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For a quick summary of the aerospace industry financial situation today, think three words: civil versus defence. The 2011 financial data analysed by PwC to compile our latest Top 100 report on the following pages highlights the beginning of the end of the post-9/11 military spending surge, but the economic impact on defence-focused companies will not really hit hard until 2013.

Indeed, next year threatens to open with US military spending – the motor behind much industry growth – dropping off a so-called “fiscal cliff” of automatic cuts set for 1 January. Between now and then it would take an extraordinary outbreak of bipartisan collaboration to resolve this budget impasse, and even November’s elections may fail to break Washington’s political deadlock.

At companies where business is balanced between civil and defence, rising civil sales are typically more than offsetting declines on the defence side, and programmes such as the Boeing 787 and 737, or Airbus A320 and – soon – A350 are starting to drive revenue growth along their supply chains. But for those heavily reliant on defence, the response to this market schizophrenia is going to be the defining story of the aerospace industry for the next several years.

PwC strategy director Anna Sargeant, who leads the Top 100 analysis team, says the industry needs to recognise that the current round of military spending cuts is not a cyclical budget squeeze that will be reversed in time. Governments, she says, are changing their attitudes to risk and may not return to the market with deep pockets: “The wave is not really there to be ridden any more.”

Meanwhile, with new large programmes in the pipeline mergers and acquisitions are the only route to significant civil business. Likewise on the defence side, at least for systems integrators, companies with niche specialities may still enjoy organic growth and could end up as acquisition targets.

However, the most dramatic response to the defence squeeze has been, and will continue to be, attempts to shift into cyber security, typically by acquisition. For military suppliers, the strategy is attractive because that sector shares many defence technologies and, critically, government customers.

But PwC’s head of aerospace and defence Neil Hampson advises caution; the cyber industry culture resembles Silicon Valley more than it does the bureaucratic, process-driven defence industry. Management will have to be particularly skilful to beat odds which, he warns, are stacked against success.

So perhaps more than at any time in the past decade, management quality matters. After all, while the defence market is changing dramatically, the civil market cannot maintain its growth trajectory forever. If we have learned anything from the past several years, it is that all business is cyclical.

Our Top 100 ranking of aerospace manufacturers’ 2011 financial performance reveals the beginning of a sharp divergence in fortunes between companies supplying civil programmes and those reliant on military sales.
**Top 100 Special Report**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Revenue</th>
<th>Profit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Boeing</td>
<td>$68.7 b</td>
<td>$5.84 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>EADS</td>
<td>$65.1 b</td>
<td>$2.14 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Lockheed Martin</td>
<td>$46.5 b</td>
<td>$3.98 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>General Dynamics</td>
<td>$32.7 b</td>
<td>$3.83 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>United Technologies</td>
<td>$26.9 b</td>
<td>$3.92 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Northrop Grumman</td>
<td>$26.4 b</td>
<td>$3.28 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Raytheon</td>
<td>$24.9 b</td>
<td>$2.86 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Seattle is back at the top for a second year after being briefly overtaken by European nemesis EADS.

Sales grew in 2011 – barely – but aerospace led other divisions as Gulfstream shone in a weak business jet market.

Sales lost 6% from 2010 levels as all divisions went backwards. Shipbuilding business was divested in 2011.

Aeronautics sales grew nearly 10% in 2011, but other units lagged and US defence spending cuts were yet to hit.

Military sales lag behind Boeing, but EADS’s Airbus division rules, with $41.3 billion revenue eclipsing its US rival.

The Pratt & Whitney, Sikorsky and Hamilton Sundstrand parent will get a boost in next year’s Top 100 from its $18.4 billion acquisition of Goodrich (ranked 18th) and its $8.1 billion revenue, but divestments, including the sale of P&W Rocketdyne, mean UTC may fail to displace General Dynamics to move up to fourth.

Seahawk flies the Sikorsky flag.
**Top 100 Special Report**

**8. FINMECCANICA**
- Revenue: $19.7 billion
- Loss: $2.22 billion
- A third-quarter charge of €753 million ($998 million) characterised a miserable 2011 for the Italian industrial champion.

**9. GENERAL ELECTRIC**
- Revenue: $18.9 billion
- Profit: $3.51 billion
- Aviation is now a standalone reporting segment, which in 2011 accounted for a fifth of industrial revenues at GE.

**10. SAFRAN**
- Revenue: $13.9 billion
- Profit: $1.57 billion
- Engines are a Safran strong suit, particularly through its CFM partnership with GE, and security is a growth area.

**11. THALES**
- Revenue: $13.1 billion
- Profit: not available
- Profitability has been an issue at Thales, but a push to better co-ordinate sales and engineering may cut costs and help keep projects on track. The Paris-headquartered company is driving hard to be a technology leader, too, with a creative array of integrated cockpit, navigation and in-flight entertainment concepts. Talk of a merger or alliance with Safran led to speculation that the French government would initiate an industry shake-up in 2011, but all went quiet. Thales and Safran could, however, swap some assets to give each group a tighter focus.

**12. ROLLS-ROYCE**
- Revenue: $12.1 billion
- Profit: $1.51 billion
- Rolls-Royce ranks behind engine leaders GE and United Technologies (Pratt & Whitney), but outgrew both in 2011.

