



Transparency Report 2026





Content

01 Message from leadership	<u>03</u>
02 Our approach to quality	<u>07</u>
03 Cultures and values	<u>15</u>
04 Our people	<u>28</u>
05 Our approach	<u>32</u>
06 Monitoring	<u>38</u>
07 PwC Network	<u>44</u>
08 Legal and governance structure	<u>48</u>
09 Endnotes	<u>51</u>

01

Message from leadership



Welcome to our 2026 Transparency report



Arthur Wightman
Territory Leader

We have prepared this Transparency Report, in respect of the financial year ended 30 June 2026, in accordance with the requirements of Article 13 of the EU Regulation No. 537/2014.

At PwC, our purpose is to build trust in society and solve important problems. We are a network of firms in 136 countries with more than 370,000 people who are committed to delivering quality in assurance, advisory and tax services. We are committed to driving a strong culture of quality and excellence that is core to our purpose.

As Territory Leader, I recognise the responsibility that rests with me and the wider leadership team to champion a culture where quality is embedded in every aspect of our work. By setting clear expectations and creating the right environment, we enable our people to understand that a strong focus on quality is fundamental to delivering for our clients and sustaining their trust.

PwC's approach to building trust continues to evolve in response to increasing expectations around transparency, accountability and meaningful stakeholder engagement. It brings together deep

expertise in audit, tax and compliance with ongoing investment in specialist capabilities, including cyber security, data privacy, sustainability and artificial intelligence. The approach also recognises that quality is fundamental and that effective reporting and compliance are only part of a broader ecosystem that includes organisational culture, leadership behaviours, consistent standards, qualified professionals, robust controls, purpose-built technologies and strong governance. As part of our commitment to serving the public interest, we remain focused on delivering quality engagements consistently and maintaining transparency over our system of quality management.

We remain committed to listening, learning and improving. As we look ahead, our priorities remain clear: to serve the public interest, to invest in our people and capabilities, and to deliver quality engagements that sustain trust in our firm and our profession.

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'A. Wightman', written in a cursive style.

Arthur Wightman
Territory Leader

A message from our Assurance Leader



Sean Kelly
Assurance Leader

PwC's culture of quality and excellence remains central to our purpose of building trust in society and helping to solve important problems.

In an environment shaped by continued geopolitical, economic and technological change, trust remains more important than ever and continues to be at the centre of our global strategy. Earning and maintaining trust with our clients, stakeholders and people is fundamental to our success. Trust is built through every interaction, every experience and every relationship, and it must be demonstrated consistently through our actions.

Our Assurance strategy continues to focus on four key priorities: our people, quality and independence, growth, and technology. As we continue to operate in a future that is increasingly human-led and technology-enabled, attracting, developing and retaining exceptional talent remains a critical priority. At the same time, we are committed to leveraging technology to enhance the quality, efficiency and effectiveness of our work.

We recognise the importance of staying ahead of emerging developments, maintaining the highest standards of quality, and embracing innovation in ways that deliver lasting value for all our stakeholders. We remain dedicated to delivering high-quality audits that consistently meet the expectations of investors, stakeholders and the organisations we serve.

We are pleased to present our 2026 Transparency Report, which provides an overview of our system of quality management and the measures we have in place to support audit quality. The report outlines the policies, processes and controls that underpin our approach, highlights the outcomes of key quality monitoring activities and reviews, and demonstrates how we continue to embed a culture of quality throughout every level of our firm.

By maintaining a strong focus on continuous improvement, accountability and transparency, we aim to reinforce confidence in the services we provide and the standards to which we hold ourselves.

A stylized, handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a large, fluid loop followed by a shorter stroke.


Sean Kelly
Assurance Leader

Year in review

Partner and staff survey

91% of our staff and partners participated in our Global People Survey.

86% of the respondents believe they are encouraged to deliver high quality audits



Total number of internal inspections - ECRs

FY26: 6
FY25: 3
FY24: 4


Real time assurance reviews

FY26	FY25	FY24
4	5	9

Refer to [Endnotes](#) for further information in relation to these measures

Audit quality reviews – local regulators

Results from 2 most recent quality reviews - number of reviews, including the total number resulting in non-compliance



Assurance Training FY26		
Average structured training hours by grade		
42 hrs	53 hrs	76 hrs
Partners & directors	Managers	Staff
Total hours completed 14,000		

Assurance Training FY25		
Average structured training hours by grade		
49 hrs	57 hrs	94 hrs
Partners & directors	Managers	Staff
Total hours completed 15,500		

PCAOB 2024 inspection report

Number of audit quality reviews performed by the PCAOB and results

3 No Part 1a deficiencies

Leveraged ratio of audit-related hours for audit team members

		FY26		FY25		FY24	
Partners	To	Manager	1 to 4	1	to 5	1	to 6
Managers	To	Staff	1 to 2	1	to 3	1	to 2
Partners	to	Staff	1 to 9	1	to 12	1	to 9

02

Our approach to quality



Our approach to quality



International Standard on Quality Management 1 (ISQM 1)

We comply with ISQM 1, an objectives-based approach that expects firms to have a SoQM that operates in a continuous and iterative manner taking into consideration the conditions, events, circumstances, actions and inactions that impact a firm. It enhances the firm's responsibilities around monitoring and remediation, emphasising the need for more proactive, real-time monitoring of the SoQM, a more effective, efficient, and timely root cause analysis process, and timely and effective remediation of deficiencies.

ISQM 1 states that the objective of the firm is to design, implement and operate a SoQM that provides the firm with reasonable assurance that:

- The firm and its personnel fulfil their responsibilities in accordance with professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements, and conduct engagements in accordance with such standards and requirements; and
- Engagement reports issued by the firm or engagement partners are appropriate in the circumstances.

The standard goes on to say that the public interest is served by the consistent performance of quality engagements and that this is enabled by an effective SoQM.



A specific focus on audit quality across the Network

The PwC Network's Assurance QMSE framework

Delivering high-quality work is at the heart of what we do at PwC; it is what our stakeholders rightly expect of us.

To deliver services in an effective and efficient manner that meets the expectations of our clients and other stakeholders, the PwC Network has established the Quality Management for Service Excellence (QMSE) framework which integrates quality management into how each firm runs its business and manages risk.

The QMSE framework is designed to align with the objectives and requirements of ISQM 1 and provides a model for quality management in PwC firms that integrates quality management into business processes and the firm-wide risk management process. Under QMSE, our overall quality objective is supported by a series of underlying quality management objectives and each firm's SoQM should be designed and operated so that the overall quality objective, which includes meeting the objectives and requirements of ISQM 1, is achieved with reasonable assurance.

Overall quality objective under the QMSE framework



To have the necessary capabilities in our organisation and to deploy our people to consistently use our methodologies, processes and technology to deliver services in an effective and efficient manner to fulfil the expectations of our clients and other stakeholders

Our approach to quality (continued)

These elements have been integrated and aligned by our Network to create a comprehensive, holistic and interconnected quality management framework that each firm tailors to reflect our individual circumstances. Each firm is responsible for utilising the resources provided by the Network as part of our efforts to deliver quality to meet the expectations of our stakeholders.

The Quality Management Process

The achievement of these objectives is supported by a **quality management process (QMP)** established by our firm and Assurance leadership, business process owners, and partners and staff. This quality management process includes:

- identifying risks to achieving the quality objectives
- designing and implementing responses to the assessed quality risks
- monitoring the design and operating effectiveness of the policies and procedures through the use of process-integrated monitoring activities such as real-time assurance as well as appropriate Assurance Quality Indicators
- continuously improving the SoQM when areas for improvement are identified by performing root cause analyses and implementing remedial actions and
- establishing a quality-related recognition and accountability framework to set clear expectations of expected quality behaviours and outcomes and reinforce those expectations through consistent and transparent use in appraisals, remuneration, and career progression decisions.



