ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE COMMERCIAL LIST

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF PCAS PATIENT CARE AUTOMATION SERVICES INC.
AND 2163279 ONTARIO INC. (the "Applicants")

APPLICATION UNDER THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

MOTION RECORD

(returnable May 7, 2012)

Date: May 7, 2012

AIRD & BERLIS LLP

Barristers & Solicitors Brookfield Place 181 Bay Street, Suite 1800 Toronto, **O**N M5J 2T9

Sam Babe (LSUC # 49498B)

Tel: 416.865.7718

Fax: 416.863.1515

Email: sbabe@airdberlis.com

Ian Aversa (LSUC # 55449N)

Tel: 416.865.3082

Fax: 416.863.1515

Email: iaversa@airdberlis.com

Lawyers for the Applicants

SERVICE LIST

(current as of April 16, 2012)

TO:

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS INC.

PwC Tower

18 York Street, Suite 2600 Toronto, ON M5J 0B2

Attention: Paul van Eyk / Tracey Weaver

Tel: (416) 687-8101

Fax: (416) 814-3210

Email: <u>paul.vaneyk@ca.pwc.com</u> / <u>tracey.weaver@ca.pwc.com</u>

Monitor

AND TO:

OSLER, HOSKIN & HARCOURT LLP

1 First Canadian Place

100 King Street West, Suite 6100

Toronto, ON M5X 1B8

Attention: Marc Wasserman / Patrick Riesterer

Tel: (416) 862-4908 / (416) 862-5947

Fax: (416) 862-6666

Email: mwasserman@osler.com / priesterer@osler.com

Lawyers for the Monitor

AND TO:

GOODMANS LLP

Bay Adelaide Centre

333 Bay Street, Suite 3400

Toronto, ON M5H 2S7

Attention: Derek Bulas

Tel: (416) 597-5914

Fax: (416) 979.1234

Email: dbulas@goodmans.ca

Lawyers for Castcan Investments Inc.

AND TO: MINDEN GROSS LLP

145 King Street West, Suite 2200

Toronto, ON M5H 4G2

Attention: Kenneth Kallish / Raymond Slattery

Tel: (416) 369-4124 / (416) 369-4149

Fax: (416) 864-9923

Email: <u>kkallish@mindengross.com</u> / <u>rslattery@mindengross.com</u>

Lawyers for Royal Bank of Canada

AND TO: GRUNDY, CASS & CAMPBELL PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

Toronto-Dominion Centre

100 Wellington Street West, Suite 3150

Toronto, ON M5K 1A1

Attention: Douglas Grundy

Tel: (416) 849-8003

Fax: (416) 849-8004

Email: dgrundy@grundyeass.com

Lawyers for 2320714 Ontario Inc.

AND TO: THORNTON GROUT FINNIGAN LLP

Suite 3200, 100 Wellington Street West P.O. Box 329, Toronto-Dominion Centre

Toronto, ON M5K 1K7

Attention: Robert Thornton / Alana Shepherd

Tel: (416) 304-0560 / (416) 304-0597

Fax: (416) 304-1313

Email: rthornton@tgf.ca / ashepherd@tgf.ca

Lawyers for 2320714 Ontario Inc.

AND TO: MINDEN GROSS LLP

145 King Street West, Suite 2200

Toronto, ON M5H 4G2

Attention: Timothy Dunn

Tel: (416) 369-4335 Fax: (416) 864-9223

Email: tdunn@mindengross.com

Lawyers for 2725312 Canada Inc.

AND TO:

CAVALLUZZO HAYES SHILTON MCINTYRE & CORNISH LLP

474 Bathurst Street, Suite 300 Toronto, ON M5T 2S6

Attention: Michael D. Wright

Tel: (416) 964-5513 Fax: (416) 964-5895

Email: mwright@cavalluzzo.com

Lawyers for Ken Smith

12122303.3

INDEX

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE COMMERCIAL LIST

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF PCAS PATIENT CARE AUTOMATION SERVICES INC.
AND 2163279 ONTARIO INC. (the "Applicants")

APPLICATION UNDER THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TAB NO.
Notice of Motion	1
Draft Order	2
Affidavit of Loreto Grimaldi sworn May 7, 2012	3
Exhibit "A" – Updated Cash Flow Projections	A
Exhibit "B" – DIP Lender Letter	В

TAB 1

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE COMMERCIAL LIST

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF PCAS PATIENT CARE AUTOMATION SERVICES INC.
AND 2163279 ONTARIO INC. (the "Applicants")

APPLICATION UNDER THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

NOTICE OF MOTION (returnable May 7, 2012)

PCAS Patient Care Automation Services Inc. ("PCAS") and 2163279 Ontario Inc., doing business as Touchpoint ("Touchpoint" and, together with PCAS, the "Applicants") will make a motion to the Honourable Mr. Justice Brown on May 7, 2012 at <u>5:45 p.m.</u> or as soon after that time as the motion can be heard, at 330 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario.

PROPOSED METHOD OF HEARING: The motion is to be heard orally.

