

Paying Taxes 2017



Now including a
new measure: the
post-filing index.



Contents

Chapter 1

World Bank Group commentary

18

Chapter 1 – World Bank Group commentary





Executive summary

Up until *Doing Business 2016*, the *Paying Taxes* indicator measured the cost of complying with tax obligations up to the filing of tax returns and the payment of taxes due. Filing the return with the tax authority, however, does not imply agreement with the final tax liability. Post-filing processes – such as claiming a value-added tax (VAT) refund, undergoing a tax audit or appealing a tax assessment – can be the most challenging interactions that a business has with a tax authority. *Doing Business 2017* expands the *Paying Taxes* sub-indicators to include a new measure on post-filing.

Doing Business data shows that OECD high-income economies process VAT refunds the most efficiently with an average of 14.4 weeks to reimburse the VAT refund. Economies in Europe and Central Asia also perform well with an average refund time of 16 weeks.

On average, businesses spend six hours correcting an error in an income tax return and preparing any additional documents, submitting the files and making additional payment. Even following immediate voluntary notification by the taxpayer, in 74 economies an error in the income tax return is likely to trigger an audit. In 38 economies this error will lead to a comprehensive audit of the tax return.¹¹

OECD high-income economies as well as Europe and Central Asia economies have the easiest and simplest processes in place to correct a minor mistake in the corporate income tax return.

An internal administrative review process should be based on a transparent legal framework. This process should be independent and resolve disputes in a timely manner.

¹¹ Comprehensive audits are those which are more extensive than limited scope audits or single issue audits.

Why does Paying Taxes include a post-filing index?

Taxes are important to the proper functioning of an economy. They are the main source of federal, state and local government revenues used to fund health care, education, public transport, unemployment benefits and pensions, among others. While the size of the tax cost imposed on businesses has implications for their ability to invest and grow, the efficiency of the tax administration system is also critical for businesses.¹² A low cost of tax compliance and efficient tax-related procedures are advantageous for firms. Overly complicated tax systems are associated with high levels of tax evasion, large informal sectors, more corruption and less investment.¹³ Tax compliance systems should be designed so as not to discourage businesses from participating in the formal economy.

Modern tax systems seek to optimise tax collections while minimising administrative and taxpayer compliance costs. The most cost-effective tax collection systems are those that encourage the vast majority of taxpayers to meet their tax obligations voluntarily, thereby allowing tax officials to concentrate their efforts on non-compliant taxpayers and other services provided by tax administrations.¹⁴ Taxpayers are more likely to comply voluntarily when a tax administration has established a transparent system that is regarded by taxpayers as being honest and fair.

Total tax compliance costs include all major transactions that generate external costs to the taxpayer. Up until *Doing Business 2016*, the *Paying Taxes* indicator set measured only the cost of complying with tax obligations up until the filing of tax returns and the payment of taxes due. However, filing the tax return with the tax authority does not imply agreement with the final tax liability. Post-filing processes – such as claiming a value-added tax refund, undergoing a tax audit or appealing a tax assessment – can be the most challenging interactions that a business has with a tax authority.

Doing Business 2017 expands the *Paying Taxes* indicators to include a new measure of the time businesses spend complying with two post-filing processes: claiming a VAT refund and correcting a mistake in the corporate income tax return. This case study examines these two post-filing procedures across 190 economies and shows where post-filing processes and practices work efficiently and what drives the differences in the overall tax compliance cost across economies. This case study also includes a section on the structure of a first level administrative appeal process. The data on first level administrative appeal process is not included in the distance to frontier score for *Paying Taxes*.

Taxpayers are more likely to comply voluntarily when a tax administration has established a transparent system that is regarded by taxpayers as being honest and fair.

¹² For more on the World Bank Enterprise Surveys, see the website at <http://www.enterprisesurveys.org>.

¹³ Djankov, Simeon, Tim Ganser, Caralee McLiesh, Rita Ramalho and Andrei Shleifer. 2010. "The Effect of Corporate Taxes on Investment and Entrepreneurship." *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics* 2 (3): 31-64.

¹⁴ IMF (International Monetary Fund). 2015a. "Current Challenges in Revenue Mobilization: Improving Tax Compliance." IMF Staff Report. IMF: Washington DC.