This involves the integrated use of Assurance Quality Indicators to aim to predict quality issues, Real-Time Assurance to aim to prevent quality issues, Root Cause Analysis to learn from quality issues and a Recognition and Accountability Framework to reinforce quality behaviours, culture and actions.



Our approach to quality (continued)



Integrated and aligned in the right way

Our SoQM includes quality objectives that are identified from the following components of ISQM 1 as identified in the QMSE framework:

- Governance and leadership
- Relevant ethical requirements
- Acceptance and continuance of client relationships and specific engagements
- Engagement performance
- Resources
- Information and communication

To help us achieve these objectives, the PwC Network invests significant resources in the continuous enhancement of quality across our Network. This includes having a strong quality infrastructure supported by the right people, underlying tools and technology at both the Network level and within our firm, and a programme of continuous innovation and investment in our technology. The PwC Network's Global Assurance Quality (GAQ) organisation aims to support PwC firms in promoting, enabling, and continuously improving Assurance quality through effective policies, tools, guidance and systems used to further promote and monitor quality and to build an appropriate level of consistency in what we do.



Our approach to quality (continued)

Our firm's SoQM

Our system of quality management (SoQM) must be designed, implemented and operating on an ongoing basis to achieve the quality objectives. This ongoing process includes monitoring, assessing, evaluating, reporting, and being responsive to changes in quality risks, driven by the firm's internal and external environment. This is our QMP.

Our focus on quality management is therefore not to apply prescribed rules but rather to design and implement risk responses which are fit for purpose to manage the risks we identify in our own risk assessment and achieve the quality objectives taking into consideration the conditions, events, circumstances, actions and/or inactions that may impact our SoQM.

Our risk assessment process

The past several years have seen unprecedented challenges and our firm's SoQM has helped us navigate and respond to the impact that identified factors had on our ability to achieve the overall assurance quality objective - to deliver quality audit engagements. Our SoQM includes the performance of a risk assessment over the quality objectives identified in the QMSE framework. We consider how and the degree to which a condition, event, circumstance, action or inaction may adversely affect the achievement of the quality objectives which may result in:

- New or changing quality risks to achieving one or more of the quality objectives
- Changes to the assessment of existing quality risks
- Changes to the design of the firm's SoQM, including the risk responses.

A quality risk is one that has a reasonable possibility of occurring and individually, or in combination with other quality risks, could adversely affect the achievement of one or more quality objectives.



Our approach to quality (continued)



Aim to Predict: Assurance Quality Indicators

We have identified a set of Assurance Quality Indicators (AQIs) that support our Assurance leadership team in the early identification of potential risks to quality, using metrics to aim to predict quality issues. This quality risk analysis is an essential part of our QMSE, and the AQIs, in addition to other performance measures, also provide a key tool in the ongoing monitoring and continuous improvement of our SoQM.

Our AQIs include measures relating to multiple areas across the SoQM: independence; training; staff availability and utilisations; timeliness of acceptance and continuance

assessments and engagement planning; higher risk engagements; supervision and review by partners and managers; involvement levels of engagement quality reviewers; Global People Survey and Client feedback; results from internal and external inspection results at the engagement level and firm level.

The AQI measures are evaluated on a quarterly basis by Assurance leadership to proactively manage concerns within the SoQM.




The key factors that impacted our SoQM

We have seen various factors impact our SoQM but in particular, some of the more meaningful conditions, events, circumstances actions and/or inactions that have necessitated changes to our SoQM included the following:

Generative AI: Emerging technologies, such as Artificial Intelligence, used in the performance of audit engagements or technology otherwise relevant to the SoQM is an area of rapid change and development. We've focused on ensuring our partners and staff have access to suitable, approved GenAI tools. These tools are used in accordance with policies and business rules. These are risk-focused, easy to understand and clearly communicated to enable effective use while maintaining human oversight to ensure reliable outputs.

Quality Control 1000 (QC 1000): QC 1000, officially titled "A Firm's System of Quality Control", is a new quality control standard issued by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) that aims to enhance the quality of audits performed by accounting firms registered with the PCAOB. It becomes effective on 15 December 2026. Firms must evaluate their QC system each year and file a report with the PCAOB by no later than 60 days after the firm's evaluation date. The reporting will be required for the first time in 2027.

We have an established SoQM, with robust governance, processes for identifying and assessing risks and responses, and monitoring activities which is compliant with ISQM 1. The implementation of QC 1000 necessitates additional efforts related to specific quality control requirements that are more detailed in scope. We have a QC 1000 implementation plan in place and are actively addressing the additional requirements.



Our firm's system of quality management

Throughout this transparency report, we have provided insight into the policies and procedures we have designed, implemented and are operating to reduce the quality risks we have identified to an acceptable level and help us achieve reasonable assurance over the firm's SoQM.

As mentioned above, some of our policies and procedures are provided by the PwC Network which we have assessed to determine that these resources are appropriate for use as part of our SoQM and in the performance of engagements. The following sections of the report cover the following ISQM 1 quality objectives:

- Cultures and values – Governance and leadership, relevant ethical requirements, acceptance and continuance of client relationships and specific engagements
- Our people – Human resources
- Our approach – Intellectual and technological resources, engagement performance, information and communication.

Our monitoring and remediation process

In the section, Monitoring, found on [page 38](#), we have described the types of ongoing and periodic monitoring our firm has designed, implemented and are operating to provide relevant and reliable information about our firm's SoQM and to help us take appropriate actions over any identified deficiencies so we can remediate those deficiencies effectively and on a timely basis. To support the timely and effective remediation of identified deficiencies, our firm has designed, implemented and is operating a root cause analysis programme that is described further on [page 43](#). The information gathered from our monitoring and remediation process along with other sources of information, such as external reviews, is used to help us evaluate our SoQM.

Statement on the effectiveness of the firm's SoQM

During the year, we completed our evaluation of the firm's SoQM under ISQM 1. On behalf of PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd. (Bermuda), Arthur Wightman (Territory Leader) and Sean Kelly (Assurance Leader) have evaluated whether our firm's SoQM provides us reasonable assurance that:

- The firm and its personnel fulfil their responsibilities in accordance with professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements, and conduct engagements in accordance with such standards and requirements; and
- Engagement reports issued by the firm or engagement partners are appropriate in the circumstances.

Based on all the relevant information of the firm's SoQM, as at 30 June 2026, we believe our SoQM provides us with reasonable assurance that the quality objectives of ISQM 1 noted above have been achieved.



03

Our cultures and values



Our culture and values



Definition and culture

At PwC, we define quality service as consistently meeting the expectations of our stakeholders and complying with all applicable standards and policies. An important part of our ability to deliver against this quality definition is building a culture across a network of 369,000 people. This culture of quality emphasises that quality is the responsibility of everyone. Continuing to enhance this culture of quality is a significant area of focus for our global and local leadership teams and one which plays a key part in the measurement of their performance.



Measurement and transparency

For all our businesses, each PwC firm – as part of the agreement by which they are members of the PwC Network – is required to have in place a comprehensive SoQM; to annually complete an SoQM performance assessment; and to communicate the results of these assessments to global leadership. These results are then discussed in detail with the leadership of each local firm and if they are not at the level expected, a remediation plan is agreed with local leadership taking personal responsibility for its successful implementation.

