diversity and inclusiveness</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We’ve changed our people strategy to reflect the skills and employment structures we need for the future</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We move talent to where we need it</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We seek out the best talent regardless of demographics or geography</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We’ve added digital training to our learning programmes</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We use technology to improve our people’s well-being</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We’re rethinking our HR function</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We’re exploring the benefits of humans and machines working together</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We use data analytics to find, develop and keep people</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We’re considering the impact of artificial intelligence on future skills needs</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We rely more on contractors, freelancers and outsourcing</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tough questions about managing man and machine:

1. What parts of your business model will benefit from further automation?

2. Is your HR function ready to adapt to managing man and machine? What’s missing from its capabilities and how will you fix it fast?

3. How are you going to find the rarer skills like leadership, creativity and adaptability required for your company to innovate and build brand differentiation?

4. Have you considered how artificial intelligence and automation will help you create competitive advantage in your key markets?

5. Have you redesigned your business processes so that your employees are best placed to work seamlessly with automation to create new value?
Twenty years ago, trust wasn’t as high on the business radar as it is today. In fact, we didn’t survey CEOs about it until 2002, when the business community was reeling from accounting fraud scandals, the bursting of the dotcom bubble and the collapse of the equity markets. With hindsight, it seems hard to believe that only 12% of CEOs thought public trust in companies in their country had greatly declined, and only 29% thought the fallout from corporate misdeeds was a serious threat.

Since then, the financial crisis has catapulted trust into the limelight, and the after-effects of stagnant economic growth and spiralling debt levels continue to fuel a climate of mistrust. The impact on CEOs has been significant: in 2013, 37% worried that lack of trust in business would harm their company’s growth. This year, the number has jumped to 58%. The breakdown in public trust now poses a potent risk to political, economic and social systems the world over.

Every decision and action that business leaders take – whether it involves customers, employees, suppliers, partners, shareholders or the wider community – has a bearing on trust. In an increasingly transparent world companies need a clear moral compass. Stakeholders are keenly interested, not just in what businesses do but also in how and why they do it. To add to our research on the trust

Gaining from connectivity without losing trust

I always love one of the sayings from our founder, Henry Ford. He said, “A business that makes nothing but money is a poor business,” and so giving back is a very important part, and that’s how you build trust.

Mark Fields
CEO of Ford Motor Company, US

...organisations will change dramatically. The arrival of artificial intelligence, the arrival of robotics, the arrival of big data, the arrival of the interrogation techniques that are now capable of being deployed on data, all give sort of a new challenge but also a new tool to businesses across the world. We have to embrace them. We have to recognise that they’re here to stay and it will only get worse.

John Patrick Hourican
CEO of Bank of Cyprus, Cyprus

Since then, the financial crisis has catapulted trust into the limelight, and the after-effects of stagnant economic growth and spiralling debt levels continue to fuel a climate of mistrust. The impact on CEOs has been significant: in 2013, 37% worried that lack of trust in business would harm their company’s growth. This year, the number has jumped to 58%. The breakdown in public trust now poses a potent risk to political, economic and social systems the world over.

Every decision and action that business leaders take – whether it involves customers, employees, suppliers, partners, shareholders or the wider community – has a bearing on trust. In an increasingly transparent world companies need a clear moral compass. Stakeholders are keenly interested, not just in what businesses do but also in how and why they do it. To add to our research on the trust
agenda over the last decade, this year’s CEO survey homes in on how technology has exacerbated the challenge.

**The dark side of connectivity**

A sizeable number of CEOs are firmly convinced that, in an increasingly digitalised world, it’s harder for businesses to gain – and retain – people’s trust. They also think it’s become more important both to run their companies in a way that addresses wider shareholder expectations and to establish a strong corporate purpose that’s reflected in their organisation’s values, culture and behaviour (see Figure 12).

So which risks arising from connectivity concern CEOs most? When ‘technology’ and ‘trust’ pop up in the same sentence, most of us automatically think of how reputations are made and lost overnight through mass communications. And, indeed, 87% of CEOs believe social media could have a negative impact on the level of stakeholder trust in their industry over the next five years. But as new technologies and new uses of existing technologies proliferate, they say new dangers are emerging – and old ones are getting worse (see Figure 13).