**13. L-3**
- Revenue: $11.9 billion
- Profit: $1.36 billion
- Sales edged down in 2011, but C3 and ISR showed some growth. Government services no longer figure in the Top 100.

**14. HONEYWELL**
- Revenue: $11.5 billion
- Profit: $2.02 billion
- Growth of 7% highlights strength in diversity – OEM and aftermarket products range from engines to avionics.

**15. BAE SYSTEMS**
- Revenue: $8.92 billion
- Profit: not available
- BAE drops down the rankings owing to improved analysis eliminating marine and cyber sales. Heavy US military market exposure will make for an interesting 2012.
16 BOMBARDIER
Revenue: $8.59 billion
Profit: $502 million
2011 results are 11 months only, but both regional and business jet units are working under severe market pressure.

17 TEXTRON
Revenue: $8.39 billion
Profit: $722 million
The maker of Bell helicopters and Cessna business aircraft saw sales and profits rise despite continued difficulty for Bell’s civil rotorcraft product line. Cessna posted very strong growth at nearly 17%, however, after plunging 23% in 2010.

19 EMBRAER
Revenue: $5.80 billion
Profit: $318 million
Steady progress marks Brazil’s champion as commercial and defence growth offsets flat sales in business aviation.

20 MITSUBISHI HEAVY INDUSTRIES
Revenue: $5.65 billion
Loss: $124 million
Sales grew 12% in 2011, a performance that should continue as Boeing relies on MHI for production of 787 wings.

18 GOODRICH
Revenue: $8.08 billion
Profit: $1.34 billion
The maker of systems ranging from landing gear and air-conditioning to nacelles will disappear from next year’s Top 100 owing to its acquisition by United Technologies. At $18 billion-plus, that deal, which closed this summer, is the industry’s biggest ever.

Citation X: plenty of sales thrust for Cessna

787’s Trent 1000s work in Goodrich nacelles
As the chart to the right clearly shows, Top 100 profit growth settled down to nearly zero last year after the financial crisis shock of 2009 and its dramatic near-reversal in 2010. Low single-digit sales growth is a far cry from the pre-crisis boom years, or even crisis-struck 2008, which only really turned bad in the fourth quarter. But these figures only tell part of the story – and it remains to be seen if a full picture would show more of the same or tell a different tale. What is missing are the contributions of the growing aerospace industries in China and Russia, where anecdotal evidence suggests a significant contribution to global growth. Sadly, though, company reporting in these countries is not (yet?) sufficiently transparent to be included in this analysis.

**TOP 100**

**TOP 20 BY OPERATING MARGIN 2011**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank by margin</th>
<th>Rank by sales</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Operating margin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>TransDigm Group</td>
<td>40.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Precision Castparts</td>
<td>25.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Hindustan Aeronautics</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>Martin-Baker</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>FLIR Systems</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>Garmin</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Amphenol</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Heico</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Meggitt</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Rockwell Collins</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>B/E Aerospace</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Ultra Electronics</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>CAE</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Goodrich</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Harris</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Triumph Group</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Honeywell International</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>Firth Rixson</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>SKF</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Chemring</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOP 20 BY SALES GROWTH (%)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank by Growth %</th>
<th>Rank by sales</th>
<th>Sales growth (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>TransDigm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>LISI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>ATI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>Diehl Aerosystems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Zodiac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Aernnova</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Meggitt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Precision Castparts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Chemring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Heico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>B/E Aerospace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Hexcel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Teledyne Technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Alcoa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>Crane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Triumph Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>Firth Rixson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Spirit AeroSystems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>BBA Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Curtiss-Wright</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**REVENUE AND PROFIT GROWTH OF THE AEROSPACE TOP 100 2005-2010**

**A400M assembly at Airbus Military**

*NOTE: Where possible, margin is for aerospace operations only. SOURCE: PwC*
**SPIRIT AEROSYSTEMS**

Revenue: $4.86 billion  
Profit: $514 million  
Adding $692 million equalled 17% sales growth and prospects are strong, with major positions on A350 and 737

---

**ROCKWELL COLLINS**

Revenue: $4.81 billion  
Profit: $846 million  
Strength in communications systems mean retrofit prospects and a good shot at riding out US military spending cuts

---

**DASSAULT AVIATION**

Revenue: $4.39 billion  
Profit: $498 million  
Military sales plunged with a 2011 lull in deliveries to France, but an India deal for Rafale fighters looks like gold

---

**ZODIAC**

Revenue: $3.62 billion  
Profit: $510 million  
At 28%, the Paris-based maker of seats, galleys and electrical equipment ranks fifth by sales growth and carries that momentum into 2012; 787 is a sales generator

---

**PRECISION CASTPARTS**

Revenue: $4.46 billion  
Profit: not available  
For Portland, Oregon-headquartered Precision, acquisitions – including the August 2011 purchase of aerostructures and components maker Primus International – are part of a growth strategy that looks to buy-in capabilities to enhance its core offering of complex component manufacture

---

**HARRIS**

Revenue: $4.07 billion  
Profit: not available  
Secure communications systems, including air traffic control