As the services that our Network provides change and develop, and the needs and expectations of our stakeholders also change, the PwC Network is continually reviewing and updating the scale, scope and operations of our PwC firms' systems of quality management and investing in programmes to enhance the quality of the services that the PwC Network provides.



Our firm's commitment to quality



Leadership and tone at the top

Our purpose and values are the foundation of our success. Our purpose is to build trust in society and solve important problems, and our values help us deliver on that purpose. Our purpose reflects 'why' we do what we do, and our strategy provides us with the 'what' we do. 'How' we deliver our purpose and strategy is driven by our culture, values and behaviours. This forms the foundation of our SoQM and permeates how we operate, including guiding our leadership actions, and how we deliver 'trust in what matters'.

When working with our clients and our colleagues to build trust in society and solve important problems, we:

- Act with integrity
- Make a difference
- Care
- Work together
- Reimagine the possible

This culture is supported by appropriate tone at the top through regular communication from leadership to all partners and staff about the firm's commitment to quality. Key messages are communicated to our firm by our Territory Leader and our leadership team and are reinforced by engagement partners. These communications focus on what we do well and actions we can take to make enhancements. Leadership and engagement partners take the lead on these actions as they role model the expected behaviours in interactions with clients and teams. We measure whether our people believe that our leaders' messaging conveys the importance of quality to the success of our firm. Based on this tracking, we are confident our people understand our quality objectives. Delivering service of the highest quality is core to our purpose and our Assurance strategy, the focus of which is to strengthen trust and transparency in our clients, in the capital markets and wider society.



Our firm's commitment to quality (continued)



Reinforce: Recognition and Accountability Framework

Our Recognition and Accountability Framework (RAF) reinforces quality in everything our people do in delivering on our strategy, with a focus on the provision of services to our clients, how we work with our people and driving a high-quality culture. Our RAF has been designed to set clear expectations of expected quality behaviours and outcomes and reinforce those expectations by holding Partners, including non-Partner Engagement Leaders, accountable for quality behaviours and quality outcomes beyond compliance. Our RAF considers and addresses the following key elements:

- **Quality outcomes:** We provide transparent quality outcomes to measure the achievement of the quality objectives. Our quality outcomes take into account meeting professional standards and the PwC Network and our firm's standards and policies;
- **Behaviours:** We have set expectations of the right behaviours that support the right attitude to quality, the right tone from the top and a strong engagement with the quality objectives;
- **Interventions/recognition:** We have put in place interventions and recognition that promote and reinforce positive behaviours and drives a culture of quality;
- **Consequences/reward:** We have implemented financial and non-financial consequences and rewards that are commensurate to outcome and behaviours and sufficient to incentivise the right behaviours to achieve the quality objectives.



Ethics, independence and objectivity



Ethics

At PwC, we adhere to the fundamental principles of ethics set out in the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants (IESBA) Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants (the Code), which are:

- i. **Integrity** – to be straightforward and honest in all professional and business relationships.
- ii. **Objectivity** – to not allow bias, conflict of interest or undue influence of others to override professional or business judgements.
- iii. **Professional Competence and Due Care** – to maintain professional knowledge and skill at the level required to ensure that a client or employer receives competent professional service based on current developments in practice, legislation and techniques and act diligently and in accordance with applicable technical and professional standards.
- iv. **Confidentiality** – to respect the confidentiality of information acquired as a result of professional and business relationships and, therefore, not disclose any such information to third parties without proper and specific authority, unless there is a legal or professional right or duty to disclose, nor use the information for the personal advantage of the professional accountant or third parties.
- v. **Professional Behaviour** – to comply with relevant laws and regulations and avoid any action that discredits the profession.



Ethics, independence and objectivity (continued)



Ethics

Our Network Standards, applicable to all Network firms, cover a variety of areas including ethics and business conduct, independence, anti-money laundering, anti-trust/fair-competition, anti-corruption, information protection, firm's and partner's taxes, sanctions laws, internal audit and insider trading. We take compliance with these ethical requirements seriously and strive to embrace the spirit and not just the letter of those requirements. All partners and staff undertake annual mandatory training, as well as submitting annual compliance confirmations, as part of the system to support appropriate understanding of the ethical requirements under which we operate. Partners and staff comply with the standards developed by the PwC Network and leadership in PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd. (Bermuda) monitors compliance with these obligations.

In addition to the PwC Values (Act with Integrity, Make a difference, Care, Work together, Reimagine the possible) and PwC Purpose, PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd. (Bermuda) has adopted PwC's Global Code of Conduct, Network Standards and related policies that clearly describe the behaviours expected of our partners and other professionals - behaviours that will enable us to build public trust. Because of the wide variety of situations that our professionals may face, our standards provide guidance under a broad range of circumstances, but all with a common goal - to do the right thing.



Ethics, independence and objectivity (continued)



Ethics

Upon hiring or admittance, PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd. (Bermuda) provides an overview of the PwC Global Code of Conduct and the expected behaviours for all partners and staff, who should follow these expectations throughout their professional careers at our firm. As part of the values and expectations in the Code, they also have a responsibility to report and express concerns, and to do so fairly, honestly, and professionally when dealing with a difficult situation or when observing conduct inconsistent with the Code. In addition, every partner and staff are required to complete new hire training, which covers the ethics and compliance Network Standards, including ethics and the Code of Conduct.

PwC has implemented a network-wide confidential ethics helpline for the reporting of questions or concerns related to behaviours that are inconsistent with the Code of Conduct and related policies. Every PwC firm has a separate and secure tier of the ethics helpline for their confidential matters and investigations. The ethics helpline is also available for third parties, including clients. The ethics helpline allows our partners, staff and third parties to feel safe raising a question or concern without fear of retaliation.

The PwC Code of Conduct and the ethics helpline are available online for all internal and external stakeholders at <https://www.pwc.com/ethics>.

PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd. (Bermuda) has adopted a recognition and accountability framework to facilitate remediation of behaviours that are inconsistent with the Code of Conduct.

Finally, the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) provides guidance, including the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises (the OECD Guidelines), by way of non-binding principles and standards for responsible business conduct when operating globally. The OECD Guidelines provide a valuable framework for setting applicable compliance requirements and standards. Although the PwC Network consists of firms that are separate legal entities which do not form a multinational corporation or enterprise, PwC's Network Standards and policies are informed by and meet the goals and objectives of the OECD Guidelines.

Ethics, independence and objectivity (continued)



Objectivity and Independence

As auditors of financial statements and providers of other types of professional services, PwC firms and their partners and staff are expected to comply with the fundamental principles of objectivity, integrity and professional behaviours. In relation to assurance clients, independence underpins these requirements. Compliance with these principles is fundamental to serving the capital markets and our clients.

The PwC Global Independence Policy, which is based on the Code, including International Independence Standards, contains minimum standards with which PwC firms have agreed to comply, including processes that are to be followed to maintain independence from clients, when necessary.

The independence requirements of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) are, in certain instances, more restrictive than the Global Independence Policy. Given the reach of these requirements and their impact on PwC firms in the Network, the Policy identifies key areas where an SEC requirement is more restrictive. Provisions that are specifically identified as applicable to SEC restricted entities must be followed in addition to, or instead of, the Policy in the associated paragraph. PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd. (Bermuda) has a designated partner (known as the 'Partner Responsible for Independence' or 'PRI') with appropriate seniority and standing, who is responsible for implementation of the PwC Global Independence Policy including managing the related independence processes and providing support to the business. The partner is supported by a small team of independence specialists. The PRI reports directly to the Risk Management Partner and Territory Leader.