*In my view, technology brings transparency, and transparency is a good thing for our society because it allows us to shine a bright light onto the dark spots of our society. However, we will need to have a discussion about the Internet, because the Internet in my view is a reflection of the good, the bad and the evil of our society. Whilst most of the digital business models have been designed with the best intentions in mind, they’re now facing an ugly reality.*

Helene von Roeder
CEO Germany, Austria and CEE of Credit Suisse, Germany

---

**Figure 12: In an increasingly digitised world, there is widespread recognition that a strong corporate purpose is vital – as well as an awareness that it’s harder to win trust**

Q: In the context of an increasingly digitised world, to what extent do you agree with the following statements?

- It’s more important to have a strong corporate purpose, that’s reflected in our values, culture and behaviours
  - Agree: 36%
  - Agree strongly: 56%

- It’s more important to run our business in a way that accounts for wider stakeholder expectations
  - Agree: 52%
  - Agree strongly: 33%

- It’s harder for business to gain and keep trust
  - Agree: 46%
  - Agree strongly: 22%

- How we manage people’s data will differentiate us
  - Agree: 40%
  - Agree strongly: 24%
Many companies already collect a vast amount of customer data, which they use to target specific customers and influence their behaviour, often in very subtle ways. As the Internet of Things (IoT) spreads to everything from wearables to consumables, cars, and every conceivable part of the home, what companies know about people will increase exponentially. This data is an incredible asset for companies and their customers. It enables businesses to deliver a better service, develop closer relationships with their customers and earn their trust. It enables customers to get more targeted offerings and engage with companies in more meaningful ways.

But what happens if a company crosses the line between anticipating customers’ needs and intruding on their privacy, or if a government tries to access the data in an effort to control security risks? And what happens if the data gets lost or stolen and ends up in the hands of criminals? One study found that privacy and security concerns had stopped 45% of online US households from conducting transactions or expressing their views via the Internet.16 Even worse, people’s physical security could be compromised, as cars and homes become increasingly connected. Several US government agencies have already issued a warning about the security risks associated with smart cars.17

The growing use of data in the workplace also poses new trust issues. As HR departments slowly but surely increase their use of data analytics, talent management is turning from an inexact art into a science. But monitoring employees’ activities in – and out of – work can quickly turn sour. What are the limits of the information companies can gather? How transparent is the use of that data in making decisions about employee rewards or penalties?

CEOs recognise the complexity of the situation. A full 91% say breaches of data privacy and ethics will have a negative impact on stakeholder trust in the next five years, and 89% are already on the case. However, CEOs in the largest companies are doing much more to address these areas than those in the smallest firms (see Figure 13).

---

**Figure 13: CEOs worry about a variety of digital risks and their impact on trust**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>To some extent</th>
<th>To a large extent</th>
<th>All CEOs</th>
<th>CEOs of companies with revenues &gt;$10bn</th>
<th>CEOs of companies with revenues &lt;$100m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cyber security breaches affecting business information or critical systems</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>$3,300</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$3,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breaches of data privacy and ethics</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>$3,200</td>
<td>$2,200</td>
<td>$3,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT outages and disruptions</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>$3,300</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$3,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artificial intelligence and automation (including blockchain)</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>$3,500</td>
<td>$3,800</td>
<td>$3,300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

84% of people say breaches of data privacy and ethics causes them to lose trust in companies

Source: PwC survey of 5,351 members of the public in 22 countries, 2016.

Craig Donaldson
CEO of Metro Bank PLC., UK

How people can ensure that they own their data, that it’s theirs and that how it’s used is appropriate, will become the key battleground over the next 20 years.

**Data security and ethics**

This data is an incredible asset for companies and their customers. It enables businesses to deliver a better service, develop closer relationships with their customers and earn their trust. It enables customers to get more targeted offerings and engage with companies in more meaningful ways. But what happens if a company crosses the line between anticipating customers’ needs and intruding on their privacy, or if a government tries to access the data in an effort to control security risks? And what happens if the data gets lost or stolen and ends up in the hands of criminals? One study found that privacy and security concerns had stopped 45% of online US households from conducting transactions or expressing their views via the Internet.16 Even worse, people’s physical security could be compromised, as cars and homes become increasingly connected. Several US government agencies have already issued a warning about the security risks associated with smart cars.17

The growing use of data in the workplace also poses new trust issues. As HR departments slowly but surely increase their use of data analytics, talent management is turning from an inexact art into a science. But monitoring employees’ activities in – and out of – work can quickly turn sour. What are the limits of the information companies can gather? How transparent is the use of that data in making decisions about employee rewards or penalties?