---

**MTU AERO ENGINES**

Revenue: $3.88 billion  
Profit: $378 million  
MTU is part of the V2500 alliance and its successor, with P&W and Rolls-Royce

---

**ALLIANT TECHSYSTEMS**

Revenue: $3.61 billion  
Profit: $504 million  
Solid rocket propulsion, commercial and military aerostructures, armaments
29
ISRAEL AEROSPACE INDUSTRIES
Revenue: $3.44 billion
Profit: not available
The Israeli defence manufacturer is well-placed to grow export sales, with a good position in unmanned systems

30
TRIUMPH GROUP
Revenue: $3.41 billion
Profit: $515 million
Revenue growth of 46% leads the pack, owing to its $1.44 billion acquisition of 787 supplier Vought in June 2010

31
ALCOA
Revenue: $3.38 billion
Profit: not available
The US aluminium giant classifies aerospace as a reportable segment rather than division. Alcoa believes its in-house development of innovative new alloys and construction techniques will keep aluminium competitive with carbon composites on cost, weight and performance criteria for years to come

32
ISHIKAWAJIMA-HARIMA
Revenue: $3.35 billion
Profit: $69 million
At 16%, the maker of CF34 and V2500 components outgrew all engine makers

33
SAAB
Revenue: $3.19 billion
Profit: $268 million
Revenue grew only 3% but profit surged from gain on sales of a 3D mapping unit

34
COBHAM
Revenue: $2.86 billion
Profit: $404 million
Total revenue dipped, but solid growth in mission systems and aviation services

35
HINDUSTAN AERONAUTICS
Revenue: $2.86 billion
Profit: $618 million
HAL closed year by starting construction of Rolls-Royce joint venture in Bengaluru
Hawker Beechcraft has become perhaps the highest-profile business jet casualty of the financial crisis, with an unsustainable debt burden leading to a filing in May this year for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection. The origins of the crisis lie in the over-optimism of the mid-2000s business jet boom. In 2007, Goldman Sachs and private equity house Onex Partners bought what was then Raytheon Aircraft from the weapons systems maker for $3.3 billion, a price that turned out to be wildly optimistic. The 2008 financial crisis has left the business jet market in tatters, and while firms such as Dassault or Gulfstream – which make large-cabin models – are seeing some recovery, Hawker Beechcraft’s small-to-midsize sector remains in depression. After three years of efforts at transforming the business, there may be little scope left for cost cutting, and the company is still a loss-maker, even without the asset write-downs that made 2011’s losses so dire.

Revenue: $2.44 billion
Loss: $482 million
**AVERAGE TOP 100 OPERATING MARGIN 2000-2011**

*Only includes those companies for which both aerospace revenues and aerospace profit is available.

**TOP 100 REVENUE BY REGION**

North America: 63.1%
Europe: 31.2%
South America: 1.0%
Middle East: 1.1%
Asia: 3.4%

**TOP 20 SHARE OF TOP 100 PROFIT 2011**

Top 20: 76.6%
The rest: 23.4%

**TOP 20 SHARE OF TOP 100 SALES 2011**

Top 20: 77.8%
The rest: 22.2%

**TOP 100 REVENUE BY REGION**

North America: 63.1%
Europe: 31.2%
South America: 1.0%
Middle East: 1.1%
Asia: 3.4%

**787 full-flight simulator at CAE in Montreal**

**AVERAGE TOP 100 OPERATING MARGIN 2000-2011**

Source: PwC

**787 full-flight simulator at CAE in Montreal**

Boeing Maritime Surveillance Aircraft concept
**Kawasaki Heavy Industries**

Revenue: $1.77 billion  
Profit: $293 million  

Flight simulators and training. Next year’s results will show impact of debt-financed C$314 million purchase of Oxford Aviation Academy.

**CAE**

Revenue: $1.77 billion  
Profit: $293 million  

Flight simulators and training. Next year’s results will show impact of debt-financed C$314 million purchase of Oxford Aviation Academy.

**Ruag**

Revenue: $1.72 billion  
Profit: $105 million  

Maintenance, repair and upgrade services for civil, business and military aircraft, and assembly of the Dornier 228 New Generation twin turboprop.
## Top 100 Special Report

### 49. **Esterline**
- **Revenue:** $1.72 billion
- **Profit:** $199 million
- Avionics, controls, sensors, advanced materials; Bellevue, Washington headquarters

### 51. **ATI**
- **Revenue:** $1.48 billion
- **Profit:** not available
- Pittsburgh-headquartered Allegheny Technologies is a specialty metals and castings, forgings and machined components supplier. A highlight of 2011 was an agreement to supply Goodrich with forgings for landing-gear components for commercial, regional, and business aircraft until 2015, from ATI Ladish’s ZKM Forging operation in Poland. Products include jet engine parts and fasteners, as well as structural parts. In the first half of 2012, aerospace sales were a third of ATI’s total, up 3% on full-year 2011.