Ethics, independence and objectivity (continued)



Objectivity and independence (continued)

Independence policies and practices

The PwC Global Independence Policy covers, among others, the following areas:

- personal and firm independence, including policies and guidance on the holding of financial interests and other financial arrangements, e.g., bank accounts and loans by partners, staff, the firm and its pension schemes;
- non-audit services and fee arrangements. The policy is supported by Statements of Permitted Services (SOPS), which provide practical guidance on the application of the policy in respect of non-audit services to audit clients and related entities;
- business relationships, including policies and guidance on joint business relationships (such as joint ventures and joint marketing) and on purchasing of goods and services acquired in the normal course of business; and
- acceptance of new audit and assurance clients, and the subsequent acceptance of any non-assurance services to be provided to those clients.

In addition, there is a Network Risk Management Policy governing the independence requirements related to the rotation of key audit partners.

These policies and processes are designed to help PwC firms comply with relevant professional and regulatory standards of independence that apply to the provision of assurance services. Policies and supporting guidance are reviewed and revised when changes arise such as updates to laws and regulations, including any changes to the Code or in response to operational matters.

PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd. (Bermuda) supplements the PwC Global Independence Policy as required by ethical requirements of the Chartered Professional Accountants of Bermuda Rules of Professional Conduct (CPA Bermuda Rules), the independence requirements of the United States SEC and those of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) of the United States and of the EU Audit Regulation (EU AR) where they are more restrictive than the Network's policy.

PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd. (Bermuda) complies with the audit engagement partner rotation and staff requirements of the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants [IESBA Code] as well as the US SEC and EU AR where applicable.

Ethics, independence and objectivity (continued)



Independence-related systems and tools

As a member of the PwC Network, PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd. (Bermuda) has access to a number of systems and tools which support PwC firms and their personnel in executing and complying with their independence policies and procedures. These include:

- The **Central Entity Service (CES)**, which contains information about corporate entities including all PwC audit clients and their related entities (including all public interest audit clients and SEC restricted entities) as well as their related securities. CES assists in determining the independence restriction status of clients of the PwC firm and those of other PwC firms before entering into a new non-audit service or business relationship. This system also feeds Independence Checkpoint and the Authorisation for Services system;
- '**Independence Checkpoint**' which facilitates the pre-clearance of publicly traded securities by all partners and managerial practice staff before acquisition and is used to record their subsequent purchases and disposals. Where a PwC firm wins a new audit client or there is a change in the restriction status of a security, this system automatically informs those holding relevant securities of the requirement to sell the security where required;
- **Authorisation for Services (AFS)** which is a global system that facilitates communication between a non-audit services engagement leader and the audit engagement leader, regarding a proposed non-audit service, documenting the analysis of any potential independence threats created by the service and proposed safeguards, where deemed necessary, and acts as a record of the audit partner's conclusion on the permissibility of the service;

Ethics, independence and objectivity (continued)



Independence-related systems and tools

- **Joint Business Relationships (JBR)** which is a global system used to clear joint (close) business relationships from an independence perspective. JBR is used to facilitate PwC firms' compliance with JBR requirements for new and existing joint business relationships. It assists independence specialists in gathering information to assess, from an independence perspective, the permissibility of proposed joint business relationships and in monitoring the continued permissibility of previously approved existing joint business relationships;
- **My Compliance Dashboard (MCD)** which is a global compliance system that facilitates annual compliance confirmations and reporting;
- **Global Breaches Reporting System** which is designed to be used to report any breaches of external auditor independence regulations (e.g., those set by regulation or professional requirements) where the breach has cross-border implications (e.g., where a breach occurs in one territory which affects an audit relationship in another territory). All breaches reported are evaluated and addressed in line with the Code or relevant independence regulations; and
- The Network's centre of excellence which adopts standardised processes to perform monitoring of joint business relationships and approval of non-audit services for certain categories of clients.
- The **Independence Assessment Centre of Excellence** utilises a network-developed application ("Independence Assessment (IA)") supported by trained individuals. The IA COE provides a streamlined automated approach to data search and retrieval, as well as a resource for documenting independence assessment results, required actions and conclusions for engagement teams.
- PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd. (Bermuda) also has a rotation tracking system which monitors compliance with audit rotation policies for the firm, engagement leaders, other key audit partners and senior staff involved in an audit.

Ethics, independence and objectivity (continued)



Independence training and confirmations

PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd. (Bermuda) provides all partners and practice staff with annual or ongoing training in independence matters. Training typically focuses on milestone training relevant to a change in position or role, personal independence requirements, changes in policy or external regulation and, as relevant, provision of services. Partners and staff receive computer-based training on independence policy and related topics. Additionally, face-to-face training is delivered to members of the practice on an as-needed basis by PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd. (Bermuda)'s independence specialists and risk and quality teams.

All partners and practice staff are required to complete an annual compliance confirmation, whereby they confirm their compliance with relevant aspects of the PwC firm's independence policy, including their own personal independence. In addition, all partners confirm that all non-audit services and business relationships for which they are responsible comply with policy and that the required processes have been followed in accepting these engagements and relationships. These annual confirmations are supplemented by periodic and ad-hoc engagement level confirmations for certain other clients including listed audit clients and audit clients expected to have an initial public offering within the next 12 months.



Independence monitoring and disciplinary policy

PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd. (Bermuda) is responsible for monitoring the effectiveness of its SoQM in managing compliance with independence requirements. In addition to the confirmations described above, as part of this monitoring, we perform:

- Compliance testing of independence controls and processes;
- Personal independence compliance testing of a random selection of, at a minimum, partners and practice managers as a means of monitoring compliance with independence policies; and
- An annual assessment of our firm's adherence with the PwC Network's standard relating to independence.

The results of PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd. (Bermuda) monitoring and testing are reported to the firm's management on a regular basis with a summary reported to them on an annual basis.

PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd. (Bermuda) has a Recognition and Accountability Framework and supporting disciplinary policies and mechanisms in place that promote compliance with independence policies and processes, and that require any breaches of independence requirements to be reported and addressed.

This would include discussion with the client's audit committee regarding the nature of a breach, an evaluation of the impact of the breach on the independence of the PwC firm and the engagement team and the need for actions or safeguards to maintain objectivity. Although most breaches are minor and attributable to an oversight, all breaches are taken seriously and investigated as appropriate. The investigations of any identified breaches of independence policies also serve to identify the need for improvements in PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd. (Bermuda)'s systems and processes and for additional guidance and training.

Considerations in undertaking the audit

Our principles for determining whether to accept a new client or continue serving an existing client are fundamental to delivering quality, which we believe goes hand-in-hand with our purpose to build trust in society. We have established policies and procedures for the acceptance of client relationships and audit engagements that consider whether we are competent to perform the engagement and have the necessary capabilities including time and resources, can comply with relevant ethical requirements, including independence, and have appropriately considered the integrity of the client. We reassess these considerations in determining whether we should continue with the client engagement and have in place policies and procedures related to withdrawing from an engagement or a client relationship when necessary. The policies and processes we have in place emphasises risk and quality considerations such that financial and operational priorities do not lead to inappropriate judgements about whether to accept or continue a client relationship.