- 1. **THE MOTION IS FOR** an Order, among other things:
 - (a) abridging the time for service and filing of this notice of motion and the motion record and dispensing with further service thereof;
 - (b) approving the Fourth Report of PricewaterhouseCoopers Inc. ("PwC"), in its capacity as the Court-appointed monitor of the Applicants (in such capacity, the "Monitor") dated May 7, 2012 (the "Fourth Report") and approving the actions of the Monitor described therein;

- (c) extending the Stay Period (as defined in the Initial Order of the Honourable Mr. Justice Morawetz granted on March 23, 2012 in these proceedings (the "Initial Order")) to May 28, 2012;
- (d) increasing the amount the Applicants are currently authorized to borrow under a credit facility (the "DIP Facility") from 2320714 Ontario Inc. (the "DIP Lender") from \$4,525,000 to \$6,000,000;
- (e) enhancing the Monitor's power to include the power to market the business, assets, property and undertaking of the Applicants (the "Property"), and approving the Monitor's retention of its affiliate, PricewaterhouseCoopers Corporate Finance ("PWCF"), as its marketing agent;
- (f) approving a Second Amended and Restated DIP Loan Agreement (the "Second Amended and Restated DIP Loan Agreement"); and
- (g) such further and other relief as counsel may advise and this Honourable Court may permit.

2. THE GROUNDS FOR THE MOTION ARE:

- on March 23, 2012, the Applicants made an application under the *Companies'*Creditors Arrangement Act (the "CCAA") seeking court protection from their creditors, which was granted pursuant to the Initial Order;
- (b) pursuant to paragraph 3 of the Order of the Honourable Justice Brown made May 3, 2012 (the "May 3 Order"), the Stay Period was extended to May 8, 2012;
- (c) the Applicants require an extension of the Stay Period to May 28, 2012 in order to permit them to continue to take appropriate steps to maximize value for all of their creditors, including, without limitation, conducting a sale process (the "Sale Process");

- (d) the thirteen-week cash flow projection, produced in conjunction with the Monitor and attached as Exhibit "A" to the Affidavit of Loreto Grimaldi, sworn May 7, 2012, projects that the Applicants presently have sufficient funding to continue operating (including payment of all accrued wages) until May 28, 2012 and (subject to Court approval) to conduct the Sale Process during that period;
- (e) based on the information available, creditors of the Applicants will not be materially prejudiced by an extension of the Stay Period until May 28, 2012;
- (f) the Applicants have acted, and continue to act, in good faith and with due diligence, and circumstances exist that make granting an extension of the Stay Period appropriate;
- (g) pursuant to paragraph 31 of the Initial Order, as amended by the May 3 Order, the Applicants were authorized and empowered to obtain and borrow under the DIP Facility from the DIP Lender in order to finance the Applicants' working capital requirements and other general corporate purposes and capital expenditures, provided that borrowings under such credit facility did not exceed the principal amount of \$4,525,000 unless permitted by further Order of this Court;
- (h) the limit on the Applicants' authorized borrowing reflects the level of funding the DIP Lender had available to lend under the DIP Facility as at May 3, 2012;
- (i) the Cash Flows and the Amended and Restated DIP Loan Agreement, as defined in, and as approved by, the Order of the Honourable Justice Brown made April 16, 2012, both contemplate a DIP Facility as high as \$10,000,000, should the DIP Lender raise sufficient amounts to fund such facility;
- (j) the DIP Lender has advised that, since the date of the May 3 Order, it has received commitments and/or funding sufficient to increase the DIP Facility by an additional \$825,000;

- (k) the DIP Lender has also requested that the authorized borrowing under the DIP Facility be increased by an additional \$600,000 to accommodate DIP Lender fees and expenses payable in accordance with the terms of the DIP Facility;
- (l) the Applicants are therefore seeking to have their authorized borrowing under the DIP Facility increased to \$6,000,000 in the aggregate;
- (m) the Applicants will not be able to continue their operations or conduct the Sale Process without an increase in the amount of the DIP Facility to \$6,000,000;
- (n) increasing the amount of the DIP Facility to \$6,000,000 is favourable to the Applicants having regard to the circumstances and the increase in the amount of the DIP Facility is necessary and reasonable in the circumstances to ensure that the Applicants have a prudent and responsible level of liquidity so that they can meet post-filing obligations as they become due for the period of the initial stay and beyond;
- (o) enhancing the Monitor's power to market the Property, and approving the retention of PWCF as agent, will allow the preliminary marketing to commence pending approval of the Sale Process on subsequent return to Court;
- (p) the Monitor has filed with the Court its Fourth Report outlining, among others things: (i) the actions of the Monitor since the date of the Third Report dated May 3, 2012; and (ii) the Applicants' financial situation;
- (q) the Monitor supports the relief being sought by the Applicants;
- (r) neither the Applicants nor the Monitor are aware of any objections to the proposed relief sought herein;
- (s) the other grounds set out in the Fourth Report;
- (t) sections 11, 11.02 and 11.2 of the CCAA and the inherent and equitable jurisdiction of this Honourable Court;

- (u) rules 1.04, 2.03, 3.02, 16.08 and 37 of the *Rules of Civil Procedure*, R.R.O. 1990, Reg. 194, as amended; and
- (v) such further and other grounds as counsel may advise and this Honourable Court may permit.
- 3. THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE will be used at the hearing of the motion:
 - (a) the Affidavit of Loreto Grimaldi sworn May 7, 2012;
 - (b) the Fourth Report; and
 - (c) such further and other material as counsel may submit and this Honourable Court may permit.