Why does the post-filing index look at VAT refunds?

The VAT refund is an integral component of a modern VAT system. A discussion of the types of consumption tax systems is available in Chapter 4. In principle, the statutory incidence of VAT is on the final consumer, not on businesses. According to tax policy guidelines set out by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) a value-added tax system should be neutral and efficient.¹⁵ Some businesses will incur more VAT on their purchases than they collect on their taxable sales in a given tax period and therefore should be entitled to claim the difference from the tax authorities. When businesses incur VAT which is not refunded at all – or reclaimed with delays and large compliance costs – then the principles of neutrality and efficiency are undermined. This alters the nature of VAT by effectively making it a tax on production. Any tax that cannot be recovered by the business could have a distortionary effect on market prices and competition and consequently constrain economic growth.¹⁶

Refund processes can be a major weakness of VAT systems. This was the finding of a study that examined the VAT administration refund mechanism in 36 economies around the world.¹⁷ Even in economies where refund procedures are in place, businesses often find the complexity of the process challenging. The study examined the tax authorities' treatment of excess VAT credits, the size of refund claims, the procedures followed by refund claimants and the time needed for the tax authorities to process refunds. The results showed that statutory time limits for making refunds are crucial but often not applied in practice.

Most VAT systems allow credit to be carried-forward for a specific period of time and offset against future net liabilities to reduce the number of refunds processed. The rationale is that excess VAT credits in one tax period would be followed by periods when net liabilities would absorb the credit brought forward, especially for businesses producing and selling in the domestic market. A refund is paid only if an amount of excess credit remains to be recovered by the taxpayer at the end of the carry-forward period. Some systems also allow a VAT credit in a given tax period to be offset against other current tax liabilities such as income tax. While the option of carry-forward is allowed in most VAT systems, it is good practice for economies to put in place an adequate VAT refund system. Because considerable differences in the efficiency of processing VAT cash refunds exist between economies, the *Paying Taxes* indicators focus on assessing VAT refund systems.

The International Monetary Fund's (IMF) Tax Administration Diagnostic Assessment Tool (TADAT) provides an integrated monitoring framework to measure the performance of an economy's tax administration system across different functions, including the adequacy of its VAT refund system. It does this by measuring the time taken to pay (or offset) refunds.¹⁸

Like any tax, VAT is prone to fraud and its refund mechanism may be open to abuse by taxpayers.¹⁹ Delays in processing refunds, therefore, may be the result of concerns over potential fraud. Even when claims reach the finance division responsible for approving them and making payment, there can be delays in transmission. Additional procedural checks at this stage – prompted by a fear of the system being abused – are common.

In some economies a claim for a VAT refund can automatically trigger a costly audit, undermining the overall effectiveness of the system.²⁰ Effective audit programs and VAT refund payment systems are inextricably linked. Tax audits (direct and indirect) vary in their scope and complexity, ranging from a full audit – which typically entails a comprehensive examination of all information relevant to the calculation of a taxpayer's tax liability in a given period – to a limited scope audit that is restricted to specific issues on the tax return or a single issue audit that is limited to one item.²¹

^{15,16} OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development). 2014. "International VAT/GST Guidelines." Global Forum on VAT. 17-18 April. OECD, Paris. Available at: <http://drtp.ca/wp-content/uploads/2015/09/oecd-international-vat-gst-guidelines.pdf>.

¹⁷ Harrison, Graham and Russell Krelove. 2005. "VAT Refunds: A Review of Country Experience," IMF Working Paper 05/218, International Monetary Fund, Washington, DC..

¹⁸ For more information on the Tax Administration Diagnostic Assessment Tool (TADAT), see the website at <http://www.tadat.org/>.

¹⁹ Keen, Michael, and Stephen Smith. 2007. "VAT Fraud and Evasion: What Do We Know, and What Can Be Done?" IMF Working Paper 07/31, International Monetary Fund, Washington, DC.

²⁰ Harrison, Graham and Russell Krelove. 2005. "VAT Refunds: A Review of Country Experience," IMF Working Paper 05/218, International Monetary Fund, Washington, DC.

²¹ OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development). 2006. "Strengthening Tax Audit Capabilities: General Principles and Approaches." OECD, Center for Tax Policy and Administration, Paris, France: OECD.