CEOs recognise the complexity of the situation. A full 91% say breaches of data privacy and ethics will have a negative impact on stakeholder trust in the next five years, and 89% are already on the case. However, CEOs in the largest companies are doing much more to address these areas than those in the smallest firms (see Figure 13).
Security breaches aren’t confined to customer data; cyber spying is now a major threat in some industries, for example. As Ian Bremmer, President of political risk consultancy Eurasia Group, whom we spoke to as part of our research, says, “Twenty years ago...the web was one of the least political areas of the market you could possibly imagine. Today, it is perhaps the most politicised sector after defence, and it increasingly is defence and national security.” Businesses in key areas like infrastructure, energy and banking are particularly prone to attacks. This explains why so many CEOs worry that breaches affecting business-critical information and systems could also impair public trust in their industry. The vast majority are already taking steps to try and forestall such problems – although, again, it’s the largest firms that are most active in this regard (see Figure 13).

The companies that are most effective in addressing these issues will be those that are not only strengthening their IT security, risk and governance strategies, but also collaborating with government (for example, to create the right regulatory environment for public clouds, which can offer better end-to-end security and privacy management) and engaging with stakeholders. They will need to decide what levels of transparency stakeholders should be entitled to and how to balance competing interests, as well as educating people on how to manage their technology footprint. Employers will also have to consider how much information it is necessary or acceptable to gather on their people, and how open they should be about what they’re collecting, and why and how it will be used.

Digital technology is central to both our customer solutions and our operating practices. For example, we are implementing platforms that make it easier for our customers to access their information and monitor their consumption, and to optimise their buildings’ energy use. We also make significant use of digital technology to improve industrial performance, by implementing predictive maintenance at our plants, for example.

Isabelle Kocher
Directeur Général du Groupe (CEO)
ENGIE of France

IT outages and disruptions

IT outages and disruptions are another source of concern. If the lights go out in a world that’s heavily reliant on technology, the consequences can be extremely disruptive. What happens if customers can’t access their money when they need it, or if their connected homes lock them out? Deeply inconvenient though such incidents are, they pale into insignificance next to the physical risks that will arise as we become more connected. Picture, for instance, the sort of accident that might occur as a result of a computer glitch in one or more smart cars.

It’s no wonder so many CEOs fear that IT outages and disruptions could impact stakeholders’ trust and why so many are taking action. Again, it’s CEOs at the largest firms that are dealing most actively with the issue (see Figure 13). But addressing such risks is very difficult. The complexities and interdependencies of enterprise systems are a big problem.

Automation, robotics and AI

Behind automation, robots and smart machines lie algorithms. These may be nothing more than instructions for computers to achieve particular outcomes, but they shape lives to a much bigger extent than many people imagine. The way we navigate websites, how we interact with connected devices, how the growing gig economy works: all are influenced by code. This raises questions about what safeguards are needed to ensure that machines carry out human orders effectively, in the way they were intended. It also raises various ethical questions. To what extent, for instance, is it acceptable to influence human choices? And can the humans who write these codes – or the companies they work for – be trusted?
Such issues explain why high-profile figures in Silicon Valley are increasingly focusing on how technology can benefit society. They also explain why 67% of CEOs say AI and automation will affect trust levels in future, and why 58% are already addressing the situation, with the CEOs of the largest companies being the most active (see Figure 13). It will be crucial to have robust risk and governance frameworks. It will also be important to better integrate human and machine collaborations to oversee algorithmic processes. But the companies that are successful in addressing these challenges will be those that also prioritise transparency. Without a clear idea of how rules are defined and implemented, for example, stakeholders may question a company’s fairness and honesty.