Date: May 7, 2012

AIRD & BERLIS LLP

Barristers & Solicitors Brookfield Place 181 Bay Street, Suite 1800 Toronto, Ontario M5J 2T9

Sam Babe (LSUC # 49498B)

Tel: 416.865.7718 Fax: 416.863.1515

Email: sbabe@airdberlis.com

Ian Aversa (LSUC # 55449N)

Tel: 416.865.3082 Fax: 416.863.1515

Email: iaversa@airdberlis.com

Lawyers for the Applicants

TO: ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF PCAS PATIENT CARE AUTOMATION SERVICES INC. AND 2163279 ONTARIO INC. (the "Applicants")

Court File No. CV-12-9656-00CL

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE COMMERCIAL LIST

Proceedings commenced at Toronto

NOTICE OF MOTION (returnable May 7, 2012)

AIRD & BERLIS LLP

Barristers and Solicitors Brookfield Place 181 Bay Street, Suite 1800 Toronto, Ontario M5J 2T9

Sam Babe (LSUC # 49498B)

Tel: 416.865.7718 Fax: 416.863.1515

Email: sbabe@airdberlis.com

Ian Aversa (LSUC # 55449N)

Tel: 416.865.3082 Fax: 416.863.1515

Email: iaversa@airdberlis.com

Lawyers for the Applicants

TAB 2

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE COMMERCIAL LIST

THE HONOURABLE MR.)	MONDAY, THE 7 th DAY
)	
JUSTICE BROWN)	OF MAY, 2012

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF PCAS PATIENT CARE AUTOMATION SERVICES INC.
AND 2163279 ONTARIO INC. (the "Applicants")

APPLICATION UNDER THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

ORDER

Ontario Inc., doing business as Touchpoint (collectively, the "Applicants"), for an order, *inter alia*: (a) approving the Fourth Report of PricewaterhouseCoopers Inc. ("PwC"), in its capacity as the Court-appointed monitor of the Applicants (in such capacity, the "Monitor") dated May 7, 2012, filed (the "Fourth Report"), and approving the actions of the Monitor described therein; (b) extending the Stay Period (as defined in the Initial Order of the Honourable Mr. Justice Morawetz granted on March 23, 2012 in these proceedings (the "Initial Order")) to May 28, 2012; (c) increasing the amount the Applicants are currently authorized to borrow under the credit facility (the "DIP Facility") from 2320714 Ontario Inc. (the "DIP Lender") from \$4,525,000 to \$6,000,000; (d) increasing the Monitor's powers to market the Applicants' business; and (e) approving a Second Amended and Restated DIP Loan Agreement (the "Second Amended and Restated DIP Loan Agreement"), was heard this day at 330 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario.

ON READING the affidavit of Loreto Grimaldi, sworn May 7, 2012 (the "May 7 Affidavit") and the exhibits thereto, filed, and the Fourth Report, filed, and on hearing the submissions of counsel for the Applicants, counsel for the Monitor, counsel for the DIP Lender, counsel for Castcan Investments Inc., counsel for Royal Bank of Canada and no one appearing for any other person on the service list, although duly served as appears from the affidavit of Susy Moniz sworn May 7, 2012, filed,

- 1. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the time for service and filing of the notice of motion and the motion record is hereby abridged and validated so that this motion is properly returnable today and hereby dispenses with further service thereof.
- 2. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Fourth Report be and is hereby approved and the actions of the Monitor described therein be and are hereby approved.
- 3. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Stay Period, as defined in paragraph 13 of the Initial Order, be and is hereby extended to and including May 28, 2012.
- 4. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that paragraph 31 of the Initial Order be and is hereby amended to provide as follows:
 - 31. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Applicants are hereby authorized and empowered to obtain and borrow under a credit facility from 2320714 Ontario Inc. (the "DIP Lender") in order to finance the Applicants' working capital requirements and other general corporate purposes and capital expenditures, provided that borrowings under such credit facility shall not exceed the principal amount of \$6,000,000 unless permitted by further Order of this Court.
- 5. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Monitor's powers pursuant to paragraph 23 of the Initial Order are hereby expanded to include the power to market any or all of the Property (as defined in the Initial Order), including advertising and soliciting offers in respect of the Property or any part or parts thereof and negotiating such terms and conditions of sale as the Monitor in its discretion may deem appropriate.

- 6. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Monitor is hereby authorized and directed, pursuant to its powers under paragraph 23(i) of the Initial Order, to retain PricewaterhouseCoopers Corporate Finance as its agent to market the Property, on terms to be approved by further Order of this Court.
- 7. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the credit facility described in paragraph 31 of the Initial Order shall be on the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the form of Second Amended and Restated DIP Loan Agreement appended as Exhibit "B" to the Affidavit of Loreto Grimaldi sworn May 3, 2012 (the "May 3 Affidavit"), filed.
- 8. THIS COURT ORDERS that the Applicants are hereby authorized and empowered to execute and deliver the Second Amended and Restated DIP Loan Agreement in substantially the form appended to the May 3 Affidavit, and such other credit agreements, mortgages, charges, hypothecs and security documents, guarantees and other definitive documents (collectively, the "Definitive Documents"), as are contemplated by the Second Amended and Restated DIP Loan Agreement or as may be reasonably required by the DIP Lender pursuant to the terms thereof, and the Applicants are hereby authorized and directed to pay and perform all of their indebtedness, interest, fees, liabilities and obligations to the DIP Lender under and pursuant to the Second Amended and Restated Loan Agreement and the Definitive Documents as and when the same become due and are to be performed, notwithstanding any other provision of this Order.
- 9. THIS COURT ORDERS that any interested party (including the Applicants and the Monitor) may bring a motion to this Court to vary or amend this Order (provided that the beneficiary of any Charge shall be entitled to rely on the Charges up to and including the day on which such Charge or the priority granted to such Charge may be varied or amended), which motion must be returnable by no later than May 14, 2012 or such later date as the parties affected may agree, on not less than three (3) days' notice to any other party or parties likely to be affected by the order sought or upon such other notice, if any, as this Court may order.