The VAT refund scenario for *Paying Taxes*

The transactions that lead to substantial VAT refund claims typically include exports, capital expenses, extraordinary losses and start-up operations.²² Through its *Paying Taxes* indicators, *Doing Business* measures the efficiency of VAT refunds by analysing the case of capital expenses.

The *Doing Business* case study company, TaxpayerCo, is a domestic business that does not participate in foreign trade. It performs a general industrial and commercial activity in the domestic market and is in its second year of operation. TaxpayerCo. meets the VAT threshold for registration and its monthly sales and operating expenses are fixed throughout the year resulting in a positive output VAT payable to the tax authorities within each accounting period. The case study scenario has been expanded to include a capital purchase of a machine in the month of June; this substantial capital expenditure results in input VAT exceeding output VAT in the month of June.

Availability of VAT refunds to TaxpayerCo.

In principle, when input VAT exceeds output VAT the amount should be paid as a refund to a registered business within the time period stipulated in the legislation. In practice, however, only 93 of the economies covered by *Doing Business* allow for a VAT cash refund in this scenario, as shown in Figure 2. Some economies restrict the right to receive an immediate cash refund to specific types of taxpayers such as exporters, embassies and non-profit organisations.

This is the case in 43 economies including Belarus, Bolivia, Colombia, the Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Kazakhstan, Kenya, Mali and the Philippines. In Ecuador, VAT refunds are limited to exporters, embassies, diplomatic missions, some specific non-government entities and international cargo companies. In Armenia, cash refunds are only allowed when zero-rated VAT transactions (primarily exports) exceed 20% of all transactions.


In some economies businesses are only allowed to claim a cash refund after rolling over the excess credit for a specified period of time (for example, four months). The net VAT balance is refunded to the business only when this period ends. This is the case in 21 economies included in *Doing Business*.²³ In Albania, Azerbaijan, Cambodia, The Gambia, Lesotho, Malawi and St. Lucia, businesses must carry forward the excess input VAT for three months before a cash refund can be given. In other economies – typically those with a weaker administrative or financial capacity to handle cash refunds – the legislation may not permit refunds outright. Instead, tax authorities require businesses to carry forward the claim and offset the excess amount against future output VAT. This is the case in Grenada, Guinea-Bissau, Sudan and República Bolivariana de Venezuela. In these two groups of economies it is common to make exceptions for exporters in relation to domestic supply. Twenty-eight economies do not levy VAT.

Some economies restrict the right to receive an immediate cash refund to specific types of taxpayers.

In some economies businesses are only allowed to claim a cash refund after rolling over the excess credit for a specified period of time.

Figure 2

Availability of VAT refunds to TaxpayerCo (number of economies)



Note: In Taiwan, China a refund is available to the case study company even though there are restrictions as to the type of company that can claim a refund.
Source: *Doing Business* database

²² The key point for exports is that the supplies are taxable but zero-rated as they are taxed at the destination economy leading to input VAT being offset against zero output VAT. The notion of claiming a VAT refund immediately for substantial capital expenditure in an accounting period is that the recoverable amount of input VAT in that period could be large and result in excess input tax credit or a refund claim for the period. Extraordinary events – such as fire, flood or seasonal trends – may lower sales activities over periods of time or even halt sales while the business continues filing regular VAT returns. Lastly, new businesses would register for VAT based on the sales that they expect to make even before they start making actual sales. This means that new businesses could offset input VAT on start-up expenses against a minimal output VAT resulting in a VAT refund claim.

²³ These economies are Albania; Antigua and Barbuda; Azerbaijan; Bulgaria; Cambodia; Dominica; The Gambia; Guyana; Jordan; Kiribati; Lesotho; Malawi; Nepal; Pakistan; Seychelles; St. Kitts and Nevis; St. Lucia; Tanzania; Tonga; Tunisia; and Vietnam.