**A trust strategy for a digital age**

In some respects, digital connectivity has made us more trusting; in the sharing economy, for example, consider how many people let strangers stay in their homes or buy from businesses they’ve never heard of before. In other respects, digital connectivity has eroded trust by creating new threats and exposing organisations to far more scrutiny. The growing complexity of technology and the increasingly distributed way in which we work, with greater individual autonomy, have also made it much harder for companies to build trust – or rebuild it, once it’s been lost. And no firm gets it right every time, which is why effective crisis management is as crucial as robust risk management.

But if forfeiting people’s trust is a sure-fire route to failure, earning their trust is the single biggest enabler of success. As an example, the take progression from assisted to augmented to autonomous intelligence heavily which depends on how much consumers and regulators trust machines to operate on their own. That, in turn, depends on whether those who create the machines have the right risk and governance structures in place, the means to verify and validate their claims independently and the mechanisms to engage effectively with stakeholders.

In short, trust is an opportunity, not just a risk. Many CEOs recognise as much: 64% – rising to 75% of those who head companies with revenues of more than US$10bn – believe that how their firm manages data will be a differentiating factor in future. These CEOs understand that prioritising the human experience in an increasingly virtual world entails treating customers with integrity.
Tough questions about gaining from connectivity without losing trust:

1. Does your CIO know the extent to which the technology you’re investing in today will affect how your stakeholders trust you tomorrow?

2. What are you doing to protect customer and employee data from theft, loss or misuse – and how robust are those strategies?

3. How can you build the right infrastructure for collecting, managing, governing and securing data?

4. As cybersecurity risks increase, have you got clear protocols in place for when systems go down and inconvenience your customers?

5. What can you do to measure and leverage trust in your brand as a competitive advantage?
Making globalisation work for all

For the past 20 years CEOs have been largely positive about the impacts of globalisation on their businesses and markets. But, by 2007, they were beginning to express reservations about the short-term effects on society. CEOs are still ambivalent. Today the vast majority believe that globalisation has helped to free up flows of money, people, goods and information, facilitate universal connectivity and create a skilled workforce. Yet a significant number say it’s done nothing to mitigate climate change, promote the development of fairer tax systems or close the gap between rich and poor (see Figure 14).

Figure 14: CEOs recognise both the benefits and downsides of globalisation

Q: To what extent has globalisation helped with the following areas?

- Improving the ease of moving capital, people, goods and information: 35% - To a large extent, 60% - To some extent, 6% - Not at all
- Enabling universal connectivity: 33% - To a large extent, 62% - To some extent, 5% - Not at all
- Creating a skilled and educated labour force: 53% - To a large extent, 37% - To some extent, 10% - Not at all
- Facilitating universal access to infrastructure and basic services: 54% - To a large extent, 34% - To some extent, 11% - Not at all
- Providing full and meaningful employment: 55% - To a large extent, 21% - To some extent, 13% - Not at all
- Averting climate change and resource scarcity: 49% - To a large extent, 15% - To some extent, 26% - Not at all
- Enhancing the fairness and integrity of global tax systems: 45% - To a large extent, 14% - To some extent, 41% - Not at all
- Closing the gap between rich and poor: 38% - To a large extent, 13% - To some extent, 50% - Not at all

...globalisation may be maximally efficient, but maximum efficiency doesn’t really care about distribution, and so you’ve left a lot of people behind.

Ian Bremmer
President and Founder of Eurasia Group, US
Nearly all CEOs believe it’s vital to address social challenges by focusing on purposeful growth. The question is – how. The political, economic, regulatory and social systems within which companies operate are coming under increasing strain; indeed, many people see them as part of the problem. In what ways and to what extent, then, should CEOs be expanding the scope of their leadership to help drive systemic change?

**Time for business leaders to step up**

We asked CEOs to tell us how they think the corporate community can work with others to stimulate change in areas where globalisation hasn’t produced desirable results. The majority of CEOs say the best way for business to help spread the benefits of globalisation more widely is to have more and better collaboration with government. But while they concede that companies need to work more closely with governments, some add that governments don’t listen very well. “Business and government need to find a non-adversarial way to interact,” one CEO observes, which means that executives will have to be flexible enough to find common ground without compromising their values.