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF PCAS PATIENT CARE AUTOMATION SERVICES INC. AND 2163279 ONTARIO INC. (the "Applicants")

Court File No. CV-12-9656-00CL

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE COMMERCIAL LIST

Proceedings commenced at Toronto

ORDER

AIRD & BERLIS LLP

Barristers and Solicitors
Brookfield Place
181 Bay Street, Suite 1800
Toronto, Ontario M5J 2T9

Sam Babe (LSUC # 49498B)

Tel: 416.865.7718 Fax: 416.863.1515

Email: sbabe@airdberlis.com

Ian Aversa (LSUC # 55449N)

Tel: 416.865.3082 Fax: 416.863.1515

Email: iaversa@airdberlis.com

Lawyers for the Applicants

TAB 3

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE COMMERCIAL LIST

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF PCAS PATIENT CARE AUTOMATION SERVICES INC.
AND 2163279 ONTARIO INC. (the "Applicants")

APPLICATION UNDER THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AFFIDAVIT OF LORETO GRIMALDI

(sworn May 7, 2012)

I, LORETO GRIMALDI, of the City of Vaughan, in the Province of Ontario, MAKE OATH AND SAY AS FOLLOWS:

- I am the Chief Legal Officer, General Counsel and Secretary of PCAS Patient Care Automation Services Inc. ("PCAS"). I am also the Secretary of 2163279 Ontario Inc., doing business as Touchpoint ("Touchpoint"), a company 49% owned by PCAS. As such, I have personal knowledge of the matters to which I hereinafter depose in this Affidavit. Where I do not have personal knowledge of the matters set out herein, I have stated the source of my information and, in all such cases, believe it to be true.
- 2. This Affidavit is sworn in support of a motion (the "Motion") by PCAS and Touchpoint (collectively, the "Applicants") for an order, among other things:
 - (a) approving the Fourth Report (the "Fourth Report") of Pricewaterhouse Coopers Inc. ("PwC"), in its capacity as the Court-appointed monitor of the Applicants (in such capacity, the "Monitor") and approving the actions of the Monitor described therein;

- (b) extending the Stay Period (as defined in the Initial Order of the Honourable Mr. Justice Morawetz granted on March 23, 2012 in these proceedings (the "Initial Order")) to May 28, 2012;
- (c) increasing the amount the Applicants are currently authorized to borrow under a credit facility (the "DIP Facility") from 2320714 Ontario Inc. (the "DIP Lender") from \$4,525,000 to \$6,000,000; and
- (d) enhancing the Monitor's power to include the power to market the business, assets, property and undertaking of the Applicants (the "**Property**").

BACKGROUND

- 3. On March 23, 2012, the Applicants made an application under the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, as amended (the "CCAA") seeking court protection from their creditors, which was granted pursuant to the Initial Order.
- 4. Pursuant to the Initial Order, PricewaterhouseCoopers Inc. was appointed as CCAA Monitor (the "Monitor").

THE STAY PERIOD

- 5. Pursuant to paragraph 3 of the Order of the Honourable Justice Brown made May 3, 2012 (the "May 3 Order"), the Stay Period was extended to May 8, 2012;
- 6. An extension of the Stay Period until May 28, 2012 is necessary in order to provide stability to the Applicants' business while the Applicants, with the assistance of the Monitor and PWCF, and subject to future approval of the Court, conduct the abbreviated sale process describe in paragraph 18 below (the "Sale Process").
- 7. The Applicants' thirteen-week cash flow projections produced in conjunction with the Monitor (The "Cash Flows") project that the Applicants have sufficient funding to continue operating (including payment of all accrued wages) until May 28, 2012, and to conduct the Sale Process during that period. In order to achieve this, PCAS is laying-off ninety-eight employees,

reducing their payroll to forty-two. A copy the Cash Flows is attached as Exhibit "A" to this Affidavit.

- 8. The Monitor has indicated that it supports an extension of the Stay Period until May 28, 2012. I do not believe that any creditor of the Applicants will suffer any material prejudice if the Stay Period is extended until May 28, 2012.
- 9. Since the issuance of the Initial Order, the Applicants have acted, and continue to act, in good faith and with due diligence.

THE DIP FACILITY AND FINANCIAL SITUATION OF THE APPLICANTS

- 10. Pursuant to paragraph 31 of the Initial Order, as amended by the May 3 Order, the Applicants were authorized and empowered to obtain and borrow under the DIP Facility from the DIP Lender in order to finance the Applicants' working capital requirements and other general corporate purposes and capital expenditures, provided that borrowings under such credit facility did not exceed the principal amount of \$4,525,000 unless permitted by further Order of this Court.
- 11. Pursuant to paragraph 5 of the Order of the Honourable Justice Brown made April 16, 2012, the DIP Facility is to be on the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the Amended and Restated DIP Loan Agreement between the Applicants and the DIP Lender (the "DIP Loan Agreement"). The Cash Flows and the Amended and Restated DIP Loan Agreement both contemplate a DIP Facility as high as \$10,000,000, should the DIP Lender raise sufficient amounts to fund such facility.
- 12. In my affidavit sworn May 3, 2012, I described how the DIP Lender had advised that it had a firm, but conditional commitment from a strategic financier for an additional \$3,000,000 in funding for the DIP Facility and that the most significant hurdle among the conditions to this new financing was that the DIP Lender had to have an additional \$1,000,000 available to commit to the DIP Facility. As at May 3, 2012, the Applicants were hopeful that the additional \$1,000,000 commitment to the DIP Lender could be obtained from one or more of a number of other parties who had made less firm commitments ranging from \$500,000 to \$4,950,000, and that that could be done prior to May 8. The \$4,000,000 raised under this scenario would have

been used to fund a sale and investor solicitation process that would have taken place over the next six weeks.