### 50. **Eaton**
- **Revenue:** $1.65 billion
- **Profit:** $244 million
- Power management, fuel systems, hydraulics; Cleveland, Ohio headquarters

### 52. **ST Engineering**
- **Revenue:** $1.41 billion
- **Profit:** $178 million
- For Singapore Technologies, civil aircraft maintenance, repair and overhaul is a key business, through its ST Aero unit

### 53. **Orbital Sciences**
- **Revenue:** $1.35 billion
- **Profit:** $80 million
- Satellites, space systems and launchers, including Pegasus rocket, air-launched from Orbital’s modified L-1011 tri-jet

### 54. **Kongsberg**
- **Revenue:** $1.34 billion
- **Profit:** not available
- Norway’s leading defence supplier produces components for fixed-wing aircraft, helicopters and spacecraft

### 55. **TransDigm**
- **Revenue:** $1.21 billion
- **Profit:** $487 million
- The fastest-growing company in the Top 100 also boasts a 40% operating margin – that is down from nearly 44% last time – but the components maker is still nearly twice as efficient as any other company in the league table. Chief executive Nicholas Howley says TransDigm focuses “very tightly” on proprietary aerospace products with significant aftermarket content. Most of its products are of its own design and manufacture, and sales are roughly split 50-50 between OEMs and operators. More than 90% of sales are of proprietary products for which TransDigm owns the design, and some three-quarters of sales are from products for which it is the sole source supplier. Revenue growth in recent years has been about 20% yearly, about half organic and half by acquisition. Howley, making a bold but reasonable claim, reckons TransDigm is possibly the most profitable industrial business in the country and “probably one of the biggest companies in Cleveland that nobody ever heard of”

---

**Never heard of TransDigm? How about Boeing F-18?**
ENGINES

Component makers top the growth league in a sector where revenue is necessarily tied to aircraft deliveries. With its acquisition of Volvo Aero set to close this year, GKN will feature on next year’s list, comfortably within the top 10. Also in 2012 figures, profitability could take a step upward at GE and Rolls-Royce, as rising Boeing 787 deliveries have started to pull through increasing numbers of GEnx and Trent 1000 powerplants, although it will be 2013 before those programmes really start showing bottom-line performance. For Rolls-Royce, another highlight of 2013 will be first flight of the Trent XWB-powered Airbus A350, a programme that will not, however, deliver much revenue until deliveries begin in earnest in 2015. Meanwhile, the defining battle is between the GE-Safran CFM International venture’s Leap replacement for the venerable CFM56, and United Technologies’ Pratt & Whitney PurePower geared turbofan for dominance in the market for next-generation narrowbodies. Both engines have racked up impressive orderbooks, but the market will be scrutinising every scrap of available data during the next couple years as CFM and P&W push for certification.

Rolls-Royce lift system for Lockheed Martin F-35

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENGINE AND COMPONENTS SALES 2011</th>
<th>$ million</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 General Electric</td>
<td>18,859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 United Technologies</td>
<td>13,430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Rolls-Royce</td>
<td>12,056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Safran</td>
<td>8,094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Honeywell</td>
<td>5,738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 MTU</td>
<td>3,884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 IHI</td>
<td>3,351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Avio</td>
<td>2,239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Volvo</td>
<td>903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 ITP</td>
<td>688</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SOURCE: PwC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENGINES AND COMPONENTS SALES GROWTH 2011 v 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011 v 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 IHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 MTU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Avio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Rolls-Royce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Honeywell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 General Electric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Safran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 United Technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 ITP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Volvo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SOURCE: PwC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENGINES AND COMPONENTS SALES GROWTH 2005-2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

SOURCE: PwC
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Company Name</th>
<th>Revenue</th>
<th>Profit</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Korea Aerospace Industries</td>
<td>$1.15 billion</td>
<td>$165 million</td>
<td>The UK maker of pyrotechnic devices saw its shares soar in August on interest from private equity investor Carlyle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>Moog</td>
<td>$1.21 billion</td>
<td>$133 million</td>
<td>The motion-control specialist boosted its spacecraft capability with a $46 million buyout of American Pacific in July 2012.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Chemring</td>
<td>$1.15 billion</td>
<td>$165 million</td>
<td>The UK maker of pyrotechnic devices saw its shares soar in August on interest from private equity investor Carlyle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Panasonic</td>
<td>$1.14 billion</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>Panasonic’s California operation is a leader in the growing market for in-flight entertainment and communication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Ultra Electronics</td>
<td>$1.13 billion</td>
<td>$189 million</td>
<td>Ultra provides a huge range of electronic systems, including leading edge ice protection for the Boeing 787.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fuji Heavy Industries

Revenue: $914 million
Profit: $33 million

Fuji is another example of the Japan-US alliance fostering strong industrial ties. Boeing’s 767, 777 and 787 all feature significant content from Fuji, which also makes the AH-64D helicopter under licence from Boeing and the Bell/Fuji UH-1J helicopter. The company is best known for its Subaru autos brand.