Complying with a VAT cash refund

In 68 of the 93 economies that allow for VAT cash refunds (as in the *Doing Business* case scenario) the legal framework includes a time limit to repay the VAT refund starting from the moment the refund was requested. As shown in Figure 3, these time limits are always applied in practice in only 29 economies (21 of these economies are high-income economies). In only 28 of the 93 economies, a claim for a VAT refund does not ordinarily lead to an audit being conducted.²⁴

In 46 economies the VAT refund due is calculated and requested within the standard VAT return, which is submitted for each accounting period and without additional work. The main purpose of filing a VAT return is to provide a summary of the output and input VAT activities that result in the net VAT payable or due (as credit or refund). For these economies the compliance time to prepare and request a VAT refund is minimal because it simply requires ticking a box. Twenty-one of these economies are OECD high-income economies. Furthermore eight of the 14 economies where taxpayers will not face an audit – and therefore will not spend additional time complying with the requirements of the auditor – are OECD high-income economies. This partly explains the average low compliance time in the region (Figure 4).

In Germany, the Republic of Korea and the Netherlands, taxpayers request a VAT refund by simply ticking a box on the standard VAT return. Taxpayers do not need to submit any additional documents to substantiate the claim and it is unlikely that this specific case study scenario of a domestic capital purchase would trigger an audit. In all three economies, the standard VAT return is submitted electronically.

However, some economies require businesses to file a separate application, letter or form for a VAT refund or to complete a specific section in the VAT return as well as to prepare some additional documentation to substantiate the claim (for example, the contract with the supplier of the machine). This is the case in Azerbaijan, Bangladesh, Costa Rica, Cyprus, Mexico, Senegal, St. Lucia and Sweden, among others. In these economies businesses spend on average 5.2 hours gathering the required information, calculating the claim and preparing the refund application and other documentation before submitting them to the relevant authority.

The requirements in these cases vary from simply completing a specific section of the standard VAT return to submitting a specific refund application. In Switzerland, for example, taxpayers would need to complete a section of the VAT return. It takes taxpayers in Switzerland 1.5 hours to gather the necessary information from internal sources and to complete the relevant section. The VAT return is submitted electronically. In Moldova, however, taxpayers must submit a specific VAT refund form and it is highly likely that a field audit would be triggered by the refund request.

46


In 46 economies the VAT refund due is calculated and requested within the standard VAT return.

28

In only 28 of the 93 economies where a VAT refund is available, does a claim for a VAT refund not ordinarily lead to an audit being conducted.

Figure 3

Legal time limits where VAT cash refunds are available (number of economies)



Source: *Doing Business* database

Figure 4

Complying with VAT refund processes is most challenging in Latin America and the Caribbean, followed closely by Sub-Saharan Africa



Source: *Doing Business* database

Note: South Asia is not included in the figure because VAT refunds are only available in one economy (Bangladesh)

²⁴ These economies are Austria; Barbados; Belize; Costa Rica; Croatia; Cyprus; Ethiopia; Finland; France; Germany; the Islamic Republic of Iran; Ireland; the Republic of Korea; Latvia; Lithuania; Malta; Netherlands; New Zealand; Papua New Guinea; Portugal; Samoa; Seychelles; Slovenia; Spain; Sweden; Switzerland; Taiwan, China; and the Republic of Yemen.

Completing a VAT refund process

A request for a VAT cash refund is likely to trigger an audit in 65 economies covered by *Doing Business*. As a general rule the refunds are paid upon completion of the audit and not at the end of the statutory period. This adds time and costs for businesses to comply with auditor requests and the payment of the cash refund is further delayed. Businesses in these economies spend on average 14.7 hours complying with the requirements of the auditor in terms of document preparation, engage in several rounds of interactions with the auditor that last on average 7.9 weeks and wait an additional 5.6 weeks until the final audit decision is made. As shown in Figure 5, of the 65 economies, businesses are likely to undergo a field audit in 34, a correspondence audit in 22 and an office audit in nine. Businesses subjected to a field audit would spend on average an additional 7 hours complying with the auditor's requirements compared to businesses subjected to a correspondence audit.

In Canada, Denmark, Estonia and Norway the request for a VAT refund is likely to trigger a correspondence audit, which requires less interaction with the auditor and less paperwork. By contrast, in most of the economies in Sub-Saharan Africa where an audit is likely to take place, taxpayers are exposed to a field audit in which the auditor visits the premises of the taxpayer. This is the case in Botswana, The Gambia, Malawi, Niger, Zambia and Zimbabwe.