- 13. On the morning of Friday May 4, 2012, PCAS and the DIP Lender appeared to be reaching a compromise with the strategic financier to allow the \$3,000,000 to be advanced in increments as the additional \$1,000,000 was raised. That afternoon, however, the strategic financier withdrew its offer completely, apparently after receiving a telephone call from a potential bidder for the business of the applicants.
- 14. The DIP Lender has advised that, since the date of the May 3 Order, it has received commitments and/or funding sufficient to increase the DIP Facility by \$825,000. The DIP Lender and its counsel have also incurred fees and expenses, payable by PCAS under the terms of the DIP Facility. In order to account for DIP Lender fees and expenses both to-date and expected, the DIP Lender has requested that the authorized borrowing under the DIP Facility be increased by an additional \$650,000. The Applicants are therefore seeking to have their authorized borrowing under the DIP Facility increased to \$6,000,000 in the aggregate.
- 15. I believe that the Applicants will not be able to conduct the Sale Process without an increase in the amount of the DIP Facility to \$6,000,000.
- 16. I believe that increasing the amount of the DIP Facility to \$6,000,000 is favourable to the Applicants having regard to the circumstances and the increase in the amount of the DIP Facility is necessary and reasonable in the circumstances to ensure that the Applicants have a prudent and responsible level of liquidity so that they can meet post-filing obligations as they become due for the current Stay Period and beyond.

SALE PROCESS

17. The Applicants, the Monitor and the DIP Lender all agree that a sale process should be initiated as soon as possible with what limited funding is available under the DIP Facility. Given the Applicants' very limited liquidity, a sale is the best, and likely the only, means to preserve the Applicants' business as a going concern. Preservation of the business would mean, among other things, the preservation (and even revival) of hundreds of valuable technology jobs.

- 18. The Sale Process contemplated by the Applicants would, because of the limited funding available under the DIP Facility, have to be run over a period not longer than three weeks. It is intended that the Monitor would run the process using its affiliate, PricewaterhouseCoopers Corporate Finance ("PWCF"), as its agent, and would recommend a resulting transaction to the Applicants. The Applicants believe the three-week Sale Process will achieve the same degree of market exposure for the Property as would a longer process because there has already been very extensive marketing through: (a) two private placements that were conducted (unsuccessfully) both domestically and internationally at the beginning of this year by three investment banks; (b) the DIP Lender's broad efforts to market the opportunity to invest in the DIP Facility, both as an opportunity for return on investments as well as a strategic step to an eventual bid for the Property; (c) the access that nineteen separate organizations have had to the Applicants' confidential data room since the commencement of these CCAA proceedings; and (d) preliminary discussions the Applicants and/or the Monitor have already had with potential bidders.
- 19. The Applicants intend to return to Court shortly to seek approval of the Sale Process, and, in the meantime, the Monitor and PWCF will commence the formal marketing process under the enhanced powers presently being sought.
- 20. The Applicants have struggled, ultimately unsuccessfully, to raise sufficient DIP financing to fund a more fulsome sale and investor solicitation process (a "SISP"), as was originally intended. The Applicants have received a letter from the DIP Lender stating, among other things, that the DIP Lender does not believe it can raise the funding that would be required to run the originally intended SISP (the "DIP Lender Letter"). A copy of the DIP Lender Letter is attached as Exhibit "B" to this Affidavit.
- 21. The Applicants are not aware of any creditor that objects, or would have grounds to object, to a sale of the business as a going concern in the present circumstances. A sale by the Applicants will preserve more value than a sale by a receiver or a liquidation in bankruptcy.
- 22. PWCF is a respected investment banker with a good knowledge of the Applicants obtained through its work with the Monitor since the inception of these CCAA proceedings. The Applicants believe that this knowledge and PWCF's ability to work closely with the Monitor

make PWCF the candidate most able to institute and run the Sale Process in the very short timeframe required.

- 23. PWCF previously supplied a quote for running a SISP, which quote was significantly lower than the quotes obtained from several other investment banks for running the same process. PWCF's fee structure for the Sale Process, as set out in its engagement letter, is in proportion to the Sale Process as its prior quote was to the intended SISP. The Applicants are, therefore, confident that PWCF's fee structure is reasonable and consistent with market practice.
- 24. The Monitor has advised that it approves of the Sale Process and of the retention of PWCF to conduct the Sale Process.
- 25. This Affidavit is sworn in support of the relief requested by the Applicants and for no other or improper purpose.