Client and Engagement Acceptance and Continuance

PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd. (Bermuda) has a process in place to identify acceptable clients based on the PwC Network's proprietary decision support systems for audit client acceptance and retention (called Acceptance). Acceptance facilitates a determination by the engagement team, business management and risk management specialists of whether the risks related to an existing client or a potential client are manageable, and whether or not PwC should be associated with the particular client and its management. More specifically, this system enables:

Engagement teams:

- To document their consideration of matters required by professional standards related to acceptance and continuance;
- To identify and document issues or risk factors and their resolution, for example through consultation, by adjusting the resource plan or audit approach or putting in place other safeguards to mitigate identified risks or by declining to perform the engagement; and
- To facilitate the evaluation of the risks associated with accepting or continuing with a client and engagement.

PwC firms (including PwC firm leadership and risk management):

- To facilitate the evaluation of the risks associated with accepting or continuing with clients and engagements;
- To provide an overview of the risks associated with accepting or continuing with clients and engagements across the client portfolio; and
- To understand the methodology, basis and minimum considerations all other PwC firms in the Network have applied in assessing audit acceptance and continuance.

04

Our people



Our people



People strategy

Our people strategy was developed in support of our broader assurance strategy. We are focused on being the world's leading developer of talent and enabling our people with greater agility and confidence in a rapidly changing world. Specific focus areas include creating a resilient foundation for times of change through supporting the well-being of our people and enabling effective delivery; developing inclusive leaders for a shifting world; and enabling our workforce for today's realities and tomorrow's possibilities.



The PwC Professional

The PwC Professional framework clearly defines the daily behaviours essential to our purpose, strategy and values. The framework sets out the expected behaviours of all our people, at all levels and is underpinned in our audit practice.



Our people (continued)

	Inclusion and diversity	At PwC, we're an organisation that fosters a culture of belonging and equity where our diverse workforce can thrive and feel like they belong. We do this by delivering on our Inclusion First strategy, which is centred on action, accountability and advocacy, in each of our member firms, across the PwC Network. We embrace and encourage differences and help our people actively develop the skills to work and lead inclusively with our focus on gender equity, disability inclusion, LGBT+ inclusion and social inclusion. Underpinning this is ensuring our systems and behaviours are inclusive.
	Recruitment	PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd. (Bermuda) aims to recruit, train, develop and retain the best and the brightest staff who share in the firm's strong sense of responsibility for delivering high-quality services. Our hiring standards include a structured interview process with behaviours-based questions built from The PwC Professional framework, assessment of academic records, and background checks.
	Team selection, experience and supervision	Our audit engagements are staffed based on expertise, capabilities and years of experience. Engagement leaders determine the extent of direction, supervision and review of junior staff.
	Feedback and continuous development	Our team members obtain feedback on their overall performance, including factors related to audit quality, such as technical knowledge, auditing skills and professional scepticism. Audit quality is an important factor in performance evaluation and career progression decisions for both our partners and staff. Feedback on performance and progression is collected via our Feedback Exchange tool, a simple, mobile-enabled technology. We also use Feedback Exchange for giving and receiving multi-directional feedback. Ongoing feedback conversations help our people grow and learn faster, adapt to new and complex environments, and bring the best to our clients and firm.
	Career progression	PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd. (Bermuda) uses The PwC Professional Framework, our global career progression framework, which sets out clear expectations at all staff levels across six key behaviours. The framework underpins all elements of career development and helps our people develop into well-rounded professionals and leaders with the capabilities and confidence to produce high-quality work, deliver an efficient and effective experience for our clients, execute our strategy, and support our brand. Our annual performance cycle is supported by continuous feedback conversations and regular check-ins with the individual's Team Leader to discuss their development, progression and performance.
	Retention	Turnover in the public accounting profession is often high because as accounting standards and regulations change, accountants are in demand and the development experience we provide makes our staff highly sought after in the external market. Our voluntary turnover rate fluctuates based on many factors, including the overall market demand for talent.
	Global People Survey	Each PwC firm participates in an annual Global People Survey, administered across the Network to all of our partners and staff. PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd. (Bermuda) is responsible for analysing and communicating results locally, along with clearly defined actions to address feedback.

Learning and education



Professional Development

We are committed to putting the right people in the right place at the right time. Throughout our people’s careers, they are presented with career development opportunities, classroom, virtual classroom and on-demand learning, and on-the-job real time coaching/development. Our flexible training portfolio facilitates personalised learning with access to a variety of educational materials, including webcasts, podcasts, articles, videos, and courses. Achieving a professional credential supports our firm’s commitment to quality through consistent examination and certification standards. Our goal is to provide our staff with a more individualised path to promotion and support them in prioritising and managing their time more effectively when preparing for professional exams. Providing our people with the ability to meet their professional and personal commitments is a critical component of our people experience and retention strategy.



Audit Quality Measures

Average training hours per audit professional

Our training investment in people

Assurance Training FY26

Average structured training hours by grade

42 hrs	53 hrs	76 hrs
Partners & directors	Managers	Staff

Total hours completed 14,000

Assurance Training FY25

Average structured training hours by grade

49 hrs	57 hrs	94 hrs
Partners & directors	Managers	Staff

Total hours completed 15,500



Continuing education

We, and other PwC firms, are committed to delivering quality assurance services around the world. To maximise consistency in the Network, the formal curricula, developed at the Network level, provide access to training materials covering the PwC audit approach and tools, as well as areas of audit risk and areas of focus for quality improvement.

This formal learning is delivered using a blend of delivery approaches, which include remote access, classroom learning, virtual classroom, and on-the-job support. The curricula supports our primary training objective of quality, while providing practitioners with the opportunity to strengthen their technical and professional skills, including professional judgement while applying a sceptical mindset.

The design of the curricula allows us to select, based on local needs, when we will deliver the training. The firm’s human capital, methodology and risk management functions are involved in considering what additional training is appropriate – formal and/or informal – to address any additional specific local needs, be they technical or non-technical in nature. We use external experts to support training programmes in areas such as interpersonal and soft-skills development.

05

Our approach



Our approach

PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd. (Bermuda) uses a range of cutting-edge methods, processes, technologies, and approaches to ensure continuous improvement in the performance and quality of our audit engagements. As a member of the PwC Network, PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd. (Bermuda) has access to and uses PwC Audit, a common audit methodology and process. This methodology is based on the International Standards on Auditing (ISAs), with additional PwC policy and guidance provided where appropriate. PwC Audit policies and procedures are designed to facilitate audits conducted in compliance with all ISA requirements that are relevant to each individual audit engagement. Our common audit methodology provides the framework to enable PwC firms to consistently comply in all respects with applicable professional standards, regulations and legal requirements.

For audit engagements performed in accordance with US GAAS, or requirements of the PCAOB of the United States, PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd. (Bermuda) has access to and uses US PwC Audit, which outlines the methodology under these standards.



Tools and technologies to support our audit



Our technology

Aura, our global audit documentation platform, is used across the PwC Network. Aura helps drive how we build and execute our audit plans by supporting teams in applying our methodology effectively, by creating transparent linkage between risks, required procedures, controls and the work performed to address those risks, as well as providing comprehensive guidance and project management capabilities. Targeted audit plans specify risk levels, controls reliance and substantive testing. Real time dashboards show teams audit progress and the impact of scoping decisions more quickly.

Connect is our collaborative platform that allows clients to quickly and securely share audit documents and deliverables. Connect also eases the burden of tracking the status of deliverables and resolving issues by automatically flagging and tracking outstanding items and issues identified through the audit for more immediate attention and resolution. Clients are also able to see audit adjustments, control deficiencies, and statutory audit progress for all locations- in real time.