62
Loral Space & Communications

Revenue: $1.11 billion
Profit: $93 million
Satellite communications hardware and services provider; New York headquarters

63
Diehl Aerosystems

Revenue: $935 million
Profit: not available
Cabin interiors and avionics solutions unit of Diehl Group; Laupheim, Germany

64
Volvo Aero

Revenue: $903 million
Profit: $47 million
The aircraft and spacecraft engines division of Volvo Group is making its last appearance in the Top 100 following its £633 million ($987 million) acquisition by GKN, agreed on the eve of this year’s Farnborough air show. The deal will turn GKN’s relatively small engine components business into what it believes will be the third-largest in the world, with annual turnover of £800-900 million – behind MTU (26th) and Avio (37th).

65
GenCorp

Revenue: $910 million
Profit: $75 million
GenCorp’s Aerojet unit specialises in missile propulsion – and helped land NASA’s Curiosity rover on Mars

66
Stork

Revenue: $907 million
Profit: not available
The Fokker Services unit supports legacy Fokker jets and Fokker Technologies is a major structures maker

67
Woodward Governor

Revenue: $843 million
Profit: $130 million
Actuation and flight control systems for military and commercial fixed-wing aircraft; Fort Collins, Colorado

68
Hexcel

Revenue: $1.13 billion
Profit: not available
The Cambridge, UK-based composite materials specialist is supplying all prepregs and fibres for the Airbus A350

69
Loral Space & Communications

Revenue: $1.11 billion
Profit: $93 million
Satellite communications hardware and services provider; New York headquarters

70
Diehl Aerosystems

Revenue: $935 million
Profit: not available
Cabin interiors and avionics solutions unit of Diehl Group; Laupheim, Germany

71
Volvo Aero

Revenue: $903 million
Profit: $47 million
The aircraft and spacecraft engines division of Volvo Group is making its last appearance in the Top 100 following its £633 million ($987 million) acquisition by GKN, agreed on the eve of this year’s Farnborough air show. The deal will turn GKN’s relatively small engine components business into what it believes will be the third-largest in the world, with annual turnover of £800-900 million – behind MTU (26th) and Avio (37th).

72
GenCorp

Revenue: $910 million
Profit: $75 million
GenCorp’s Aerojet unit specialises in missile propulsion – and helped land NASA’s Curiosity rover on Mars

73
Stork

Revenue: $907 million
Profit: not available
The Fokker Services unit supports legacy Fokker jets and Fokker Technologies is a major structures maker

74
Woodward Governor

Revenue: $843 million
Profit: $130 million
Actuation and flight control systems for military and commercial fixed-wing aircraft; Fort Collins, Colorado
## Top 100

### 69. INDRA

**Revenue:** $827 million  
**Profit:** not available  
Air transport management, surveillance and avionics systems; Madrid

### 70. AMPHENOL

**Revenue:** $788 million  
**Profit:** not available  
Interconnect systems for harsh environments; Whitstable, UK headquarters

### 72. HEICO

**Revenue:** $765 million  
**Profit:** $138 million  
Parts for avionics systems, aerostructures, landing gear, engines; Florida

### 71. BALL

**Revenue:** $785 million  
**Profit:** $80 million  
Ball technologies range from antenna and attitude sensors to beam-steering mirrors, star trackers and cryogenic cooling systems for aircraft and spacecraft. The company was recently chosen by NASA to demonstrate a “green” replacement for efficient, but highly toxic, rocket propellant hydrazine. Lockheed Martin also chose Ball to design, develop and manufacture the communications, navigation and identification integrated body antenna suite for the F-35. Headquartered in Boulder, Colorado

### 73. PILATUS

**Revenue:** $749 million  
**Profit:** $104 million  
Delivery of a $523 million deal for 75 PC-7 MkII basic trainers to India begins in the fourth quarter of 2012

### 74. AEROFLEX

**Revenue:** $729 million  
**Loss:** $35 million  
Losses have continued for the microelectronic components maker, with an operating loss of $21 million in its fiscal year 2012 to end-June. Plainview, New York

### 75. LATECOERE

**Revenue:** $690 million  
**Profit:** $59 million  
The French aerostructures and wiring supplier to Airbus, Boeing and Dassault was linked to partnership talks in 2011
CIVIL
While Boeing retains number-one ranking in the Top 100, arch-rival Airbus still holds the bragging rights in airliners – although 2012 may see the Americans close the gap as 787 deliveries build. In business jets, Dassault Falcon’s plunge and Gulfstream’s rise tell a story; both play in the large-cabin segment, which has weathered the financial storm well, but market-maker NetJets (active fleet: 540 aircraft) has been ordering Gulfstreams and shunning Falcons. With Gulfstream’s ultra-long-range G650 set to enter service imminently, some experts think it is time Dassault reached beyond its 7X flagship.