SWORN BEFORE ME at the City of)
Vaughan, in the Province of Ontario,)
this 7 th day of May, 2012.	
A 1 ') Jack mass
Ilha a aleti)
A commissioner of oaths, etc.) LORETO GRIMALDI

TAB "A"

Attached is Exhibit "A" Referred to in the AFFIDAVIT OF LORETO GRIMALDI Sworn before me this 7th day of May, 2012

Jehna Galati
Commissioner for taking Affidavits, etc

DOME TO LETT THE R MAD DISCUSPRION CHIPBOSES

PCAS - GRAFT CHLY FOR OIL

13 Week Casil Flow Forecast

March 23 to June 15, 2012

In Canyllan Column)

Week 6 Week 10 Week 11 Work 12 10-fun-12 00-fun-12 Zolak Week 14 22-Jun-12 Week 4 Week 5 Week 6 13-Apr-12 28-Apr-12 27-Apr-12 Wesh 3 65-4pc-12 Wrek 1 Week 2 Notes 16-Mar-12 20-Upr-12 3B-May-12 Week exped Exeming AS Callections
New AS Collections - Who of mings
Other Receipes / Industrial
SHED Receipes / Why
HST Secretary 55,911 (285) 5,344 74,255 (295) 4.240 e 479.388 68 000 180 % 322 558 99,830 535.0a 0 003 176.560 56,816 111 776 t 161 a15 15 600 160,085 Y-tot Occulate 170 154 150 150 <.20 ... ±2 Hanseount (Carrada and US) Olehurcenaunre 79,590 2,422,756 1,930 61,930 75,039 67,903 4,168,117 3,757 144,070 20,048 7,500 521,600 15,600 533,395 (2,345) 35,830 7,530 242,500 5,135 Visigns williams

Canacian Payroll - Nourly

Canacian Payroll - Safan

Canacian Payroll - Bon-WSIG/Gard sheet

Canacian Petrsion and Specific

Canacian Securities

US Payroll - Handy 7,500 237,988 (5,382) 3,368 50,000 99.500 273.0% 259 500 R9 500 89.500 (1,378) (1,378) 35,000 20,000 22.000 28 505 70 000 Vacaban Selection Variation Selection Variation Selection Value (Value Value V 119,545 ron etc 258 5 000 143,385 15,352 10100 2.504 (1,104) 100 2,104 1.000 197,125 197 125 197 195 157,125 110,217 14,766 12,000 56,500 7,345 112,185 (8,969) (1,166) 19,568 2,405 18,503 2,405 59217 5 799 16,750 2,176 67,073 18,500 2,405 Canadan contractors Canadian contractors - HST Cyalagna count cause in Fine I Deep Processes All Polatinopes Deep Processes I Association Annual Processes I Deep Processes I Association I Deep Processes I Deep Processes I Association I Deep Processes I Deep (6,310) a 510 5.310 6.3% 14,000 14,090 1,820 /1 1/05 5 1 63 87,468 4,871 72.982 9.467 32,500 129,153 1,489 33,900 4,407 12,257 1,593 1,597 208 40,230 72,615 174,111 24,244 17,664 24,736 46,316 11,502 11,502 13,484 848 1,617 7,045 (6,042) (4,591) 37 684 Post Zaku Brighton Road Road - 2876 Srighton Road Road - 2446 Winsten Park Grive Road - 4671 Road - 565 Group Illinos MSA Road - 11aminish solid UK 14,184 25,032 63,956 12 562 50,130 121,756 4,840 6,613 22,683 53,855 11,582 4,335 50,430 7,728 4,490 4 173 4.09 3,598 464 22,692 2,897 12,900 12,939 61,392 7,981 12,980 25,500 9,354 Losses - Equipment 12,970 574 1211 Utilities - AP balances
Upilies - AP balances - HST
Richard
Upilies - HST
Inserance 18,550 2,100 67,029 15,290 1,988 13,970 40 011 18,530 2,279 43,600 71.300 2.465 860 112 6,660 105,453 25.00 6,000 2 570 Office Senice(ptano,postage,ptano) - AP balance Office Senice - AP balance - HST Office Senice(ptana,pastage,cturial) Office Senice - FST 0.368 52.700 G 851 24,223 86,842 42,601 5,546 123,262 16,027 1,000 2,261 294 840 109 15,421 6,014 752 1,747 227 40,000 5,200 1,000 180 6,309 819 84,080 3,120 111,952 47,565 11,059 5,010 £eqq 14,400 (4,812) 400 (2,644) (64) 946 9,628 116,564 10,965 14,725 5,064 4,054 4,478 Trayed & Extensione®1 - ros protes
Trayed & Extensione®1 - ros protes
Trayed & Extensione®1 - ros de son tros AP bullace
Trayed & Extensione®1 - ros de son tros AP bullace
Trayed & Extensione®1 - ros de son tros AP bullace
Trayed & Extensione®1 - ros de services
Lors or 2440 WTD
Lors or 2440 WTD
APP - ros de son trayed & ros 58,063 8,019 11,659 1,000 45,635 5,600 118,564 10,965 14,925 9,264 8,251 14,523 14,523 17,577 6,005 159,389 5,837 416,667 1,000 3,100 3,100 1,000 183,070 17,377 2,000 1,600 1,000 1,000 703 706 15 231 700 1,000 133.670 2,000 2030 2,000 3,837 43,156 1,329 43,156 /1.7836 335 1 004 Back FATE