Connect Audit Manager streamlines, standardises and automates group and component teams coordination for group and statutory/regulatory audits. It provides a single digital platform to see all outbound and inbound work and digitises the entire coordination process which facilitates greater transparency, compliance and quality for complex multi-location audits.

Halo, our data auditing tools, address large volumes of data, analysing whole populations to improve risk assessment, analysis and testing. For example, Halo for Journals enables the identification of relevant journals based on defined criteria making it easier for engagement teams to explore and visualise the data to identify client journal entries to analyse and start the testing process.

Count, which facilitates the end-to-end process for observing inventory counts, allows our engagement teams to create and manage count procedures, counters to record results directly onto their mobile device or tablet and engagement teams to export final results into Aura.

PwC Confirmations, our global, secure, web-based confirmation platform providing a guided experience to preparing, sending, monitoring and receiving electronic and paper responses for our auditors and third-party confirmers as well as a dashboard view to assist in status updates. The Confirmer portal allows confirmers to easily navigate and provide responses.

Halo Platform enables our engagement teams to manage all data extractions, executions and storage for all applications through one central location, allowing our engagement teams to monitor the status of data uploads and use the acquired entity data for multiple applications during the audit.

Tools and technologies to support our audit (continued)



Our next generation audit

As part of our commitment to building trust and delivering sustained outcomes, the PwC Network is investing in a multi-year effort to deliver a new global audit platform to power our next generation audit (NGA), ultimately replacing our legacy technologies such as Aura and Connect. By exploring and investing in new technologies and redefining underlying audit processes, PwC will further standardise, simplify, centralise, and automate our audit work. PwC's investment will accelerate ongoing innovation and enable us to respond to changing stakeholders' needs while taking advantage of emerging technologies, including generative AI, providing a transformed audit experience focusing on continuous quality enhancement. PwC's vision for NGA is to provide efficient, robust and independent assurance and audit insights across financial and non-financial information, helping to build trust in what matters to our stakeholders. As PwC gains momentum around the next generation audit programme, we will continue to release new capabilities on an ongoing basis to enhance quality and the overall audit experience.

There have been significant investments across the PwC Network into Generative AI as we seek to reimagine how we further enable our people by leveraging the power of AI. We are focused on promoting a culture of responsible usage of AI while supporting ongoing interest and quickly evolving potential use cases for AI including Generative AI.



Tools and technologies to support our audit (continued)



Reliability and auditability of audit technologies

Our firm has designed and implemented processes and controls to underpin the reliability of these audit technologies. This includes clarification of the roles and responsibilities of audit technology owners and users. In addition, we have guidance focused on the sufficiency of audit documentation included in the workpapers related to the use of these audit technologies, including consideration of the reliability of the solution, and the documentation needed to assist the reviewer in meeting their direction, supervision and review responsibilities as part of the normal course of the audit.




Confidentiality and Information Security

Data Privacy

The firm maintains a robust and consistent approach to the management of all personal data, with everyone in our organisation having a role to play in safeguarding personal data. We are committed to embedding good data management practices across our business.

Information Security

Information Security is a high priority for the PwC Network. Our firm is accountable to our people, clients, suppliers, and other stakeholders to protect information that is entrusted to us. Failure to protect information could potentially harm the individuals whose information our firm holds, lead our firm to suffer regulatory sanctions or other financial losses, and impact the PwC reputation and brand. As such, our firm complies with the Information Security Policy which outlines the minimum security requirements for all PwC firms.



Supporting engagement performance



Evolving delivery model

We continue to evolve the way we deliver our services, so our people give our clients a better experience, enhance the quality of what we do and create economic capacity to invest in the future. Where engagement teams use personnel from other Network firms or Network Acceleration Centres, these personnel form part of the engagement team and are directed, coached and supervised by appropriate individuals on the engagement team.



Direction, coaching and supervision

Engagement leaders and senior engagement team members are responsible and accountable for providing quality coaching throughout the audit and supervising the work completed by junior members of the team, coach the team and maintain audit quality. Engagement teams utilise Aura which has capabilities to effectively monitor the progress of the engagement to determine that all work has been completed and reviewed by appropriate individuals, including the engagement leader.



Consultation culture

Consultation is key to ensuring audit quality. While we have formal protocols about mandatory consultation, in the pursuit of quality, we regularly consult more than the minimum requirement. For example, our engagement teams routinely speak with risk management and internal experts such as valuation specialists, actuarial and taxation professionals.



Quality Review Partners (QRP)

Specific audit engagements are assigned a QRP as part of the firm's system of quality management as required by professional standards and local policy. These partners, who are required to have the necessary experience and technical knowledge, are involved in the most critical aspects of the audit. For example, they may advise on matters of firm independence, significant risks and a team's responses to those risks, and specific accounting, auditing, and financial reporting and disclosure issues.



Differences of opinion

Protocols exist to resolve the situations where a difference of opinion arises between the engagement leader and either the QRP, another Assurance partner or risk management.

06

Monitoring



Monitoring

Monitoring of Assurance quality

We recognise that quality in the Assurance services we deliver to clients is key to maintaining the confidence of investors and other stakeholders in the integrity of our work. It is a key element to our Assurance strategy. Responsibility for appropriate quality management lies with the leadership of PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd. (Bermuda). This includes the design and operation of an effective SoQM that is responsive to our specific risks to delivering quality audit engagements, using the network's QMSE framework.



The overall quality objective under the QMSE framework is to have the necessary capabilities in our firm and to deploy our people to consistently use our methodologies, processes and technology in the delivery of Assurance services in an effective and efficient manner to fulfil the valid expectations of our clients and other stakeholders.

Our firm's monitoring includes an ongoing assessment aimed at evaluating whether the policies and procedures which constitute our SoQM are designed appropriately and operating effectively to provide reasonable assurance that our audit, non-audit assurance and related services engagements are performed in compliance with laws, regulations and professional standards (also referred to as our ongoing monitoring). This includes the use of Real-Time Assurance.

In addition to the ongoing monitoring, our monitoring also encompasses periodic assessment of our SoQM which includes the review of completed engagements (Engagement Compliance Reviews - ECR), as well as periodic monitoring of our SoQM by an objective team within our firm. The results of these procedures, together with our ongoing monitoring, form the basis for the continuous improvement of our SoQM. ECRs are performed under a Network-wide inspection programme based on professional standards and PwC audit methodology.

ECRs are risk-focused reviews of completed engagements covering, on a periodic basis, individuals in our firm who are authorised to sign audit, non-audit assurance or related services reports. The review assesses whether an engagement was performed in compliance with PwC Audit guidance, applicable professional standards and other applicable engagement-related policies and procedures. Engagement leaders who sign audit reports in relation to Higher Profile Clients are subject to review at least once every three years. All other engagement leaders are reviewed at least once every five years, unless a more frequent review is required based on the profile of that signer's client engagements.

Monitoring (continued)

Audit Quality Measures

- Total number of ECRs
- Number of ECRs rated as Compliant, Compliant with Improvement Required, Non-Compliant
- ECRs rated as Compliant, Compliant with Improvement Required, Non-Compliant related to total number of ECRs (%)


Total number of internal inspections

FY26: 6

FY25: 3

FY24: 4





Monitoring (continued)

Monitoring of Assurance quality

Reviews are led by experienced Assurance partners, supported by objective teams of partners, directors, senior managers and other specialists. ECR reviewers may be sourced from other PwC firms if needed to provide appropriate expertise or objectivity. Review teams receive training to support them in fulfilling their responsibilities and utilise a range of checklists and tools developed at the Network level when conducting their inspection procedures. The Network inspection team supports review teams by monitoring the consistent application of guidance on classification of engagement findings and engagement assessments across the Network.