The OECD high-income economies process VAT refunds most efficiently with an average of 14.4 weeks to reimburse a VAT refund (including some economies where an audit is likely to be conducted). Economies in Europe and Central Asia also perform well with an average refund processing time of 16 weeks (Figure 6). This implies that those economies provide refunds in a manner that is less likely to expose businesses to unnecessary administrative costs and detrimental cash flow impacts.

From the moment a taxpayer submits a VAT refund request in Austria, it takes only one week for the tax authority to issue a refund. And it is unlikely that the request would trigger an audit. The refund is processed electronically through online banking. In Estonia, despite the fact that the claim for a VAT refund per the case scenario is highly likely to trigger a correspondence audit, the process is efficient. The VAT refund is reimbursed in 1.7 weeks on average assuming the refund is approved. This includes the time spent by the taxpayer engaging with the auditor and the time waiting until the final tax assessment is issued.

65


A request for a VAT cash refund is likely to trigger an audit in 65 economies.

7

Businesses subjected to a field audit would spend on average an additional 7 hours complying with the auditor's requirements compared to businesses subjected to a correspondence audit.

Figure 5

Types of audit triggered by a VAT refund (number of economies)



Source: *Doing Business* database

Figure 6

Time to obtain VAT refund (weeks) – the process of obtaining a VAT refund is most efficient in OECD high-income economies



Source: *Doing Business* database

Note: South Asia is not included in the figure because VAT refunds are only available in one economy (Bangladesh)

The experience in economies in other regions is less favourable. Obtaining a VAT refund in Latin America & the Caribbean takes on average 35 weeks. In the Middle East & North Africa and Sub-Saharan Africa it takes on average 28.8 and 27.5 weeks, respectively, to obtain a VAT refund. The sample for Latin America & the Caribbean includes only nine economies (the other economies do not allow for VAT cash refund per the case study scenario). The Middle East & North Africa sample consists of only six economies as most economies in the region do not levy any type of consumption tax. However, in Sub-Saharan Africa the story is different: the refund waiting time is longer because in most of the economies in the region where cash refund is allowed, taxpayers are likely to be audited before the refund is approved.

Why are some refund processes more efficient?

The efficiency of the VAT refund process in OECD high-income economies is partly attributable to the commitment of all OECD members to apply the OECD International VAT Guidelines.²⁵ Furthermore, the binding nature of the 2010 European Union (EU) Directives on VAT implementation ensures that refunds are processed fully and efficiently.

A major determinant of the ability of revenue authorities to provide good standards of service for the repayment of VAT refund claims is the availability and use of modern electronic services (such as electronic filing, pre-population and

direct crediting of VAT refunds). VAT refunds are paid electronically in only 30 economies covered by *Doing Business*. Delays in VAT refund payments may arise if, for example, the finance division that is tasked with checking and approving the claim is forced to make additional procedural checks to guard against fraud before payment is made.²⁶

Laws provide for interest to be paid on late VAT refunds by the tax authorities in 70 economies covered by *Doing Business*. However, the payment of interest is always applied in practice in only 32 economies. The prescribed interest period typically begins when the tax authority fails to refund VAT within the prescribed statutory deadlines.

There is a positive correlation between the time to comply with a VAT refund process and the time to comply with filing the standard VAT return and payment of VAT liabilities (Figure 7). This suggests that spending time up front to comply with the requirements of the tax system does not necessarily translate into an easier time post-filing. Indeed, in economies with tax systems that are more difficult to comply with when filing taxes, the entire process is more likely to be challenging.


30

VAT refunds are paid electronically in only 30 economies.

In economies with tax systems that are more difficult to comply with when filing taxes, the entire process is more likely to be challenging.

Figure 7

Economies with complex VAT post-filing processes also tend to have high compliance times for VAT pre-filing



Source: *Doing Business* database

²⁵ OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development). 2014. "International VAT/GST Guidelines." Global Forum on VAT. 17-18 April. OECD, Paris. Available at: <http://drtp.ca/wp-content/uploads/2015/09/oecd-international-vat-gst-guidelines.pdf>.

²⁶ Child, David. 2008. "VAT Administration: Addressing Private Sector Concerns." In *VAT in Africa*, edited by Richard Krever. Pretoria: Pretoria University Law Press.

Why does the post-filing index include corporate income tax audits?