**COMMERCIAL AIRCRAFT REVENUE 2011**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Revenue (millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Airbus*</td>
<td>41,277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Boeing</td>
<td>36,171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Bombardier</td>
<td>8,594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Gulfstream</td>
<td>5,998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Embraer</td>
<td>4,828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Dassault Aviation**</td>
<td>3,199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Cessna</td>
<td>2,990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Hawker Beechcraft</td>
<td>2,435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>ATR****</td>
<td>1,300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMERCIAL AIRCRAFT REVENUE GROWTH 2010 v 2011**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Growth 2010-2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Embraer</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cessna</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Boeing</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Gulfstream</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Airbus*</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Bombardier</td>
<td>-2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>ATR****</td>
<td>-3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Hawker Beechcraft</td>
<td>-13.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Dassault Aviation**</td>
<td>-28.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES:** *Excluding ATR **Part of General Dynamics ***Falcon division ****EADS-Finmeccanica joint venture; sales figure from company press release. SOURCE: PwC

**BUSINESS AIRCRAFT 2005-2011**

**REGIONAL AIRCRAFT 2005-2011**

**AIRLINERS 2005-2011**

**Farnborough 2012:** the balance is shifting to civil among Top 100 manufacturers
76

**ITP**

*Revenue: $688 million*

*Profit: not available*

The Spanish engine components maker, is enjoying its position on the Rolls-Royce Trent 1000 now Boeing’s 787 is in service. It is also a supplier to the Rolls-Royce Trent XWB, which will power Airbus’s A350.

---

77

**CRANE**

*Revenue: $678 million*

*Profit: $146 million*

Systems and components for commercial and military engines, landing gear and other applications.

---

78

**AERNNOVA**

*Revenue: $631 million*

*Profit: not available*

Concept, design and manufacture of aerostructures and composite and metallic components; Vitoria, Spain.

---

79

**FIRTH RIXON**

*Revenue: $621 million*

*Profit: not available*

Seamless rolled rings and forgings for engines and other extreme applications.

---

Soon enough, they can ride on one.
80
CURTISS-WRIGHT
Revenue: $616 million
Profit: not available
Motion and flow control, metal treatment; Parsippany, New Jersey

83
FLIR SYSTEMS
Revenue: $578 million
Profit: $209 million
The Portland, Oregon-based optical systems maker is another star performer, with a 36% operating margin. Its 14% year-on-year decrease in revenue also left it in fourth place on that scale, although sales are volatile with significant government business.

81
MAGELLAN AEROSPACE
Revenue: $592 million
Profit: $51 million
Aero and rocket engine and structural components; rising sales to single-aisle airliner programmes featured in 2011

82
SENIOR
Revenue: $591 million
Profit: $92 million
Metal and composite aerostructures; engine components including seals and casings; fluid systems; London-listed

84
JAMCO
Revenue: $567 million
Profit: $12 million
Interiors engineering and modifications, metal components; Japan headquarters

86
LISI
Revenue: $540 million
Profit: $66 million
Fasteners and assembly components. Claims to be world’s third-largest; Paris

87
SKF
Revenue: $459 million
Profit: not available
Bearings, seals, rods, struts, elastomeric devices and fly-by-wire equipment

85
KAMAN
Revenue: $547 million
Profit: $80 million
The maker of the legendary SH-2 Super Seasprite, H-43 Husky and K-Max helicopters looked to be out of the rotorcraft business until the US Navy and Marines selected an unmanned K-Max, co-developed with Lockheed Martin, for Afghanistan cargo deliveries. Aerostructures and composites work also figures heavily, with defence sales making up a short two-thirds of 2011 revenue. Late 2011 brought the acquisition of Vermont Composites, with positions in the intelligence-surveillance-reconnaissance market and on the V-22 Osprey and P-8 Poseidon.
**Top 100 Special Report**

**88 ITT**

*Revenue: $339 million*

*Profit: not available*

The one-time conglomerate shrank dramatically in 2011 with the spin-offs of its water business and defence operation, which now trades as ITT Exelis (ranked 38). ITT Corporation’s own aerospace business segment now focuses on fluid control devices, electromechanical actuators, switches, connections and vibration absorption for both civil and military programmes. Products such as seat-positioning controls for business and first-class cabins are an ITT speciality, as are weapon shock management systems, missile control dampers and plasma shape-cutting products.

**89 HEROUX-DEVTEK**

+1

*Revenue: $336 million*

*Profit: $31 million*

Its focus will be on landing gear after the disposal of aerostructures unit to Precision Castparts (ranked 23) closes in 2013.

**90 MARSHALL AEROSPACE**

+2

*New Entry*

*Revenue: $326 million*

*Loss: $6 million*

The family-owned business, based in Cambridge since 1937, specialises in the conversion, modification, maintenance and support of military, civil and business aircraft, and is investing heavily to help turn Cambridge airport into a major business aviation hub.

**91 ASCO**

*Revenue: $321 million*

*Profit: $9 million*

High-lift structures, mechanical assemblies, functional components; Belgium.

**92 DUCOMMUN**

-4

*Revenue: $293 million*

*Profit: $26 million*

The Los Angeles components maker should leap up the table next year on its acquisition of electronics-maker LaBarge.

**93 SONACA**

+4

*Revenue: $290 million*

*Profit: $16 million*

Aerostructures and subsystems, with products on every Airbus including the A400M military transport; Belgium.

**94 GARMIN**

+2

*Revenue: $285 million*

*Profit: $72 million*

Avionics systems, with a leading position in synthetic vision and touchscreen controllers, especially on small aircraft.