However, a small but noticeable minority of CEOs believe that their business is too small to engage effectively, or that there’s little they can do to effect change – despite evidence to the contrary. There are many instances of successful corporate collaboration with multilateral institutions to deliver social improvements. As an example, 9,000 companies work with the UN Global Compact to advance broader social objectives like the Sustainable Development Goals.9 Newer, smaller platforms for multi-stakeholder engagement have also sprung up, including some spearheaded by the private sector. And a number of CEOs point to a less immediately obvious source of change: trade associations and industry bodies.

I think we face a very dislocated next 20 years. I think we’ll see a reversal of some of the globalisation that has occurred. I think we’ll see the emergence of nationalism, economic nationalism, in a much more fundamental way than we’ve seen over the last 20 years. But at the same time, we’ll see the creation of business models that are much more ubiquitous in the use of their data and their information, much more technologically core-driven. But actually, that’s going to change the nature of the type of jobs that are available in society, which I think will in the beginning increase the tension between business and society, policymakers and business people. So I think we have some dangerous waters ahead – which is why we need to be honest about them, and to engage in the dialogue so that we can all navigate them.

Prof. Carlota Perez
Researcher, lecturer and international consultant, UK

**New solutions to perennial problems**

In fact, companies can play a valuable part in the wider debate about the systems that govern business and society, including the effect of technology on these systems. The future of globalisation is clearly one topic for discussion. Some CEOs think business has a role to play in promoting the benefits of globalisation. Others favour localisation, seeing the retreat from globalisation as a chance to embed a ‘glocal’ approach that benefits their markets.

35% of people agree that businesses have increased their focus on operating in a way that takes them and community into account.

Source: PwC survey of 5,351 members of the public in 22 countries, 2016.

Researcher, lecturer and international consultant Carlota Perez, whom we interviewed for this year’s survey, sees tax mechanisms as a way to balance global versus local sourcing, as well as minimising resource consumption: “One of the things that I have proposed is flipping VAT; instead of being a tax on value added, which is profits and labour, flip it – income neutrally – to materials, energy and transport, so that every product would be taxed on its material and energy content and on how far it has travelled. It would change the relative costs of producing away or producing near: some things would continue to be more competitive produced abroad; others can be produced locally. And there would be a proliferation of innovations in new materials and energy sources and in how to use less of them.”

A second issue is whether the current focus on shareholder value should be qualified. Helene von Roeder, CEO Germany, Austria and Central Eastern Europe of financial services firm Credit Suisse, says: “If you ask me how business can address these challenges [of globalisation], I do believe that the German system of a stakeholder, rather than a shareholder, economy is a pretty good answer.” One organisation that’s already exploring this concept is the RSA Inclusive Growth Commission, an independent multi-stakeholder body in the UK set up to investigate practical ways of making local economies more inclusive and prosperous.10
Income distribution is yet another theme to be explored, particularly given the impact of technology on human labour. “How do we distribute wealth so it is balanced and fair?” a CEO in Hong Kong asks. “Who are the future consumers and what do they consume and how do they earn a living to pay for it?” One solution put forward by economist Branko Milanovic is to spread the ownership of capital assets more widely: “Reducing concentration of income from capital would imply measures to redistribute ownership...through taxation relief to make the middle class more willing to hold financial assets...”

Wherever the solutions may lie, if we don’t resolve inequality issues, one CEO in the UK cautions, “The whole [capitalist] system will be put under pressure from the working population [which] will no longer accept the wealth differential created today.”

**Leveraging technology for social benefit**

Discussion and collaboration will provide some of the answers to these challenges, but technology can also resolve certain issues, as some CEOs point out. And the business world is in an ideal position to help. Take healthcare. “[The] availability of basic and advanced care for all people is a key issue,” says a CEO in France. “Private public partnership on all levels should be developed to work on more effective, efficient and sustainable solutions, [for example] working on telemedicine and IT solutions to better connect patients and care givers or to make better use of expert systems.”

Technology could help address another problem: access to continuing education. “Government and business can work well together to provide opportunities for workers displaced by globalisation,” one CEO in the US comments. “[Its] impacts need to be moderated with retraining and other initiatives in a much larger and better-designed way.” Some companies already collaborate with educational institutions to co-develop courses and sponsor degrees. But technology could ultimately transform the delivery and cost of education, as well as its effectiveness. Online training, AI and other tools could be used not only to raise basic standards but also to foster skills like creativity and adaptability, which are hard to teach in more traditional ways.