A tax audit is one of the most sensitive interactions between a taxpayer and a tax authority. Although tax audits have a role in ensuring tax compliance, they impose a burden on the taxpayer to a greater or lesser extent depending on the number and type of interactions (field visit by the auditor or office visit by the taxpayer) and the level of documentation requested by the auditor. It is therefore essential that the right legal framework is in place to ensure integrity in the way tax authorities carry out audits.²⁷ Additionally, an audit must have defined start and end points and the taxpayer must be notified once the audit process is completed.

A risk-based approach takes into consideration different aspects of a business such as historical compliance, industry characteristics, debt-credit ratios for VAT-registered businesses and firm size. Characteristics of firms are also used to better assess which businesses are most prone to tax evasion. One study showed that data-mining techniques for auditing, regardless of the technique, captured more noncompliant taxpayers than random audits.²⁸ In a risk-based approach the exact criteria used to capture noncompliant firms, however, should be concealed to prevent taxpayers from purposefully planning how to avoid detection and to allow for a degree of uncertainty to drive voluntary compliance.²⁹ Most economies have risk assessment systems in place to select companies for tax audits and the basis on which these companies are selected is not disclosed. Despite being a post-filing procedure, audit strategies set by tax authorities can have a fundamental impact on the way businesses file and pay taxes.

The CIT audit scenario for Paying Taxes

To analyse audits of direct taxes, a supplementary *Doing Business* case study scenario was created to assume that TaxpayerCo. made a simple error in the calculation of its income tax liability, leading to an incorrect corporate income tax return and consequently an underpayment of income tax liability due. TaxpayerCo. discovered the error and voluntarily notified the tax authority.

Correcting a CIT return and complying with an audit

In all economies that levy corporate income tax – only 10 out of 190 do not – taxpayers can notify the authorities of the error, submit an amended return and any additional documentation (typically a letter explaining the error and, in some cases, amended financial statements) and pay the difference immediately. On average, businesses spend six hours preparing the amended return and any additional documents, submitting the files and making payment.

In 74 economies – even following immediate notification by the taxpayer – the error in the income tax return is likely to trigger an audit. On average taxpayers will spend 24.7 hours complying with the requirements of the auditor, spend 10.6 weeks going through several rounds of interactions with the auditor and wait 6.7 weeks for the auditor to issue the final decision on the tax assessment.

6

On average, businesses spend six hours preparing the amended return and any additional documents, submitting the files and making payment.

24.7

On average taxpayers will spend 24.7 hours complying with the requirements of the auditor, spend 10.6 weeks going through several rounds of interactions with the auditor and wait 6.7 weeks.

²⁷ OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development). 2006. "Strengthening Tax Audit Capabilities: General Principles and Approaches." OECD, Center for Tax Policy and Administration, Paris, France: OECD.

²⁸ Gupta, M., and V. Nagadevara. 2007. "Audit Selection Strategy for Improving Tax Compliance—Application of Data Mining Techniques." In *Foundations of E-government*, edited by A. Agarwal and V. Venkata Ramana. Hyderabad, India: Computer Society of India.

²⁹ Alm, James and Michael McKee. 2006. "Audit Certainty, Audit Productivity, and Taxpayer Compliance." Andrew Young School of Policy Studies Research Paper 2006-43. Available at Social Science Research Network (SSRN). <http://ssrn.com/abstract=897341>. Khwaja, Munawer Sultan, Rajul Awasthi and Jan Loeprick. 2011. *Risk-Based Tax Audits: Approaches and Country Experiences*. Washington DC: World Bank

In 38 economies this error will lead to a comprehensive audit of the income tax return, requiring that additional time be spent by businesses. And in the majority of cases the auditor will visit the taxpayer's premises. OECD high-income economies as well as Europe & Central Asia economies have the easiest and simplest processes in place to correct a minor mistake in the income tax return (Figure 8). A mistake in the income tax return does not automatically trigger an audit by the tax authorities in 25 OECD high-income economies. Taxpayers need only to submit an amended return and, in some cases, additional documentation and pay the difference in balance of tax due. In Latin America & the Caribbean taxpayers suffer the most from a lengthy process to correct a minor mistake in an income tax return. In most cases this process will involve an audit imposing a waiting time on taxpayers until the final assessment is issued (Figure 9).


In Portugal and Estonia, taxpayers must only submit an amended tax return and make the necessary payment at the moment of submission.