**95 DENEL**

-3

*Revenue: $275 million*

*Loss: $58 million*

The largest defence manufacturer in South Africa is wholly-owned by the government.
MARTIN-BAKER

Revenue: $273 million
Profit: $56 million
The company traces its origins to 1934, but it was the 1942 death of co-founder Valentine Baker during a test flight of the Martin-Baker MB3 prototype that led James Martin to develop the first ejection seat. With 7,400 lives saved in 60 years – most recently in a 15 August ejection from a Pakistani Mirage III – Martin Baker is now responsible for the Lockheed Martin F-35’s fully integrated escape system.

DONCASTERS

Revenue: $263 million
Profit: not available
Forged, machined and superalloy components and assemblies; Staffordshire, UK

TERMA

Revenue: $238 million
Profit: $19 million
Electronic warfare and alternate mission equipment structures, including a multi-mission pod for the F-35; Denmark

CIRCOR INTERNATIONAL

Revenue: $137 million
Profit: $13 million
Valves, motors, actuators and landing-gear products, including for the Boeing CH-47 Chinook; Corona, California

UMECO

Revenue: $126 million
Profit: not available
The composite materials supplier makes its last Top 100 appearance as an independent after Cytec buyout in July 2012.

Joint Strike Fighter features a Martin Baker fully integrated escape system.
DEFENCE

Although the big US military budget crunch is still to come, cuts started to kick in during 2011, especially in the UK. Another factor holding revenue growth down last year was the beginning of the run-down in Afghanistan – indeed, as the chart above suggests, spending on that conflict in the mid-2000s drove much revenue growth, especially as US and allied forces ramped up deployment of unmanned aerial systems. Now, though, with the military spending debate apparently deadlocked in Washington, defence companies are reporting little or no forecast visibility but are preparing for cutbacks that may go far beyond a mere post-war spending hiatus. For BAE Systems and Finmeccanica, then, these are challenging times; after spending heavily during the 2000s to gain access to the lucrative US market, growth may prove elusive.

DEFENCE AEROSPACE SALES GROWTH 2005-2011

DEFENCE AEROSPACE REVENUE GROWTH 2010 v 2011

DEFENCE AEROSPACE SALES 2011

Dassault Rafale: India deal is first export win

Missile defence from Raytheon
# TOP 100 BY COMPANY NAME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aerovox</td>
<td>78 82</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fuji Heavy Industries</td>
<td>64 61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerosux</td>
<td>74 73</td>
<td></td>
<td>Garmin</td>
<td>94 96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcoa</td>
<td>31 34</td>
<td></td>
<td>GenCorp</td>
<td>65 63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alliant Techsystems</td>
<td>28 26</td>
<td></td>
<td>General Dynamics</td>
<td>4 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphenol</td>
<td>70 67</td>
<td></td>
<td>General Electric</td>
<td>9 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asco</td>
<td>91 93</td>
<td></td>
<td>GKN</td>
<td>42 41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATI</td>
<td>51 new</td>
<td></td>
<td>Goodrich</td>
<td>18 18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avio</td>
<td>37 39</td>
<td></td>
<td>Harris</td>
<td>25 23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B/E Aerospace</td>
<td>39 42</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hawker Beechcraft</td>
<td>40 37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAE Systems</td>
<td>15 8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Heico</td>
<td>72 75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ball</td>
<td>71 70</td>
<td></td>
<td>Heroux Devtek</td>
<td>89 90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBA Group</td>
<td>44 43</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hexcel</td>
<td>61 60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boeing</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hindustan Aeronautics</td>
<td>35 36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bombardier</td>
<td>16 16</td>
<td></td>
<td>Honeywell International</td>
<td>14 15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAE</td>
<td>47 48</td>
<td></td>
<td>Indra</td>
<td>69 66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemring</td>
<td>58 62</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ishikawajima-Harima</td>
<td>32 31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circor International</td>
<td>99 100</td>
<td></td>
<td>Israel Aerospace Industries</td>
<td>29 30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohbam</td>
<td>34 32</td>
<td></td>
<td>ITP</td>
<td>76 74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crane</td>
<td>77 78</td>
<td></td>
<td>ITT Corporation</td>
<td>88 19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curtiss-Wright</td>
<td>80 81</td>
<td></td>
<td>JAMCO</td>
<td>84 84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dassault Aviation</td>
<td>24 20</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kaman</td>
<td>85 85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denel</td>
<td>95 92</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kawasaki Heavy Industries</td>
<td>41 40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diehl Aerosystems</td>
<td>63 69</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kongsberg</td>
<td>54 51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doncasters</td>
<td>97 94</td>
<td></td>
<td>Korea Aerospace Industries</td>
<td>57 56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ducommun</td>
<td>92 88</td>
<td></td>
<td>L-3 Communications</td>
<td>13 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EADS</td>
<td>2 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Latecoere</td>
<td>75 76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eaton</td>
<td>50 49</td>
<td></td>
<td>LISI</td>
<td>86 86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbit Systems</td>
<td>36 38</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lockheed Martin</td>
<td>3 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embracer</td>
<td>19 22</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lorol Space &amp; Communications</td>
<td>62 54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esterline</td>
<td>49 50</td>
<td></td>
<td>Magellan Aerospace</td>
<td>81 77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exelix</td>
<td>38 new</td>
<td></td>
<td>Marshalls</td>
<td>90 new</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finmeccanica</td>
<td>8 9</td>
<td></td>
<td>Martin-Baker</td>
<td>96 95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firth Rixson</td>
<td>79 79</td>
<td></td>
<td>Meggitt</td>
<td>43 44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLIR Systems</td>
<td>83 71</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mitsubishi Heavy Industries</td>
<td>20 21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## DATA SOURCE

The Flight International Top 100 was compiled by aerospace experts at Pricewaterhouse Coopers LLP (PwC). The information used to prepare this report has been obtained solely from company annual reports, public filings and other publicly available information. PwC has not sought to establish the reliability of this information and has not verified such information. Accordingly, no representation or warranty (whether express or implied) is given by PwC as to the accuracy of this information.