**Safeguarding the future**

Addressing the dangers of globalisation and technology while capitalising on the opportunities they present is a delicate balancing act. Many CEOs freely admit that they struggle with this, both because they’re uncertain about the extent of their company’s social obligations and because greater emphasis on shareholder value has made it far more difficult to prioritise long-over short-term performance.
But the events of the past year have shown us just how interconnected the interests of shareholders and other stakeholders really are. Businesses that ignore the power of the people will jeopardise the growth they seek. Conversely, businesses that respond effectively – by articulating their purpose, anticipating risks and adhering to the values they profess – will thrive.

And what about the CEO’s personal role in all of this? CEOs will certainly require different skills. When we spoke to 216 young business leaders last year, 44% thought one of the core attributes that would be needed by future CEOs was the ability to give and receive feedback. Tomorrow’s business leaders will also have to be able to collaborate widely and embrace more decentralised decision-making. The expanding C-suite is evidence of this trend. Over the past 20 years, it’s doubled in size and changed beyond recognition. The next 20 years may bring further expansion, with additional roles such as that of Chief Privacy Officer or Chief Relationship Officer, and more diversity in the boardroom.

In 1998, we concluded that these were ‘great times in which to be a global CEO’. The corporation was ‘ascendant around the globe’ – and this, we thought, was the ‘mortar of a better and more harmonious and less divided planet than in the past’. Our statement clearly needs updating. While we believe these can still be great times in which to be a global CEO, we also think a paradigm shift in the role of business is required to produce that better, more harmonious, less divided planet. The ascendancy of corporations around the globe has contributed to prosperity; it’s created jobs, raised living standards and delivered innovative products and services that have bettered lives. But that’s no longer enough.

In the headlong rush to reap the benefits of technology and globalisation, the human factor has been lost. It’s time for CEOs to step forward and help safeguard the future by ensuring the benefits of business go to everyone.
Tough questions about making globalisation open for all:

1. Have you assessed the impact current sentiment about globalisation will have on your organisation’s ability to compete globally?

2. Are you making the most of your reporting to ensure all your stakeholders are aware of your initiatives to support workforce, communities and social initiatives?

3. Have you evaluated your global tax strategies recently to consider the impacts of public and government views on tax obligations to support public services?

4. How do your investments in innovation align to the important problems at the core of your purpose?

5. How can businesses and governments work together to help those who’ve been disenfranchised by globalisation?
Looking for more data?

**Figure A: Short-term confidence has risen most in North America & Latin America**
Q: How confident are you about your company’s prospects for revenue growth over the next 12 months?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>2017 (%)</th>
<th>2016 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Europe</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia Pacific</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEE</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Base: All respondents (2017=1,379; 152; 146; 493; 147; 50; 80; 2016=1,409, 146, 314, 476, 169, 170, 47, 87)

**Figure B: Organic growth and cost reduction are the top two activities CEOs are planning in order to drive corporate growth or profitability**
Q: Which of the following activities, if any, are you planning in the coming 12 months in order to drive corporate growth or profitability?

- Organic growth: 79%
- Cost reduction: 62%
- New strategic alliance or joint venture: 48%
- New M&A: 41%
- Collaborate with entrepreneurs or start-ups: 28%
- Outsourcing: 17%
- Sell a business or exit a market: 15%
- None of the above: 1%

**Figure C: Of the CEOs who plan to decrease headcount over 80% indicated it could be a result of automation and other technologies**
Q: Which of the following activities, if any, are you planning in the coming 12 months in order to drive corporate growth or profitability?