It takes taxpayers half an hour to prepare the amended return and another half an hour to submit it electronically. The payment is also made online. In these economies, the case study scenario of a minor mistake in the income tax return is not likely to trigger an audit. In New Zealand, taxpayers must submit a specific voluntary disclosure form – which takes on average three hours to prepare – with the submission and payment being made electronically. Similarly, taxpayers are unlikely to be exposed to an audit in the case measured in *Doing Business*.

In Brazil, Honduras, Nicaragua and Peru the fact that taxpayers erroneously declared and underpaid their income tax liability would likely trigger a field audit by the tax authorities. In Peru taxpayers will undergo a comprehensive audit of all items on the income tax return, requiring interaction with the auditor for around six weeks and waiting an additional seven weeks for the auditor to issue the final assessment.

Figure 8


Correcting an income tax return is easiest in OECD high-income economies, followed closely by Europe & Central Asia economies



Source: *Doing Business* database

Figure 9

The audit time resulting from a simple mistake in an income tax return is the longest in Latin America & the Caribbean



Note: The averages include those economies where the correction is unlikely to trigger an audit and which therefore have an audit time of zero.

Source: *Doing Business* database

Administrative tax appeals

Tax disputes are common in any tax system. Disputes between a tax authority and taxpayers must be resolved in a fair, timely and efficient manner.³⁰ In the first instance, taxpayers should attempt to settle their final tax assessment with the tax authority. If a dispute continues, however, taxpayers should have the opportunity – within a prescribed period of time – to seek resolution from a special administrative appeal board or department. The creation of boards of appeal within tax administrations is considered by the OECD as an effective tool for addressing and resolving complaints and avoiding the overburdening of the courts.³¹ A serious backlog of tax cases threatens revenue collection.³²

Resolving tax disputes in a way that is independent, fast and fair is important. The IMF's TADAT tool also assesses the adequacy of tax dispute resolution by looking at whether an appropriately graduated mechanism of administrative and judicial review is available, whether the administrative review mechanism is independent of the audit process and whether information on the appeal process is published. An internal administrative review process must safeguard a taxpayer's right to challenge an assessment resulting from a tax audit. The process should be based on a legal framework that is known by taxpayers, is easily accessible and independent and resolves disputed matters in a timely manner. Internal reviews can be achieved through a separate appeals division, a senior official that does not directly supervise the original case auditor or a new auditor with no previous knowledge of the case. Operational manuals should be developed, decisions should be published and annual appeal statistics should be reported – helping to create a positive public perception of the tax administration's integrity.

Through the *Paying Taxes* indicators, *Doing Business* conducts research on what kind of first level administrative appeal process exists in an economy following a corporate income tax audit where a taxpayer disagrees with the tax authority's final decision. The data on first level administrative appeal process are not included in the distance to frontier score for *Paying Taxes*. In 123 economies the first level administrative appeal authority is an independent department within the tax office (Figure 10).

Appeal guidelines are available to taxpayers either through a printed publication, online or in person at the tax office in the 171 of the 180 economies covered by *Doing Business* that levy corporate income tax. In 102 economies the legal framework imposes timeframes on the taxpayer and the appeal authority for each stage of the appeal process. In only 47 economies, however, respondents reported that the time limits are consistently applied in practice.


In Chile a taxpayer can appeal to the regional director of the Chilean Internal Revenue Service (SII) following a corporate income tax audit where the taxpayer disagrees with the tax authority's final decision. Guidelines on how to appeal the decision and the timeframe to conclude the process are easily accessible to the public through the SII's website. By law, the Chilean Tax Code sets a time limit of 50 days for the SII's regional director to issue a decision on the appeal. This time limit is applied in practice.

47

In only 47 economies, however, respondents reported that the time limits for appeals are consistently applied in practice.

Figure 10

Most economies have an independent department within the tax office for taxpayer appeals (number of economies)



Source: *Doing Business* database

³⁰ Thuronyi, Victor. 2003. "How Can an Excessive Volume of Tax Disputes Be Dealt With?" Legal Department, International Monetary Fund, Washington, DC.

³¹ OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development). 2010. "Chapter 6: Compliance, Enforcement, Appeals." In *Better Regulation in Europe*. Paris, France: OECD.