Additionally, the PwC Network undertakes periodic reviews to evaluate certain elements of PwC firms' SoQMs. The Network also looks at the PwC firm leadership's own assessment of the effectiveness of their system of quality management and their determination of whether the overall quality objective has been achieved.

The inspection results are reported to our firm's leadership who are responsible for analysing the results of the inspections along with quality findings identified from all sources of information, for performing timely root cause analysis, and for implementing remedial actions as necessary. In situations where adverse quality matters on engagements are identified, based on the nature and circumstances of the issues, the responsible engagement leader or our firm's Assurance leadership personnel may be subject to additional mentoring, training or further sanctions in accordance with our firm's Recognition and Accountability Framework.

Assurance engagement leaders of our firm receive information on the results of the Network inspection programme, designed for their use in assessing the scope of audit work they determine needs to be performed and their reliance on work performed by PwC firms in connection with their audit of a client's consolidated financial statements.

PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd. (Bermuda) is subject to regulatory oversight by Chartered Professional Accountants [CPA] Bermuda and the Bermuda Public Accountability Board [BPAB].

For CPA Bermuda, the normal frequency of inspection visits is once every 3 years. The last inspection took place in October 2024. Six audit engagements and one compilation engagement were reviewed and the outcome was satisfactory.


For BPAB, the normal frequency of inspection visits is once every 3 years. The last inspection took place in November 2023. One PIE engagement was reviewed and the outcome was satisfactory.

In addition, the firm is registered with the PCAOB and is subject to PCAOB inspections with respect to work as auditors of SEC registrants and their affiliates. The PCAOB inspection report for inspections conducted in May 2024 was issued in December 2024 and is available on the PCAOB website at www.pcaobus.org.

Monitoring (continued)

Audit Quality Measures

- Total number of file reviews by external regulators
- Number of file reviews by other external regulators resulting in non-compliance (or equivalent)
- Number of file reviews by PCAOB



Number of audit quality reviews performed by PCAOB (2024) and results

3

■ No Part 1a deficiencies

Aim to Prevent: Real-Time Assurance

We have developed a Real-Time Assurance (RTA) programme designed to provide preventative monitoring that helps coach and support engagement teams to get the ‘right work’ completed in real-time, during the audit. The RTAs are managed by a dedicated RTA team, led by an experienced Risk & Quality director. The engagement files selected are reviewed by experienced professionals. Because reviews are performed on a real-time basis, any issues identified as a result of the reviews are remediated in the files prior to the issuance of the audit reports. The RTA team also uses certain criteria for monitoring audit engagement data throughout the year to assess whether the engagement teams are complying with the firm’s methodology requirements. The RTA team reach out to partners and staff where they see data that is not consistent with expectations. Overall RTA programme findings and results for each year are summarised and trends and themes are further communicated to the assurance practice through training sessions.

Monitoring (continued)

Learn: Root cause analysis

We perform analyses to identify potential factors contributing to our firm's audit quality so that we can take actions to continuously improve. Our primary objectives when conducting such analyses are to understand what our findings tell us about our SoQM and to identify how our firm can provide an effective environment for our engagement teams to deliver a quality audit.

We look at quality findings from all sources including our own ongoing monitoring of our SoQM as well as Network inspection of our SoQM, audits both with and without deficiencies - whether identified through our own internal inspections process or through external inspections and other inputs such as our Global People Survey and financial statement restatements and accounting errors - to help identify possible distinctions and learning opportunities.

For individual audits, an objective team of root cause specialists identifies potential factors contributing to the overall quality of the audit. We consider factors relevant to technical knowledge, supervision and review, professional scepticism, engagement resources, and training, amongst others. Potential causal factors are identified by evaluating engagement information, performing interviews, and reviewing selected audit working papers to understand the factors that may have contributed to audit quality.

In addition, the data compiled for audits both with and without engagement-level findings is compared and contrasted to identify whether certain factors appear to correlate to audit quality. Examples of this data include the hours incurred on the audit, whether key engagement team members have completed mandatory training requirements, the number of years that key engagement team members have been on the engagement, industry experience, the number of other audits that engagement partners are involved in, whether the engagement was subject to a pre-issuance review, and the timing of when the audit work was performed.

Our goal is to understand how quality audits may differ from those with engagement-level findings, and to evaluate how these learnings may be used to continuously improve all of our audits. We evaluate the results of these analyses to identify enhancements that may be useful to implement across the practice. We believe these analyses contribute significantly to the continuing effectiveness of our quality management.

07

PwC Network



PwC Network

PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited

PwC is the brand under which the member firms of PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited (PwCIL) operate and provide professional services. Together, these firms form the PwC network. 'PwC' is often used to refer either to individual firms within the PwC network or to several or all of them collectively.

In many parts of the world, accounting firms are required by law to be locally owned and independent. Although regulatory attitudes on this issue are changing, PwC member firms do not and cannot currently operate as a corporate multinational. The PwC network is not a global partnership, a single firm, or a multinational corporation.

For these reasons, the PwC network consists of firms which are separate legal entities. The firms that make up the network are committed to working together to provide quality service offerings for clients throughout the world. Firms in the PwC network are members in, or have other connections to, PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited (PwCIL), an English private company limited by guarantee. PwCIL does not practise accountancy or provide services to clients. Rather its purpose is to facilitate coordination between member firms in the PwC network. Focusing on key areas such as strategy, brand, and risk and quality, the Network Leadership Team and Board of PwCIL develop and implement policies and initiatives to achieve a common and coordinated approach among

individual firms where appropriate. Member firms of PwCIL can use the PwC name and the resources and methodologies of the PwC network are made available to them. In addition, member firms may request the resources of other member firms and/or secure the provision of professional services by other member firms and/or other entities. In return, member firms agree to abide by certain common policies and to maintain the standards of the PwC network as put forward by PwCIL.

The PwC network is not one international partnership and PwC member firms are not otherwise legal partners with each other. Many of the member firms have legally registered names which contain "PricewaterhouseCoopers", however there is no ownership by PwCIL. A member firm cannot act as agent of PwCIL or any other member firm, cannot obligate PwCIL or any other member firm, and is liable only for its own acts or omissions and not those of PwCIL or any other member firm. Similarly, PwCIL cannot act as an agent of any member firm, cannot obligate any member firm, and is liable only for its own acts or omissions.

Total turnover achieved by statutory auditors and audit firms from EU/EEA Member States that are members of the PwC network resulting, to the best extent calculable, from the statutory audit of annual and consolidated financial statements is approximately 3 billion Euros. This represents the turnover from each entity's most recent financial year converted to Euros at the exchange rate prevailing as of 30 June 2026.

PwC Network (continued)

The governance bodies of PwCIL are:

- **Global Board**, which is responsible for the governance of PwCIL, the oversight of the Network Leadership Team and the approval of Network Standards. The Board does not have an external role. The Board is comprised of elected partners from PwC firms around the world and one or more external independent directors. Please refer to the following [page on the PwC Global website](#) for a list of the current members of the Global Board.
- **Network Leadership Team**, which is responsible for setting the overall strategy for the PwC Network and the standards to which the PwC firms agree to adhere.
- **Strategy Council**, which is made up of the leaders of the largest PwC firms and regions of the Network, agrees on the strategic direction of the Network and facilitates alignment for the execution of strategy.
- **Global Leadership Team**, which is appointed by and reports to the Network Leadership Team and the Chairman of the PwC Network. Its members are responsible for leading teams drawn from PwC firms to coordinate activities across all areas of our business.