Histopia Injeries - PBC Couliel Islan
Peincyal paymore - PBC Couliel Islan
Peincyal paymore - BBC COULIE - Islan
Pencad paymore - Sabara SRID / HST Islan
Esche Us - Instancial (PBT) - Islan
Esche Us - Instancial (PBT) - Islan
Esche Us - Instancial (PBT) - Islan
Esche Us - Islan
Esche 289,61 22160 42 000 42,000 160,096 168,095 601.76 160.08 46,000 30,000 45,000 30,200 10000 Projects assets - 3462 WTD destination
Professional Principal A Bindares
Principal Construction of the Con 272,320 25,662 32,285 10,707 1,392 (2,246) 472,320 51,652 62,295 869 1992 75,500 31,000 3,900 50,600 8,840 68,152 51,250 46,000 5,200 30,000 5,900 15,600 27,044 39,057 30,000 16 000 19,680 6,660 676,777 71,592 150,000 13,500 63,125 318,777 25,192 387,124 38,626 42,347 6,504 60,666 7,605 57,600 4,675 6,005 60,800 -7,800 97,500 4,875 10,860 6#,000 7,803 37,503 4,875 10,000 30,324 25,800 3,354 W.667 3,919 24.890 3.237 85,650 19,749 68,000 7,800 es aco 00.000 7.983 7,900 31,568 4,875 9,065 75,000 9,750 90,000 14,125 15.0A0 975 10,000 70,000 14,126 to 200 Miscowacous 200 000 4,478,850 a ass ina 665,557 610,021 1,171,023 339,041 336.4 % 456,5A) 378,820 982,960 8,084,096 468,951 318,844 1,508.131 1 105 570 1 570 535 263,000 107.283 (3,937,267) (368 844 15.43.9.1371 (1,811,553) (2-12,011) (484,296) (836,400) (724.843) (232,825) (301,976) (456,597) (318,825) (871,633) AR 950 RR (476 961) Not cast flow f1,925,493) £1,129 [4,145,590] 4,370,840 284,439 61,129 (3.907,267) 4,175,009 323,860 Beginning Casin Change in Caph DP Orace! (Repayment) Tallal Ending Caph Camudator Cash invested Approvide Cash Camuda en Orl Accorded Salances Nel position 81,129 81,129 2,861,129 1,835,656 11,025,1931 (1,511,553) 238,428 (155,000) 44,420 (1,418 (37) 2,868,000 61,129 2,861,129 779,519 5.334,000 195.616 [261.079) [839.839] [1.511.632] [1.511.532] 25,716,800) (5,425,704) (1,416,127) 1,835,636 5,04,000 202,072 195,618 5.353,000 [261,0795] 1638,8981 [1,511,532] [1,511,532] 5,305,005 5,350,000 5,350,000 \$,550,000 39,507 34,500 89,500 89,500 1350,579; (734,78%; (1,601,632) [1,601,632) 44,422 195,000 8,938 (53,342) 1.835.836 524,030 242,072 2,803.840 5,810,103 2,000,068 712,335 275,574 552,143 1,123,294 244,508 (250,876) (5,51E800) | 15,425.704| (1,416.197) 2,861,729 2,868,680 825,492 2,035,636

152,968 626,015 69,500 407,794 94,590

DIP Lander locecast
Variance Cumulative 84-May-12

104,426

TAB "B"

Attached is Exhibit "B" Referred to in the AFFIDAVIT OF LORETO GRIMALDI Sworn before me this 7th day of May, 2012

Jena galati
Commissioner for taking Affidavits, etc

2320714 ONTARIO INC. Suite 5850, 100 King Street West Toronto, Ontario

May 7, 2012

PCAS Patient Care Automation Services Inc. 2 - 2880 Brighton Road Oakville, Ontario L6H 5S3

Attention: Kym Anthony, Chairman

Dear Kym:

I am writing this letter in my capacity as the President of 2320714 Ontario Inc. (the "DIP Lender"). The purpose of this letter to provide the Court with an understanding of the activities that have been undertaken by the DIP Lender in support of the restructuring efforts of PCAS Patient Care Automation Services Inc. ("PCAS") and 2163279 Ontario Inc. ("Touchpoint").

The DIP Lender was formed as vehicle through which those persons ("DIP Financiers") who were interested in doing so could participate in the provision of the debtor-in-possession loan facility (the "DIP Loan Facility") for the duration of the CCAA proceedings. DIP Financiers have made loans to the DIP Lender in order to provide it with the funding required to make advances to PCAS under the DIP Loan Facility. The advances made to PCAS under the DIP Loan Facility are secured by the Charge granted by the Court. In turn, the DIP Lender has issued notes to the DIP Financiers and granted security to each DIP Financier in the form of a general security agreement granting a security interest in all of the undertaking, property and assets of the DIP Lender. The security interests granted to the DIP Financiers are to rank on a pari passu basis pursuant to the terms of a Pari Passu Agreement that each DIP Financier has agreed to sign.

My principal occupation is running a Family Office for private clients and their families. Prior to becoming involved as the President of the DIP Lender, my involvement with PCAS was as a shareholder of PCAS. Many of my clients were also shareholders of PCAS. I agreed to become the President of the DIP Lender at your request as both you and I believed that it was important that the DIP Lender be managed by a person who was not an officer or director of PCAS. While I am currently the sole shareholder of the DIP Lender, holding the only issued and outstanding common share, I am holding that share in trust for the DIP Financiers. As President of the DIP Lender, I felt that it was also important that the DIP Lender obtain independent counsel to guide me through the CCAA proceedings. I have therefore retained Doug Grundy of Grundy, Cass & Campbell Professional Corporation as corporate counsel to the DIP Lender and Bob Thornton of Thornton Grout Finnigan LLP as insolvency and litigation counsel to the DIP Lender.