³² Gordon, Richard. 1996. "Chapter 4: Law of Tax Administration and Procedure." In *Tax Law Design and Drafting*, edited by Victor Thuronyi. Washington, DC: International Monetary Fund.

Conclusion

Little is known about the tax compliance cost of post-filing procedures. This analysis is therefore intended to generate new research to better understand firms' decisions and the dynamics in developing economies, to highlight which processes and practices work – and which do not – and, eventually, to induce governments to reform and enhance their post-filing processes.

The new indicator on the adequacy of post-filing processes provides policy makers who are dealing with the challenge of designing an optimal tax system with a broader dataset that allows them to benchmark their economy against others on the administrative burden of complying with post-filing procedures.

This analysis is intended to generate new research to better understand firms' decisions and the dynamics in developing economies.

Authors

This case study was written by Emily Jane Bourke, Joanna Nasr, Nadia Novik and Rodrigo A. Sarmiento de Beires.



World Bank Group Paying Taxes team

Rita Ramalho
Joanna Nasr
Nadia Novik
Emily Jane Bourke
Rodrigo A. Sarmento de Beires
Edgar Chavez

PwC Paying Taxes team

Neville Howlett
Tom Dane
Gwendolin Chau
Sopheaktra Meas

The Total Tax Rate included in the survey by the World Bank Group has been calculated using the broad principles of the PwC methodology. The application of these principles by the World Bank Group has not been verified, validated or audited by PwC, and therefore, PwC cannot make any representations or warranties with regard to the accuracy of the information generated by the World Bank Group's models. In addition, the World Bank Group has not verified, validated or audited any information collected by PwC beyond the scope of *Doing Business Paying Taxes* data, and therefore, the World Bank Group cannot make any representations or warranties with regard to the accuracy of the information generated by PwC's own research.

The World Bank Group's *Doing Business* tax ranking indicator includes three components in addition to the Total Tax Rate. These estimate compliance costs by looking at hours spent on tax work, the number of tax payments made in a tax year, and evaluate and score certain post-filing compliance processes. These calculations do not follow any PwC methodology but do attempt to provide data which is consistent with the tax compliance cost aspect of the PwC Total Tax Contribution framework.

At PwC, our purpose is to build trust in society and solve important problems. We're a network of firms in 157 countries with more than 223,000 people who are committed to delivering quality in assurance, advisory and tax services. Find out more and tell us what matters to you by visiting us at www.pwc.com.

This content is for general information purposes only, and should not be used as a substitute for consultation with professional advisors. No representation or warranty (express or implied) is given as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in this publication, and, to the extent permitted by law, neither PwC nor the World Bank Group accept or assume any liability, responsibility or duty of care for any consequences of anyone acting, or refraining to act, in reliance on the information contained in this publication or for any decision based on it. The World Bank Group does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this work. The boundaries, colours, denominations, and other information shown on any map in this work do not imply any judgment on the part of the World Bank Group concerning the legal status of any territory or the endorsement or acceptance of such boundaries. The findings, interpretations, and conclusions expressed herein are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the World Bank Group and its Boards of Executive Directors or the governments they represent.

This publication may be copied and disseminated in its entirety, retaining all featured logos, names, copyright notice and disclaimers. Extracts from this publication may be copied and disseminated, including publication in other documentation, provided always that the said extracts are duly referenced, that the extract is clearly identified as such and that a source notice is used as follows: for extracts from any section of this publication except Chapter One, use the source notice: "© 2016 PwC. All rights reserved. Extract from "Paying Taxes 2017" publication, available on www.pwc.com/payingtaxes". For extracts from Chapter One only, use the source notice: "© 2016 The World Bank and International Finance Corporation. All rights reserved. Extract from "Paying Taxes 2017" publication, available on www.pwc.com/payingtaxes".

All other queries on rights and licenses should be addressed to World Bank Publications, The World Bank, 1818 H Street NW, Washington, DC 20433, USA; fax: 202- 522-2625; e-mail: pubrights@worldbank.org.

© 2016 PwC, the World Bank and International Finance Corporation. All rights reserved. PwC refers to the PwC network and/or one or more of its member firms, each of which is a separate legal entity. The World Bank refers to the legally separate but affiliated international organizations: International Bank for Reconstruction and Development and International Development Association.

11/16. Design Services 30038.