- Automation and other technologies: 55%
- Cost reduction: 25%
- To a large extent: 19%
- To some extent: 55%
- Not at all: 1%

Base: Respondents who stated ‘decrease’ at Q7a (224)
Figure D: Uncertain economic growth has displaced over-regulation as the top threat

Q: How concerned are you about the following economic, policy, social, environmental and business threats to your organisation’s growth prospects?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threat</th>
<th>Not concerned at all</th>
<th>Not very concerned</th>
<th>Somewhat concerned</th>
<th>Extremely concerned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uncertain economic growth</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-15</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over-regulation</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability of key skills</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geopolitical uncertainty</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed of technological change</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-23</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchange rate volatility</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-23</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing tax burden</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social instability</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changing consumer behaviour</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>-27</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyber threats</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protectionism</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-29</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Readiness to respond to a crisis</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-34</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New market entrants</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-34</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of trust in business</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-32</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future of the Eurozone</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-31</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volatile commodity prices</td>
<td>-13</td>
<td>-31</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrorism</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-31</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate basic infrastructure</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-33</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate change and environmental damage</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>-34</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply chain disruption</td>
<td>-13</td>
<td>-38</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volatile energy costs</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-38</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment</td>
<td>-15</td>
<td>-39</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to affordable capital</td>
<td>-24</td>
<td>-32</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure E: The top three threats to trust are likely to be cyber security & data privacy breaches and IT disruptions

Q: To what extent do you think the following areas will impact negatively on stakeholder trust levels in your industry in the next five years?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threat</th>
<th>Not concerned at all</th>
<th>Not very concerned</th>
<th>Somewhat concerned</th>
<th>Extremely concerned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breaches in data privacy and ethics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyber security breaches affecting business information or critical systems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT outages and disruptions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risks from use of social media</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confusion around who owns digital assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artificial intelligence and automation (including blockchain)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncertainty about how tax laws apply to digital assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene technologies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure F: CEOs display varying degrees of digital literacy and adoption

Q: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about your personal use of technology?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Not concerned at all</th>
<th>Not very concerned</th>
<th>Somewhat concerned</th>
<th>Agree strongly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I consume digital media more than print media</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have strong digital skills</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I use home automation systems</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>-23</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I'm active on social media</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I personally make most of my purchases online</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-26</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I use robotics in my home (e.g. vacuum, mower)</td>
<td>-23</td>
<td>-27</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am an active gamer</td>
<td>-38</td>
<td>-33</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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We’ve conducted 1,379 interviews with CEOs in 79 countries. Our sample is weighted by national GDP, to ensure CEOs’ views are fairly represented across all major countries. The interviews were also spread across a range of industries. Further details, by region and industry, are available on request. Twenty-eight percent of the interviews were conducted by telephone, 63% online and 9% by post or face-to-face. All quantitative interviews were conducted on a confidential basis.

The lower threshold for all companies included in the top ten countries (by GDP) was 500 employees or revenues of more than US $50 million. The threshold for companies included in the next 20 countries was companies with more than 100 employees or revenues of more than $10 million.

• 36% of companies had revenues of $1 billion or more
• 38% of companies had revenues of over $100 million up to $1 billion
• 21% of companies had revenues of up to $100 million
• 57% of companies were privately owned

Notes:
• Not all figures add up to 100%, due to rounding of percentages and exclusion of ‘neither/nor’ and ‘don’t know’ responses.
• The base for figures is 1,379 (all respondents) unless otherwise stated.

We also conducted face-to-face in-depth interviews with 20 CEOs from five continents over the fourth quarter of 2016. Their interviews are quoted in this report, and more extensive extracts can be found on our website at ceosurvey.pwc where you can explore responses by sector and location.

In addition, we surveyed 5,351 members of the public from 22 countries. The interviews were conducted in December 2016 using an online survey community of global consumers.

For further information on the survey content, please contact:
Suzanne Snowden
Director, Global Thought Leadership
+s44 20 7212 5481
suzanne.snowden@pwc.com

For media-related enquiries, please contact:
Mike Davies
Director, Global Communications
+44 20 7804 2378
mike.davies@pwc.com
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Endnotes


6 Verbeek, op. cit.


8 PwC’s latest “World in 2050” report, to be published in February 2017, will give an update on our views on long-term global growth in the major advanced and emerging economies. For our earlier views, see the 2015 edition here: http://www.pwc.com/world2050.


21 In our 19th Annual Global CEO Survey, for example, 67% of CEOs said that their purpose centred on creating value for wider stakeholders, but 45% thought that costs were a barrier in responding to stakeholders’ expectations.