PwC Network (continued)

The table below is a list of statutory audit firms/auditors by country within our Network as of 30 June 2026 (Article 13.2.(b) items (ii) and (iii))

Member State	Name of firm
Austria	PwC Österreich GmbH Wirtschaftsprüfungsgesellschaft, Wien
Austria	PwC Wirtschaftsprüfungs - und Steuerberatungsgesellschaft GmbH, Linz
Austria	PwC Tax & Audit Services Wirtschaftsprüfung und Steuerberatung GmbH, Graz
Austria	PwC Österreich GmbH, Wien
Austria	PwC Assurance GmbH Wirtschaftsprüfung, Wien
Belgium	PwC Bedrijfsrevisoren bv/Reviseurs d'Entreprises SRL
Bulgaria	PricewaterhouseCoopers Audit OOD
Croatia	PricewaterhouseCoopers d.o.o
Croatia	PricewaterhouseCoopers Savjetovanje d.o.o
Cyprus	PricewaterhouseCoopers Limited
Czech Republic	PricewaterhouseCoopers Audit, s.r.o.
Denmark	PricewaterhouseCoopers Statsautoriseret Revisionspartnerselskab
Estonia	AS PricewaterhouseCoopers
Finland	PricewaterhouseCoopers Oy
France	PricewaterhouseCoopers Audit
France	PricewaterhouseCoopers France
Germany	PricewaterhouseCoopers GmbH Wirtschaftsprüfungsgesellschaft
Germany	Wibera Wirtschaftsberatung Aktiengesellschaft Wirtschaftsprüfungsgesellschaft
Greece	PricewaterhouseCoopers Auditing Company SA
Hungary	PricewaterhouseCoopers Könyvvizsgáló Kft.
Iceland	PricewaterhouseCoopers ehf
Ireland	PricewaterhouseCoopers

Member State	Name of firm
Ireland	PricewaterhouseCoopers (Northern Ireland) LLP
Italy	PricewaterhouseCoopers SpA
Latvia	PricewaterhouseCoopers SIA
Liechtenstein	PricewaterhouseCoopers GmbH, Ruggell
Lithuania	PricewaterhouseCoopers UAB
Luxembourg	PricewaterhouseCoopers, Société coopérative
Malta	PricewaterhouseCoopers
Netherlands	PricewaterhouseCoopers Accountants N.V.
Norway	PricewaterhouseCoopers AS
Poland	PricewaterhouseCoopers Polska sp. z. o.o.
Poland	PricewaterhouseCoopers Polska spółka z ograniczoną odpowiedzialnością Audyt sp. k.
Portugal	PricewaterhouseCoopers & Associados - Sociedade de Revisores Oficiais de Contas, Lda
Romania	PricewaterhouseCoopers Audit S.R.L.
Slovakia (Slovak Republic)	PricewaterhouseCoopers Slovensko, s.r.o.
Slovenia	PricewaterhouseCoopers d.o.o.
Spain	PricewaterhouseCoopers Auditores, S.L.
Sweden	PricewaterhouseCoopers AB
Sweden	Öhrlings PricewaterhouseCoopers AB

08

Legal and governance structure



Legal and governance structure

Legal structure and ownership of PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd. (Bermuda)

PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd. (Bermuda) is a limited company, incorporated under the laws of Bermuda and is wholly owned by certain of its directors who have equal voting rights and are generally referred to as partners. The firm is based solely in Bermuda and provides Assurance, Advisory and Tax and Legal Services. As of 30 June 2026, there were 14 partners.

Governance structure of PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd. (Bermuda)

PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd. (Bermuda) has a shareholder agreement and other documents which together set out in appropriate detail the firm's governance structure. Management and governance of the firm is exercised through the committee of directors ["the Committee"] which is chaired by the Territory Leader. The Committee considers and oversees a wide range of issues for the firm such as risk, strategy, values, reputation, people matters including health and wellbeing, technology and culture. Ultimate responsibility and accountability for the system of quality management is assigned to the Territory Leader and Assurance Leader, who assign operational responsibility for the system of quality management to appropriately experienced individuals who have the required knowledge, influence and authority. The firm has also established an Assurance Risk and Quality Committee which has the responsibility for monitoring matters related to assurance quality and compliance. This committee comprises the Assurance Leader, the Risk Management Partner, the Partner Responsible for Independence (PRI) and other representatives from the management team.

Remuneration

Partners are remunerated out of profits of the firm and its affiliated entities. Audit partners are not permitted to be incentivised, evaluated or remunerated for the selling of non-audit services to their audit clients. The final allocation and distribution of profit to individual partners is determined once their performance (including consideration of the results of any internal and external quality reviews and other key compliance indicators contained in the firm's Recognition and Accountability Framework) has been assessed and the annual financial reporting process been completed. Profits are allocated to partners based on individual personal plans which outline an individual's contribution to the firm. Each partner is required to complete a detailed plan in advance of each financial year end, and a self-assessment against plan is completed at the end of the year. Personal plans focus on a wide range of performance criteria, including risk and quality objectives which are designed to ensure that all partners deliver quality service while maintaining both their independence and objectivity.

EU audit clients and financial information

The EU/EEA Public Interest Entities (defined as those incorporated outside of the EU/EEA that have transferable securities listed on a EU/EEA regulated market) for which we carried out a statutory audit during the year ended 30 June 2026 are set out below:

Amsterdam:	
• HAL Trust	

Further to Article 13(2)(k), the financial information of PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd. (Bermuda) for the period ended 30 June 2026 is as follows:

	FY26
Audit revenues earned from clients that had securities admitted to trading on an EU regulated market	0.3%
Audit revenues earned from all other audit clients	53.1%
Non-audit services revenues earned from clients that had securities admitted to trading on an EU regulated market	0.1%
Non-audit services revenues earned from all other clients	46.5%
Total revenue	100%



09

Endnotes



Endnotes

1. Partner and staff survey results include the responses from the most recent Global People Survey results for the year.
2. The total number of internal inspections and related compliance rate includes the results of all audits and reviews of financial statements and other related service engagements under ISQM 1. Results include inspection results of the firm's issuer and non-issuer PCAOB engagements to the extent these are subject to inspection.
3. The number of real time assurance reviews includes the results of all audits and reviews of financial statements and other related service engagements under ISQM 1. Results include inspection results of the firm's issuer and non-issuer PCAOB engagements to the extent these are subject to review.
4. The number of audit quality reviews and related compliance rate by local regulators includes all audits and reviews of financial statements and other related service engagements under ISQM 1. Results include inspection results of the firm's issuer and non-issuer PCAOB engagements to the extent these are subject to inspection.
5. Average structured training hours by grade and the total hours completed are calculated for the firm's partners and staff who provide assurance services. The averages are computed using the training hours assigned in the firm's learning management system for all completed training during the financial year.
6. The leveraged ratio of audit-related hours for audit team members is calculated using time recorded by the firm's partners, staff, contractors, specialists and acceleration centre staff for the financial year.





We have prepared this Transparency Report, in respect of the financial year ended 30 June 2026, in accordance with the requirements of Article 13 of the EU regulation No. 537/2014.

PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd. is referred to throughout this report as “the firm”, “PwC”, “we”, “our” and “us”.

© 2026 PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd. All rights reserved. PwC refers to the Bermuda member firm and may sometimes refer to the PwC network. Each member firm is a separate legal entity. Please see www.pwc.com/structure for further details.