### COMPANY/DIVISIONS

The top line of the financial figures refers to consolidated results for the overall group, including non-aerospace businesses. The divisional figures are for those businesses that are fully or largely concerned with aerospace. Groups have been ranked by aerospace sales in 2011, calculated from divisions that operate primarily in the industry. Sectors involved with aircraft, engines, avionics, missiles, space and aerostructures are largely straightforward, but telecommunications, network centric and C4I systems and some overhaul operations are included only where these are largely concerned with aerospace. Satellite services have been excluded where possible, as have companies and divisions that derive more than 50% of their revenues from services such as leasing. Where acquisitions were made within the accounting period, pro-forma accounts have been used for the 12-month consolidated performance. Joint ventures have been included in the financials. Intergroup sales have been excluded from operating results and profits for divisions where possible, but where not possible, each divisional result has been presented inclusive of interdivision sales, resulting in aerospace revenues greater than group sales.

### EXCHANGE RATES

An average exchange rate for the period 1 January 2011 to 31 December 2011 has been used for all non-US companies, regardless of fiscal year definitions. The percentage changes in financial figures are given in local currency terms to avoid unnecessary distortions.

### COUNTRY

All companies have been listed by country of headquarters or incorporation, independent of production or operating territories.

### OPERATING RESULTS

Results are generally taken as profit (or loss) before interest, tax and exceptional items and after deduction of depreciation. The measure gives a generally accepted guide to a business’s operational performance. Discontinued or discontinuing operations are included where they fall in fiscal year 2011 for that business.

### ROCE

Return on Capital Employed is calculated as earnings before interest expense, taxes, unusual items and minority interests divided by year-end total assets less year-end non-interest-bearing current liabilities.
Programme management is moving well beyond its traditional heartland of scheduling, progress tracking, managing risk and pressuring suppliers. Aerospace executives interviewed for PwC’s report A&D Insights: Programmes Under Pressure agree. Aerospace and defence companies face a new intensity in the delivery of their programmes – the need to be faster, fitter and lower-cost while managing the growing programme complexity which goes with the territory.

These are considerable challenges in their own right, but have been given a new intensity by the unprecedented environment in which today’s programmes are being delivered. A&D companies are experiencing more pressure from more directions than ever before — on price, supply chain risk, the need to expand globally, the risks associated therewith and broader macro-economic uncertainty. Alongside this, customers expect innovation to continue while costs come down or are capped. Innovation is a must-have but can no longer come at any price.

How can companies respond to this convergence of pressures? In the past, companies would respond to pressure by majoring on excellence in one of solutions leadership, operational excellence or customer intimacy. But today’s environment means excellence in one alone is not enough. Companies, and in turn their programme managers, need to be top of their game in all three — and they need to be able to deliver innovation and affordability in tandem.

We invited the senior executives we interviewed to identify the programme-management attributes they feel are most important in the current and future environment. They painted a picture of a different kind of programme-management mindset, in which partnership, internationalism, inclusivity and innovation are as much to the fore as really good “get it out of the door” programme management.

For example, 64% of the senior executives we interviewed stressed the importance of innovation as a source of competitive advantage when asked to identify the most important aspects of their programme delivery strategy. They also emphasised the importance of being able to deliver programmes in a way that is much more strongly integrated into the customer, market and supply chain forces that are shaping the sector.

The downturn in western defence markets and the continued internationalisation of the defence and commercial sectors has accelerated the trend to greater globalisation of supply chains. But as supply chains extend, so too does risk. More inclusive relationships across and down the supply chain can help manage these risks and ensure they are jointly identified and mitigated rather than debated and litigated. Such an intense and complex environment brings dangers. How can companies cut through this? Our discussions with senior executives, and our review of what they said, led us to identify the following things that companies need to make sure they get right:

■ Stay focused on your core: Identify and understand what you do best and make sure focus guides your key decisions. You must know what you do well, focus on that, and measure performance.

■ Put an emphasis on co-creation and customer intimacy: Develop relationships with your customers and suppliers that are really tight, so that requirements are exactly understood, developed together and put at the heart of programme design and execution.

■ Get innovation and cost control working in tandem: The previous ability of customers to tolerate price drift no longer exists. Companies will need to deliver more capability at lower cost, becoming adept at combining cost reduction strategies with “innovation-ready” derivative platforms.

For more information on the report, email Neil Hampson, global aerospace and defence leader, at neil.r.hampson@uk.pwc.com

Read the full report at flightglobal.com/PwCa&D