I also agreed to take on the role of President of the DIP Lender because I believed that through a restructuring process funded by the DIP Lender significant value could be realized by the shareholders of

PCAS through a refinancing or sale of the business. Initially, I felt that if the DIP Lender could provide the funding necessary for a period of 13 or more weeks, parties would come forward with financing or a transaction that would realize that value for shareholders. While, as discussed below, it has proven to be more challenging than we initially hoped to raise the necessary funds to finance a restructuring process, I continue to believe that the assets securing the DIP Loan Facility should have a sufficiently high value to provide value to the existing PCAS shareholders and other stakeholders after paying out the DIP Loan Facility. The purpose of the DIP Lender has never been to acquire the PCAS business for the sole benefit of the DIP Financiers.

Since the commencement of the CCAA proceedings, PCAS and the DIP Lender have sought to raise the funds necessary to fund a restructuring process. As the Court is aware, initially, it was believed that the DIP Loan Facility should be \$10 million in order to fund a 13-week restructuring process. Members of the PCAS board, senior officers of PCAS and I, and our counsel, have approached over 30 financial and strategic investors trying to encourage them to participate in the DIP Loan Facility. As the Court is aware, numerous communications have also been sent by PCAS to its shareholders encouraging them to participate in the DIP Loan Facility. While we felt that the initial terms of the DIP Loan Facility were sufficient to attract the necessary funds required by the DIP Lender to fully fund the DIP Loan Facility, it soon became apparent from discussions with shareholders and other potential investors that the terms were not adequate to attract the participation required. Accordingly, the DIP Lender negotiated with PCAS enhancements to the terms of the DIP Loan Facility which were subsequently approved by the Court. Even still, as the Court is aware, the DIP Lender has not yet been able to fund the DIP Loan Facility to the extent originally envisaged. This has hampered the ability of PCAS to commit to a full sale process, although throughout this process both PCAS and the DIP Lender have approached and had discussions with several parties who have been interested in the PCAS business.

As the President of the DIP Lender, I have been actively involved daily in discussions with potential DIP Financiers and potential bidders/investors in PCAS. This unforeseen level of activity has exerted a toll upon me and adversely affected the time that I would normally would have had available for my full time occupation. In acting as the President of the DIP Lender, I have not received a salary and have not received a commission for any of the funds raised from DIP Financiers. However, I have provided extensive consulting services in my professional capacity to the DIP Lender. I have invoiced the DIP Lender for those services at rate discounted from my usual hourly rate and have contributed those fees to the DIP Loan Facility. The DIP Lender's counsel, Grundy, Cass & Campbell and Thornton Grout Finnigan, have made the same arrangement with the DIP Lender and have contributed their fees to the DIP Loan Facility. The result of these arrangements is that the cash burn of PCAS has been reduced by not having to fund these fees, resulting in a cash saving to date of approximately \$400,000. The DIP Charge should be increased accordingly to cover these advances.

Throughout the CCAA proceedings, the DIP Lender has been working closely with the PCAS board. The DIP Lender continues to seek a restructuring transaction that will bring value to the creditors and shareholders of PCAS. I continue to believe that a sale process will provide value to the creditors and shareholders of PCAS. While it would appear that PCAS and the DIP Lender will be unable to raise sufficient funds to fund the type of sale/restructuring process that was initially envisaged, I believe that there are enough parties interested in the PCAS business and technology who have conducted due diligence investigations over the past several weeks to justify a short sales process. The DIP Lender has obtained all the funding from the DIP Financiers on the basis that such a process would be undertaken and I believe that it is important that this promise be fulfilled.

However, the funds available through additional DIP Loans are limited. While this is not what was originally contemplated, it will be necessary for PCAS to find a way to live within the available funding and to cooperate with the Monitor and the DIP Lender to run a truncated process to achieve the best result available in the circumstances. Details of exactly how much is available and what that means for the continued operations of PCAS and the manner of process to be run are being developed as I write this letter, all for presentation to, and hopefully approval of, the Court this afternoon.

We look forward to working closely with you through this process, to your continued future cooperation in that process and to achieving as much success as can be achieved in these difficult circumstances.

Yours truly,

2320714 ONTARIO INC.

By:

George Swan, President

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF PCAS PATIENT CARE AUTOMATION SERVICES INC. AND 2163279 ONTARIO INC. (the "Applicants")

Court File No. CV-12-9656-00CL

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE COMMERCIAL LIST

Proceedings commenced at Toronto

AFFIDAVIT OF LORETO GRIMALDI (sworn May 7, 2012)

AIRD & BERLIS LLP

Barristers and Solicitors Brookfield Place 181 Bay Street, Suite 1800 Toronto, Ontario M5J 2T9

Sam Babe (LSUC # 49498B)

Tel: 416.865.7718 Fax: 416.863.1515

Email: sbabe@airdberlis.com

Ian Aversa (LSUC # 55449N)

Tel: 416.865.3082 Fax: 416.863.1515

Email: iaversa@airdberlis.com

Lawyers for the Applicants

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF PCAS PATIENT CARE AUTOMATION SERVICES INC. AND 2163279 ONTARIO INC.

Court File No. CV-12-9656-00CL

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE COMMERCIAL LIST

Proceeding commenced at Toronto

MOTION RECORD (returnable May 7, 2012)

AIRD & BERLIS LLP

Barristers and Solicitors
Brookfield Place
181 Bay Street, Suite 1800
Toronto, Ontario M5J 2T9

Sam Babe (LSUC # 49498B)

Tel: 416.865.7718 Fax: 416.863.1515

Email: sbabe@airdberlis.com

Ian Aversa (LSUC # 55449N)

Tel: 416.865.3082 Fax: 416.863.1515

Email: <u>iaversa@airdberlis.com</u>

Lawyers for the Applicants